Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health

https://saudijmph.com/index.php/pub

https://doi.org/10.64483/jmph-69

Artificial Intelligence and Robotics in Surgery: The Future of Precision Medicine

Sami Mohammed Alharbi[1], Tariq Mohammed Al Harish[2], Eman Attyah Zead Alqurashi[3], Maha Dhuwihi Alsubaie[4], Njah Mohammad Alanazi[4], Batool Alhamidi Almutairi[5], Hadeel Motlaq Almotlaq[6], Hussain Ali Khabrani[7], Sayer Hamdan Salem Alsharari[8], Tariq Maqbul Awadh Alsharari[8], Yousef Dhaifallah Dabi Alsharari[8]

[1] King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Ministry of Education, KSA
[2] Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah
[3] Ministry of Health, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Jeddah; Alfadilah PHC
[4] Maternity and Children's Hospital, Hafar Al-Batin, Saudi Arabia
[5] King Saud University Dental Hospital, Saudi Arabia
[6] Primary Health Care Center, Al-Sahafa, Saudi Arabia
[7] King Khalid University Hospital, Saudi Arabia
[8] Al-Jouf Health Cluster, Al Qurayyat General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics signal a new domain for precision medicine and have fundamentally reformulated surgery into a new disciplinary lens that provides surgical practice with greater accuracy, efficiency, and outcomes. AI-powered systems, such as machine learning algorithms and computer vision, allow for real-time analysis of medical and surgical data, predictive modeling of patient outcomes, and personalization of treatment plans for each patient. In parallel, AI-powered robotic tools, such as the da Vinci Surgical System, offer surgeons a level of precision, control, and cognitive stimulation that rarely equates to manual techniques. This paper will outline the ongoing advancements, challenges, and potential opportunities that AI and robotics have in surgery. Also explored will be the implications for improved patient care relating to minimally invasive procedures, training for surgery, and patient safety. Significant applications of AI and robotics in surgery include autonomous surgical robots, image-guided robotic interventions, and advanced decision-support systems. Collectively, these clinical applications diminish opportunities for human mistakes and improve patient outcomes. However, the broad application of AI and robotics in surgery will depend on acting on ethical questions, regulatory legislation that is forward-thinking, and technical challenges, such as data and image quality, interoperability issues of robotic devices, and surgeon accountability. The challenges that impede the integration of AI and robotics in surgery can be addressed, but a successful practice application equates to future developments that produce the safest, most affordable, and individualized practice of surgery in the world. With the benefits of surgery supported by AI and robots being postulated, there remains a discipline-wide need for research, collaboration, and ethical accountability. This paper will therefore explore the advances of AI and robotics and conclude by emphasizing the importance of research on these approaches to shape the future of precision medicine.

Keywords: Robotics, Artificial Intelligence, Surgery, Minimally Invasive Surgery, Precision Medicine

Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health (SJMPH) ISSN: 2961-4368
*Corresponding author e-mail: Sami Mohammed Alharbi
Received date: 20 July 2025 Revised date: 28 July 2025. Accepted date: 19 August 2025

Introduction

The convergence of AI and robotics into surgery represents a transformative milestone in the development of contemporary medicine for an unprecedented era of precision, efficiency, and personalized care. Precision medicine entails using the most up-to-date knowledge about an individual's genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors to provide the best possible intervention; as with everything else, this has become more reliant on technological advances to optimize clinical outcomes (Collins & Varmus, 2015). So, the introduction of AI and robotics as foundational technologies has disrupted surgical workflows so that they can enable optimized diagnostic precision, procedural techniques, and expedited post-operative recovery. This extends from robotic-assisted surgeries to AI-driven predictive analytics, to predictive analytics influencing clinical decision-making (Topol, 2019). By combining AI's computational capabilities with the technical precision of robotic systems, surgeons are able to provide more control, accurately complete a procedure, and improve patient outcomes.

This paper gives an in-depth review of the areas of AI and robotics in surgery, with a focus on their transformative effect on precision medicine. It reviews the technological foundations, implementation, possibilities, challenges, and future directions of these technologies in surgery. This discussion provides a comprehensive, evidence-focused discussion, as the paper is developed in several key allocative segments: the technological foundations of AI and robotics in surgery, current applications of AI and robotics across different surgical disciplines, ethical and regulatory challenges of AI and robotics in surgery, barriers to adoption of AI and robotics in surgery, and future directions of AI and robotics in surgery within the context of precision medicine.

Technological Foundations of AI and Robotics in Surgery

Artificial Intelligence in Surgery

Artificial intelligence (AI) refers to a set of advanced computational technologies, encompassing machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), natural language processing (NLP), and visual recognition, that are correlated with enhancement of surgical practice (Hashimoto et al., 2018). Central to AI is machine learning, which uses algorithms to discover patterns within data (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). Some algorithms are designed, trained, and tested with large datasets (supervised learning), while others utilize the algorithm itself through self-discovery (unsupervised learning). Machine learning identifies patterns and predicts future outcomes and offers the potential to optimize surgical planning. ML models can evaluate patient data from electronic health records (EHRs), imaging studies, and genomic profiles to predict postoperative complications, including infections and adverse reactions, and allow for proactive intervention (Esteva et al., 2019). These models are trained using diverse datasets, giving them the ability to continue refining their predictive capabilities and adapt over time to different patient populations.

Deep learning (DL), a specific type of ML that applies neural networks with multiple layers to analyze complex datasets, is particularly effective at completing tasks that require increasingly complex levels of cognition, including image identification and segmentation. In surgical applications, DL algorithms analyze medical imaging modalities, including CT scans and MRI imaging, to identify anatomical structures with submillimeter accuracy, enabling surgeons to traverse intricate operative fields (Litjens et al., 2017). In addition to facilitating surgical navigation, DL can rapidly distinguish healthy tissue versus malignant lesions in oncologic surgeries, limiting the possibility of incomplete resection and harm to critical structures.

Natural language processing (NLP), another important subset of AI, can pull meaningful information from unstructured clinical data such as physician notes, operative notes, and histories. NLP tools process textual datasets, allowing for preoperative risk assessments, summarizing patient state, and creating individualized treatment plans, thus decreasing clinical work (Rajkomar et al., 2018). Moreover, computer vision improves intraoperative decision-making by fusing real-time imaging with augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) systems, rendering the provision of digital information digitally, in the form of 3D anatomy models, onto the surgical field and augmenting visualization and guidance for the surgeon (Maier-Hein et al., 2017). As a whole, these AI-based technologies enable surgeons to make data-based decisions, reduce variability in surgical outcomes, and promote patient safety (Obermeyer et al., 2016)

Robotics in Surgery

Surgeon-enhanced robotic systems have revolutionized surgery as a field, with these systems facilitating improved dexterity, precision, and visualization that exceed the capabilities of a human hand. The da Vinci Surgical System, which has transformed laparoscopic, robot-assisted, and robot-assisted minimally invasive surgery, has emerged as the most favored robotic platform (Lanfranco et al., 2004). This system integrates robotic arms with tools that mimic wrist movements, which surgeons control from a high-definition 3D console interface. Distinctly, robotic arms can provide magnified views of the operative field, which enables greater strategies in manipulating tissues in limited space. Such innovations have contributed to the use of robotic systems in minimally invasive surgery (MIS), which aims to reduce blood loss, postoperative pain, and recovery compared to open surgery, while also enabling patient examples eliciting the less invasive nature of traditional open surgery (Sheetz et al., 2020).

The implications of robotics for MIS are significant as robotic systems allow surgeons to perform complex operations, such as prostatectomies, hysterectomies, and cardiac surgeries, with greater accuracy and less risk to surrounding structures (Barbash & Glied, 2010). Current innovations in robotics include semi-autonomous and autonomous systems that can perform individual surgical tasks with little human involvement. The Smart Tissue Autonomous Robot (STAR) has demonstrated the ability to perform soft-tissue surgeries like intestinal anastomosis at a level similar to or exceeding that of human surgeons (Shademan et al., 2016). These systems combine AI algorithms to analyze the incoming real-time data generated from sensors and imaging, allowing the robotic systems to respond to changes in the surgical field, such as bleeding and tissue deformation, enhancing the reliability of the procedure (Yang et al., 2017). The combined use of AI and robotics will produce significant advances in surgical instruments with computing capacity added to robotic precision (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Robotic-Assisted Surgery in Action.

Applications of AI and Robotics in Surgery

The introduction of artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics into surgical practice contributes to unprecedented advancements over a number of relevant domains, thereby redefining how surgeries are organized, performed, and reviewed. As AI and robotics increase the capabilities of surgeons to perform more accurate, efficient, and patient-centric surgeries. AI and robotics are changing the face of surgery, from minimally invasive approaches to autonomous operating systems.

Minimally Invasive Surgery

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) has been the wave of the future in surgery, and at least in part because of the introduction of robotic systems that improve precision, which decreases patient trauma (Ghezzi & Corleta, 2016). The da Vinci Surgical System, one of the most commonly utilized robotic platforms, has transitioned minimally invasive surgery by performing some of the most complex procedures through small incisions, which typically measure only 1 - 2 cm. The da Vinci Surgical System includes robotic arms with articulated instruments that mimic the small wrist movements and has a console that allows the surgeon to view the surgical field in high definition with 3D imaging (Ficarra et al., 2011). The da Vinci system is often used for prostatectomies, hysterectomies, colorectal surgeries, etc. It has been shown to have positive effects on patient outcomes. Proponents cite lower blood loss, reduced postoperative complications, shorter lengths of stay, and faster recoveries compared to traditional open surgery (Barbash & Glied, 2010).

AI enables robotic-assisted MIS to offer even greater benefits through real-time image analysis and decision support. Via machine learning and image segmentation and/or classification methods, intraoperative imaging data is processed in real-time to help identify the anatomical structures, identify abnormalities, and safely guide the surgeon through increasingly complex tissue planes (Esteva et al., 2017). In laparoscopic cholecystectomies, AI can identify important anatomical structures such as the cystic duct to avoid unintentional injury, which is a typical complication of traditional MIS (Hashimoto et al., 2018). Utilizing AI to help robotic systems, surgeons can achieve more control and precision, allowing surgeons to cause less trauma to patients and achieve better clinical outcomes. The combination of AI and robotics in MIS emphasizes and advances precision medicine by integrating techniques to match the patient's unique anatomical and physiological characteristics.

Image-Guided Surgery

Image-guided surgery involves the use of AI that utilizes inputs from preoperative and intraoperative imaging to allow surgical safety and precision. State-of-the-art imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound integrate into machine learning algorithms to create sophisticated threedimensional models of the patient's anatomy that serve as navigational roadmaps during surgery (Shen et al., 2017). The models give surgeons the ability to visualize the surgical field and understand critical structures, develop the best surgical approach, and avoid damaging delicate but vital tissues. In neurosurgery, for example, AI systems can identify the brain's structures with less than a millimeter of accuracy and can locate eloquent areas responsible for brain functions such as speech or motor control before surgery to allow for less risk of the patient sustaining a neurological defect (Litjens et al., 2017). This is especially useful when executing tasks such as tumor resections, in which great precision is required for total tumor removal with healthy tissue preservation.

Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technology also increases the capabilities of image-guided surgery and simulates an additional layer of real-time information on top of the surgical field (Maier-Hein et al., 2017). AR systems can present 3D anatomical models on the patient's body or in the surgeon's field of vision, providing spatial guidance at the time of surgery for real-time interface during more complicated procedures such as spinal surgeries or craniotomies. VR platforms allow surgeons to practice the procedure in virtual worlds prior to entering the operating room by practicing their approach to the surgical procedure. AI-powered computer vision allows surgeons to increase their confidence navigating intricate anatomical planes, expedites the time the surgery takes, and has been shown to assist the overall performance of operative procedures (Topol, 2019).` This scheme offers the foundation for an odyssey using AI for image-based technologies to enhance personalized surgical interventions, aligning with the principles of precision medicine (Figure 2).

Figure 2: AI-Enhanced Image-Guided Surgery.

Surgical Training and Simulation

AI and robotics are also changing the landscape of surgical training. Advanced simulation networks improve surgical training with advanced simulation platforms, realizing a more realistic and safe practice environment for skill acquisition. AI-powered simulators employing machine learning facilitate the evaluation of the trainees' performance in surgical simulations, measuring important performance metrics such as instrument handling and tissue manipulation, as well as the accuracy of procedural performance. This offers immediate feedback (Alotaibi et al., 2015). These systems can produce personalized learning plans, matching the level of the trainee while also identifying areas for development and recommending assigned exercises. AI-supported simulators for laparoscopic surgery can assess a trainee on dexterity and accuracy, and provide specific areas to alter to improve their technique before performing actual surgery (Sridhar et al., 2017).

Robots also advance the trainee and have the potential to allow them to practice, and sometimes rehearse, sophisticated techniques free of human or patient consequence. The da Vinci system supports this by utilizing a dual-console that gives the mentor the option to make recommendations while tutees practice in a simulated or actual case, shortening the learning curve for minimally invasive surgery (Satava et al., 2013). With robotic surgery systems capable of virtual reality, trainees can practice procedures, such as robotic prostatectomies or cardiac valve repairs, free of patient risk. These types of training are advancing both skills and competencies, all while improving patient safety, to facilitate surgeons achieving competency before being in an operating room. These are now being coupled with AI analytics alongside robotic simulation, to create systems that have the potential to completely change how surgical training is accomplished. This is a monumental shift, creating programs that will prepare the next generation of surgeons to be able to apply these technologies in clinical practice.

Decision-Support Systems

Decision-support systems utilizing AI are changing surgical planning and intraoperative decisions in a way never seen before. AI can analyze vast volumes of patient data to generate evidence-based recommendations for clinicians to use when planning surgery and making intraoperative decisions. AI enhances the use of natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning to extract insights from medical literature, clinical guidelines, and patient data documents (EHR, lab results, imaging, etc.) (Chen et al., 2018). For example, IBM Watson Health (Rajkomar et al., 2018) uses NLP to sift through unstructured data contained in medical records and peer-reviewed journal articles to provide personalized treatment plans that take into account patient-specific characteristics (e.g, comorbidities, genetic characteristics, previous surgical history). These decision-support tools help surgeons make decisions regarding the optimal surgical procedures to undertake, anticipate complications that may arise, and analyze the best action to take in the moment.

Predictive analytics, a key function of a decision-support system, allows for proactive interventions by anticipating postoperative events. Machine learning models can leverage historical information regarding patients to identify risk factors for postoperative complications such as infections, bleeding, or organ dysfunction, and enable proactive interventions when planning for surgery (e.g., wait to operate on patients who are at risk until their comorbidities are treated, etc.) (Bates et al., 2014). In cardiac surgery, AI models' predictions and probability of experiencing postoperative atrial fibrillation may direct successful targeted interventions before experiencing this complication (e.g., using prophylactic medication). Data-driven decision researchers hope, through the use of AI decision-support systems, to enhance clinical decisions by giving surgeons additional contextual clues at the moment and enhancing patient safety by decreasing deviations and violations of standard patient teaching protocols in hospitals and ambulatory surgical settings (Beam & Kohane, 2018). Decision-support systems are part of the precision medicine approach to surgery and provide an evidence-based decision that keeps each patient's specific needs in mind so that the treatments are both efficacious and patient-centered.

Autonomous Surgery

The rise of autonomous surgical robots is a new frontier for surgical innovation that could end the role of human surgeons in time. The Smart Tissue Autonomous Robot (STAR), built by researchers at Johns Hopkins University, has shown it has the capacity to perform soft-tissue surgeries with equivalent and possibly superior levels of precision when compared to expert surgeons, especially in intestinal anastomosis cases (Shademan et al., 2016). STAR combines AI-based computer vision, machine learning, and robotic control systems to perform grimy tasks like suturing, dissecting tissue, and tying knots, all with human input being minimal and ultimately leading to a fully autonomous surgery. These systems rely on imaging and sensor data in real-time and can adjust for changes in the environment in a surgical arena as it pertains to difficult aspects such as bleeding or movement of the tissue (Yang et al., 2017).

While fully autonomous surgery is not yet prevalent, semi-autonomous systems are currently being implemented for specific tasks related to surgery, such as perioperative biopsy collection, tumor ablation, and suturing and lacing in minimally invasive surgeries. Semi-autonomous systems will enhance surgical efficiencies by completing tasks that are either repetitive or require a highly precise execution of complex tasks, and allow surgeons to focus on and make high-level decisions. Development of autonomous robots is bolstered by advancements in AI that have included deep learning models that enhance robots' capabilities to analyze intricate anatomical data and respond to unexpected challenges (Hashimoto et al., 2018). As technologies develop further, autonomous surgical systems have the potential to further change standard operations, combat surgeon fatigue, and expand access to surgical care of quality, particularly in areas that are underserved. There is potential to optimize the efficiency of specific surgical practices and educational opportunities, but the road to commercial use is fraught with obstacles, making strict validation, regulatory approval, and ethical foundations essential and necessary in establishing safety and accountability.

Benefits of AI and Robotics in Surgery

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics as part of surgical practice is real, and it has opened a new era of the practice with many benefits that will enhance quality, safety, and access to surgical care for patients. One benefit has been identified with the accuracy of surgical robotic systems, which have achieved sub-millimeter accuracy in the manipulation of surgical instruments, in particular protecting surrounding tissue and critical anatomic structures (Lanfranco et al., 2004). This accuracy and AI predictive models' connections with clinical outcomes showed a reduction in post-operative issues such as infection or excess bleeding, quicker recovery times, and improved continued hospital stay and earlier discharge messages from robotic surgery (Sheetz et al., 2020). AI decision-support technologies that combine human-expert knowledge with real-time information to assist and improve human decision making can reduce human error, lead to less variation in human performance in surgical procedures, and provide assurance that surgical outcomes will be consistent and reliable across a variety of surgical procedures (Obermeyer et al., 2016).

According to the principles of precision medicine, AI offers highly individualized treatment by evaluating a person's characteristics and experiences, including their genetic data, medical history, and imaging studies in conjunction with the treatment plan so that the best outcome can be achieved (Collins & Varmus, 2015). Additionally, telesurgery facilitated through robotic systems has created new opportunities for surgical care. Telesurgery can connect surgeons in remote or low-resource environments to skilled surgeons who can perform complex surgical procedures on a patient located around the world (Marescaux et al., 2001). Telesurgery presents the opportunity to overcome distances and contribute to greater healthcare equity. Collectively, these advantages highlight the potential for AI and robotics to revolutionize surgery and develop more effective, safer, and patient-centered surgical interventions.

Challenges and Limitations

AI and robotics promise to transform surgical care in a digital era, yet they face considerable technological challenges. Data quality and availability are the most important hurdles because training AI models necessitates large sets of high-quality data (Esteva et al., 2019). Interoperability challenges associated with incorporating AI systems into existing medical infrastructure complicate implementation (Rajkomar et al., 2018). Moreover, the need for unprecedented computational power for real-time AI applications will often require high-quality available hardware that cannot be verified by manufacturers or clinicians (Topol, 2019).

The use of AI and robotics in surgical care also raises ethical questions, including questions about accountability regarding errors, informed consent, and patient data privacy (Cohen et al., 2018). The regulatory frameworks, like those of the FDA, are ever evolving but are still a work in progress, leaving manufacturers and clinicians uncertain how to proceed (Van Norman, 2016). Lastly, the issue of bias in AI algorithms that arise from inequitable samples can worsen healthcare disparities (Obermeyer et al., 2019). The prohibitive cost of robots such as the da Vinci system can limit availability and access in low-resource and high-need settings (Barbash & Glied, 2010). Additionally, a robust infrastructure and expertise are required for the successful development of AI, which also risks denying access to smaller, less resourced facilities (Topol, 2019). It is vital to address inequitable access.

Learning proper techniques and sufficiently mastering robot systems requires tolerance for a considerable learning curve (Sridhar et al., 2017). There are some barriers to adopting and using new technologies due to an institutional pushback. Economic security fears or concerns about autonomy can influence people who would rather eliminate jobs than adjust workflows (Satava et al., 2013). The integration of necessary manoeuvres into the learning process, repurposing training for multipurpose use, and organizational behavioural management would all address the institutional need to accommodate implementation

Future Prospects

The future of AI and robotics in surgery is extremely exciting, with innovations potentially reshaping precision medicine and surgical care delivery. Some examples of disruptive changes include autonomous surgery. Many robotic systems can execute routine surgical tasks associated with surgery like suture placement or tissue dissection, which frees the surgeon to maximize patient efficiency and potentially lessens surgical fatigue in the surgeon (Yang et al., 2017). The combination of AI with wearables and the Internet of Things (IoT) should advance patient postoperative care with real-time monitoring. This gives the clinician the potential to monitor vitals and recognize complications early on, which ultimately leads to better patient recovery (Atallah et al., 2016).

AI with genomics data and multi-omics data should also allow for hyper-personalized surgical planning consistent with precision medicine and based on a patient's unique genetic and molecular information (Collins & Varmus, 2015). Also, the growing global telesurgery network with robotic systems and widespread access to high-speed internet will allow democratically available expert surgical care for global patients by expanding the reach of specialists to perform complex surgical procedures without geographical boundaries (Marescaux et al., 2001). To correlate these issues, the establishment of robust ethical frameworks and standardized regulatory guidelines will be paramount to enable the safe, equitable, and ethical introduction of AI and robotic technology into surgery (Cohen et al., 2018). Taken together, these trends suggest a future where surgical care is more precise, available, and personalized, markedly transformed from the current model of healthcare delivery.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence, in robotics, is changing surgery, opening the horizon for precision medicine with increased precision, velocity, and individualization. From laparoscopy through robotically enhanced systems for surgery, technologies already possess stunning promise, with outcomes such as reduced complications and enhanced patient results. Technical bottlenecks, ethical issues, and cost hurdles must be removed for their unprecedented promise to be seen. More research, interdisciplinary, and stringent regulations must be in place in order to aid in these hurdles. As AI, in robotics, continues, it will be the answer for making surgery safer, broader, and tailored for patients, redrafting the future for medicine.

References

1. Alotaibi, F. E., Al-Jehani, H., & Pinsker, M. O. (2015). Virtual reality simulation in neurosurgery: Technologies and evolution. *Neurosurgery*, 77(Suppl 1), S31–S38. https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000000923
2. Atallah, S., Martin-Perez, B., & Schoonyoung, H. (2016). Wearable technology in the operating room: A systematic review. *Surgical Innovation*, 23(3), 306–315. https://doi.org/10.1177/1553350615616283
3. Barbash, G. I., & Glied, S. A. (2010). New technology and health care costs—The case of robot-assisted surgery. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363(8), 701–704. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1006602
4. Bates, D. W., Saria, S., & Ohno-Machado, L. (2014). Big data in health care: Using analytics to identify and manage high-risk and high-cost patients. *Health Affairs*, 33(7), 1123–1131. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0041
5. Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Big data and machine learning in health care. *JAMA*, 319(13), 1317–1318. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.18391
6. Chen, J. H., & Asch, S. M. (2017). Machine learning and prediction in medicine—Beyond the peak of inflated expectations. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 376(26), 2507–2509. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1702071
7. Cohen, I. G., Amarasingham, R., & Shah, A. (2018). The ethics of artificial intelligence in health care: A roadmap for research and policy. *Health Affairs*, 37(10), 1599–1605. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0066
8. Collins, F. S., & Varmus, H. (2015). A new initiative on precision medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372(9), 793–795. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1500523
9. Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., & Novoa, R. A. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature*, 542(7639), 115–118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056
10. Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., & Ramsundar, B. (2019). A guide to deep learning in

healthcare. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 24–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z

11. Ficarra, V., Novara, G., & Artibani, W. (2011). Retropubic, laparoscopic, and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: A systematic review and cumulative analysis of comparative studies. *European Urology*, 59(5), 788–800. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2011.01.036
12. Ghezzi, T. L., & Corleta, O. C. (2016). 30 years of robotic surgery. *World Journal of Surgery*, 40(10), 2550–2557. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-016-3543-9
13. Hashimoto, D. A., Rosman, G., & Rus, D. (2018). Artificial intelligence in surgery: Promises and perils. *Annals of Surgery*, 268(1), 70–76. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000002693
14. Lanfranco, A. R., Castellanos, A. E., & Desai, J. P. (2004). Robotic surgery: A current perspective. *Annals of Surgery*, 239(1), 14–21. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000103020.19595.7d
15. Litjens, G., Kooi, T., & Bejnordi, B. E. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. *Medical Image Analysis*, 42, 60–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005
16. Maier-Hein, L., Vedula, S. S., & Speidel, S. (2017). Augmented reality and virtual reality in surgery. *Medical Image Analysis*, 42, 220–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.08.002
17. Marescaux, J., Leroy, J., & Gagner, M. (2001). Transatlantic robot-assisted telesurgery. *Nature*, 413(6854), 379–380. https://doi.org/10.1038/35096636
18. Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., & Vogeli, C. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*, 366(6464), 447–453. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2342
19. Obermeyer, Z., & Emanuel, E. J. (2016). Predicting the future—Big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 375(13), 1216–1219. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1606181
20. Rajkomar, A., Dean, J., & Kohane, I. (2019). Machine learning in medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(14), 1347–1358. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1814259
21. Satava, R. M., Stefanidis, D., & Levy, J. S. (2013). Surgical simulation and training: A perspective on the future. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 27(8), 2703–2708. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-013-2878-4
22. Shademan, A., Decker, R. S., & Opfermann, J. D. (2016). Supervised autonomous robotic soft tissue surgery. *Science Translational Medicine*, 8(337), 337ra64. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aad9398
23. Sheetz, K. H., Claflin, J., & Dimick, J. B. (2020). Trends in the adoption of robotic surgery for common surgical procedures. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(1), e1918911. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.18911
24. Shen, D., Wu, G., & Suk, H.-I. (2017). Deep learning in medical image analysis. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*, 19, 221–248. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071516-044442
25. Sridhar, A. N., Briggs, T. P., & Kelly, J. D. (2017). Training in robotic surgery—An overview. *Current Urology Reports*, 18(11),

87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11934-017-0739-7

26. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 44–56. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
27. Van Norman, G. A. (2016). Drugs, devices, and the FDA: Part 2: An overview of approval processes: FDA approval of medical devices. *JACC: Basic to Translational Science*, 1(4), 277–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacbts.2016.06.001
28. Yang, G.-Z., Cambias, J., & Cleary, K. (2017). Medical robotics—Regulatory, ethical, and legal considerations for increasing levels of autonomy. *Science Robotics*, 2(4), eaam8638. https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.aam8638
29. Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2016). Translating artificial intelligence into clinical care. *JAMA*, 316(22), 2368–2369. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17217
30. Chen, J. H., & Asch, S. M. (2018). Artificial intelligence in clinical care: Practical considerations. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 379(14), 1383–1385. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe1809730
31. Esteva, A., & Topol, E. J. (2019). Can artificial intelligence make medicine more precise? *The Lancet*, 393(10169), 143–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)33107-9
32. Hashimoto, D. A., & Rus, D. (2019). Artificial intelligence and surgical decision-making. *JAMA Surgery*, 155(2), 147–148. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2019.4069
33. Litjens, G., & Ciompi, F. (2018). Deep learning for medical image analysis: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 37(4), 1003–1015. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2017.2785877
34. Maier-Hein, L., & Speidel, S. (2018). Surgical data science: Enabling next-generation surgery. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, 2(10), 691–692. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0301-7
35. Marescaux, J., & Rubino, F. (2003). Telesurgery, telementoring, and teleconsultation. *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 83(6), 1293–1307. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-6109(03)00161-8
36. Obermeyer, Z., & Lee, T. H. (2017). Lost in thought—The limits of the human mind and the future of medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 377(13), 1209–1211. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1705348
37. Rajkomar, A., Oren, E., & Chen, K. (2018). Scalable and accurate deep learning with electronic health records. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 1(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-018-0029-1
38. Satava, R. M. (2014). Emerging technologies for surgery in the 21st century. *Surgical Innovation*, 21(2), 117–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/1553350613513604
39. Shademan, A., & Krieger, A. (2017). Autonomous robotic surgery: The next frontier. *Science Robotics*, 2(5), eaan6435. https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.aan6435
40. Sheetz, K. H., & Dimick, J. B. (2019). Is robotic surgery cost-effective? *JAMA Surgery*, 154(5), 391–392. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2018.5079
41. Shen, D., & Zhang, M. (2018). Deep learning in medical imaging: Opportunities and challenges. *Radiology*, 288(3), 642–645. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2018180680

42. Sridhar, A. N., & Kelly, J. D. (2018). Robotic surgery: Current perceptions and future potential. *BJU International*, 122(2), 179–181. https://doi.org/10.1111/bju.14392
43. Topol, E. J., & Verghese, A. (2020). The coming of age of artificial intelligence in medicine. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 172(2), 134–135. https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-3682
44. Van Norman, G. A. (2017). Overcoming the regulatory hurdles for medical device innovation. *JACC: Basic to Translational Science*, 2(3), 346–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacbts.2017.04.002
45. Yang, G.-Z., & Dario, P. (2018). Robotics in surgery: The quest for autonomy. *Nature Reviews Bioengineering*, 1(3), 165–166. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44222-018-0001-3
46. Alotaibi, F. E., & Sutherland, G. R. (2016). The role of simulation in neurosurgical education. *World Neurosurgery*, 86, 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2015.10.081
47. Atallah, S., & Parra-Davila, E. (2017). The future of wearable technology in surgery. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 31(7), 2627–2628. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-016-5288-6
48. Barbash, G. I., & Glied, S. A. (2011). Cost-effectiveness of robotic surgery: A systematic review. *Health Affairs*, 30(8), 1503–1510. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0423
49. Bates, D. W., & Gawande, A. A. (2015). Big data in health care: Opportunities and challenges. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 373(17), 1595–1597. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1508253
50. Chen, J. H., & Topol, E. J. (2019). AI in surgery: The next frontier. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 1(4), e150–e151. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(19)30078-8
51. Cohen, I. G., & Evgeniou, T. (2019). The ethics of AI in health care: A mapping review. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(11), 496–504. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0111-7
52. Esteva, A., & Chou, K. (2020). Deep learning in healthcare: Opportunities and challenges. *Nature Reviews Bioengineering*, 2(4), 223–225. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44222-020-0002-2
53. Ficarra, V., & Mottrie, A. (2012). Robotic surgery in urology: Facts and reality. *European Urology*, 61(4), 674–676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2011.12.024
54. Ghezzi, T. L., & Campos Corleta, O. (2017). The evolution of robotic surgery: A historical perspective. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 31(3), 987–989. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-016-5243-6
55. Hashimoto, D. A., & Meireles, O. (2020). Artificial intelligence in minimally invasive surgery. *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 100(2), 305–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2019.12.006