



Health Security Begins at Reception: A Review of Frontline Triage and Biosurveillance in Primary Care and Dental Clinics

Fatimah Mohammed Hussain Sherwani⁽¹⁾, Ghanim Yahya Mansor Okayri⁽²⁾, Norah Awad Nafel Alharbi⁽³⁾, Aisha Saud Ali Alenazi⁽⁴⁾, Khulud Abdulllah Omaysh⁽⁵⁾, Sultan Rakkad Halwan Alsharari⁽⁶⁾, Yahya Ahmed Ali Masrahi⁽⁷⁾, Saad Dhafer Alqahtani⁽⁸⁾, Nuha Abdulaziz Saad Almutairi⁽⁹⁾, Shaya Mohammad Aldosari⁽¹⁰⁾, Majidah Salem Alhejaili⁽¹¹⁾, Ali Mohammad Kamili⁽¹²⁾

(1) Sabya Hospital, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(2) Sabya General Hospital, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(3) Al-Qassim Al-Badaya, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(4) Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(5) Suwais PHC, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(6) Al-Qurayyat General Hospital – Al-Qurayyat, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(7) Eye Specialist Hospital – Dhahran, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(8) Prince Sultan Health Center – Al-Ahmadiyah, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(9) Aldiriyah Hospital – Riyadh, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(10) Al-Sulail General Hospital, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(11) Dental Clinics Complex – Mansoura, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(12) Erada Mental Health Hospital – Jazan, Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background: The initial point of contact in primary care and dental clinics represents a critical, yet vulnerable, frontier in global health security. Frontline reception and triage, often managed by health and dental assistants, serve as the first line of detection for high-consequence infectious diseases (HCIDs) and pandemic threats. **Aim:** This narrative review critically examines the role of clinic reception in biosurveillance, evaluates existing triage protocols, and proposes a systematic framework for empowering frontline staff as effective "first defenders." **Methods:** A comprehensive synthesis of literature (2010-2024) was conducted, analyzing studies on triage systems, infection prevention and control (IPC) in ambulatory settings, syndromic surveillance, and frontline healthcare worker training. **Results:** Significant gaps exist in inconsistent screening for travel and symptom red flags, inadequate training for non-clinical staff, poorly designed clinic flows allowing for disease transmission, and fragmented communication pathways to public health authorities. **Conclusion:** Health security can be substantially strengthened by standardizing "first-defender" training for reception staff, redesigning clinic layouts for rapid isolation, and integrating point-of-care diagnostics at triage. Empowering health and dental assistants is a cost-effective strategy to harden the healthcare system's entry points against biothreats.

Keywords: Biosurveillance, Triage, Health Security, Infection Control, Primary Care.

Introduction

The conventional architecture of global health security is often conceptualized as a hierarchical pyramid, with its apex comprising advanced national laboratories, epidemiological intelligence units, and specialized pandemic response teams. However, the integrity and stability of this entire sophisticated structure are wholly dependent upon its broad, frequently undervalued base: the frontline reception areas of primary care clinics and dental offices. These spaces function as the critical sentinel nodes of the public health system, representing the initial point where individuals interact with medical services during illness (Khan et al., 2018). It is at this precise community-healthcare interface, often managed by health assistants (HAs)

and dental assistants (DAs) performing combined reception and triage duties, where opportunities for the early detection—or catastrophic failure—of biosurveillance are determined (Palinkas et al., 2021).

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a stark stress test, revealing the profound vulnerabilities of this "soft underbelly" of the healthcare system, where patients infected with a novel pathogen entered communal waiting areas and interacted with staff, potentially seeding local outbreaks before their condition was recognized as a significant threat (Emanuel et al., 2020; Paoletti et al., 2022). This review argues that fortifying these entry points is not merely a peripheral infection control issue but a fundamental pillar of national and global health security. By critically examining the current state of

frontline triage, the integration of biosurveillance, clinic design, and staff competencies, we advocate for a necessary paradigm shift that re-conceptualizes reception staff as essential "first defenders" in the biosecurity continuum.

The Critical, Yet Undertrained, Role of the Frontline Assistant

The role of health and dental assistants at the clinic reception transcends basic administrative functions; it constitutes a *de facto* clinical triage and biosurveillance role with direct public health consequences. In countless ambulatory settings, the HA or DA is the first individual to engage with a patient, whether by phone or in person. Their initial inquiries regarding the reason for the visit and their visual assessment of the patient's general condition form the healthcare system's primary screening filter (Rebmann & Carrico, 2017). This position grants them a unique vantage point to identify epidemiological "red flags" that may signal a high-consequence infectious disease (HCID), such as a recent travel history to a region experiencing an outbreak of Viral Hemorrhagic Fever (VHF) or Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), or known exposure to a confirmed case of a contagious illness (Fischer *et al.*, 2018).

Furthermore, their direct observation of presenting symptoms—such as a persistent cough, a fever, a rash, or conjunctivitis—allows recognition of syndromes that may represent common ailments or the prodrome of a more severe condition. Despite occupying this pivotal role, these personnel frequently receive minimal, if any, formal training in syndromic surveillance, risk communication, or the specific infection prevention and control (IPC) principles required for pathogen containment at the point of entry (Alhumaid *et al.*, 2021; Anagnostopoulos-King & Rodriguez, 2020). This significant training gap creates a critical vulnerability, as the decision to provide a mask, redirect a patient, or immediately escalate a concern rests with staff who may lack the knowledge, confidence, or institutional support to act decisively and correctly (O'Hara *et al.*, 2018).

Standardizing the Screening Process for Actionable Intelligence

Effective frontline biosurveillance cannot rely on ad-hoc, variable verbal questioning; it must be predicated on standardized, protocol-driven screening that is seamlessly embedded into the patient intake workflow (Looi, 2022). A robust system requires the implementation of structured tools, beginning with **standardized screening questionnaires** that are dynamically updated in real-time based on alerts from local public health departments and global agencies like the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Cheng *et al.*, 2020). These instruments must explicitly query key epidemiological risk factors, including international travel within a relevant incubation period (e.g., 21-28 days), contact with ill travelers, occupation in high-risk settings (e.g., laboratories,

wildlife markets), and participation in large gatherings during known outbreak periods (Lam *et al.*, 2017).

The screening content must be dynamic; as demonstrated during the COVID-19 pandemic, questions must evolve from a focus on travel history to indicators of community transmission and vaccination status. This information should be collected **before or immediately upon arrival**, ideally through digital pre-registration portals or at dedicated screening kiosks stationed before the patient accesses the main waiting area (Ahmed *et al.*, 2023). The receptionist's role then shifts to verifying these digital inputs and applying astute clinical observation to identify symptomatic individuals who may not have self-reported accurately. This structured, multi-modal approach is essential for transforming subjective impressions into objective, actionable data that can trigger a standardized response.

Protocol and Clinic Design

Upon identification of a "person under investigation" (PUI), the immediate physical containment of the potential threat becomes the overriding priority. This response is wholly dependent on pre-established protocols and intentional clinic design. The traditional open-plan waiting room is a proven high-risk environment for the transmission of respiratory pathogens (Qian *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, clinics must be (re)designed with **dedicated respiratory isolation pathways**. This infrastructure includes a separate, well-ventilated entrance or alcove for symptomatic patients, negative-pressure isolation rooms where feasible (particularly in larger primary care centers), and unambiguous signage to guide patients who self-identify as symptomatic (Zhang *et al.*, 2022).

The frontline HA/DA, upon flagging a PUI, must activate a clear, rehearsed protocol. This should involve: 1) Immediately providing a surgical or procedure mask to the patient while donning appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) such as a mask and eye protection; 2) Personally escorting the patient directly to a pre-designated isolation room via a predefined route that avoids common areas; and 3) **Immediately notifying the clinical nursing team and the attending provider** using a dedicated code or alert system designed to convey urgency without inciting panic among other patients or staff (Billings *et al.*, 2021). In this sequence, the assistant's role is explicitly not to diagnose but to execute containment and initiate communication, serving as the critical catalyst for the clinic's emergency response chain.

Rapid Assessment and Integration of Point-of-Care Diagnostics

Following initial containment, the process transitions to rapid clinical assessment, led by nursing and medical staff but greatly enhanced by strategically deployed point-of-care (POC) technologies that enable informed, real-time decision-making. The nursing team, upon receiving the alert, assumes care for the isolated patient's initial assessment. The

integration of **rapid laboratory tests and point-of-care radiology** at this stage can dramatically accelerate diagnosis and guide appropriate patient disposition. For acute respiratory threats, rapid molecular tests for pathogens like influenza, RSV, and SARS-CoV-2 can yield reliable results within 15-30 minutes, facilitating immediate cohorting and treatment decisions (Hanson et al., 2021).

Similarly, a **portable digital chest X-ray system** can be brought to the isolation room, minimizing further pathogen spread through patient movement and providing crucial diagnostic information—such as evidence of bilateral viral pneumonia—that directly supports clinical decisions regarding hospitalization versus supervised home isolation (Wong et al., 2020). This suite of POC tools effectively transforms the primary care clinic from a passive screening and referral outpost into an active, diagnostic node within the broader biosurveillance network. The laboratory and radiological data, when synthesized with the epidemiological data captured at reception, create a powerful, composite clinical picture that informs both immediate patient management and mandatory reporting to public health authorities.

Communication Pathways and Integration with Public Health Surveillance

The ultimate success of this integrated frontline system hinges on seamless, closed-loop communication and clearly defined escalation

pathways that extend from the clinic reception desk directly to public health authorities (Sert et al., 2022). Frontline staff require training not only in identification and isolation procedures but also in understanding **what specific information to report, to whom, and within what mandated timeframe**. Legal requirements for reporting suspected notifiable diseases vary by jurisdiction but typically involve strict timelines (Soe et al., 2018). Clinic protocols must explicitly designate a responsible individual (e.g., the nurse manager, infection control lead, or treating provider) for making the official report to the local health department (Bismark et al., 2016).

However, the HA/DA who first identified the PUI carries the crucial responsibility of ensuring the complete and accurate initial epidemiological data packet—detailed travel history, exposure events, and symptom onset—is meticulously recorded and effectively transmitted to the clinical team. This ensures that public health epidemiologists receive timely, high-fidelity data to initiate rapid contact tracing, community exposure investigations, and broader outbreak containment measures (Juneau et al., 2023). In this model, each clinic functions as an essential sensor in a distributed public health surveillance network, where the accuracy and timeliness of its signal are fundamentally dependent on the competency and precision of its frontline operators (Table 1).

Table 1: Frontline Triage Protocol for Potential High-Consequence Infectious Disease (HCID)

Step	Action	Responsible Staff (HA/DA)	Tools & Communication
1. Screening	Administer standardized travel/exposure/symptom questionnaire (digital or verbal).	Health/Dental Assistant	Updated digital screening form; WHO/CDC travel advisory list.
2. Identification	Flag patient as PUI based on positive screen (e.g., fever + cough + recent travel to outbreak area).	Health/Dental Assistant	Protocol checklist with clear red-flag criteria.
3. Immediate Action	Provide mask to patient. Don appropriate PPE (mask, eye protection).	Health/Dental Assistant	PPE stocked at reception; clear instructions.
4. Isolation & Escort	Escort patient via pre-defined route to dedicated isolation room.	Health/Dental Assistant	Clinic map with isolation pathway; isolation room ready.
5. Notification	Activate alert to nursing staff and provider (e.g., specific phone code, panic button).	Health/Dental Assistant	Dedicated phone line/pager system; coded alert language.
6. Information Handoff	Verbally and electronically hand off collected screening data to the nursing team.	Health/Dental Assistant / Nurse	Electronic health record flag; brief structured handoff report.

Operationalizing the Model in Training the "First Defender."

To effectively operationalize a frontline biosurveillance system, a foundational investment in standardized, specialized training for health and dental assistants is imperative. Current educational and certification curricula for these roles predominantly emphasize clinical support skills—such as instrument

sterilization and chairside assisting—and administrative competencies, with infection prevention and control (IPC) training often limited to universal precautions (Brega et al., 2015). This leaves a critical gap in the knowledge required for sentinel functions. A mandated, specialized training module must be developed to equip these staff as certified "first defenders."

This curriculum should comprehensively cover several core domains: Principles of Syndromic Surveillance, focusing on recognizing key symptom complexes associated with high-consequence infectious diseases (HCIDs) like hemorrhagic fevers or novel respiratory illnesses; Epidemiological Risk Assessment, including the ability to interpret and apply real-time travel advisories and exposure alerts from public health authorities; Risk Communication, teaching techniques for conducting sensitive screening interviews and delivering clear isolation instructions without inciting stigma or panic; Initial IPC Actions, with hands-on competency in proper mask donning/doffing, hand hygiene, and surface decontamination following contact with a person under investigation (PUI); and Clinic-Specific Emergency Protocols (Tomossy et al., 2017; Weber et al., 2023). Training efficacy must be ensured through scenario-based simulations—such as managing a patient with suspected measles or MERS—and culminate in a formal certification. This credential would not only validate the critical public health function of these roles but also enhance professional confidence, institutional authority, and protocol compliance.

Redesigning the Physical Environment for Inherent Safety

Parallel to upskilling staff, the physical and operational design of clinical spaces must be fundamentally re-evaluated and redesigned through an integrated biosurveillance and infection control lens. The goal of clinic flow redesign is to architecturally enforce the separation of well and potentially infectious patients, thereby minimizing high-risk mixing in communal areas. A multi-faceted approach is required. First, establishing dual entry points—a clearly marked "well" entrance and a separate, dedicated "respiratory evaluation" entrance—segregates patient streams from the moment of arrival. Second, implementing virtual waiting rooms, where patients check in via mobile phone or outdoor kiosk and wait in their vehicles until an exam room is available, effectively decommissions the traditional, crowded indoor waiting area, a practice widely validated for its safety benefits during the COVID-19 pandemic (Ahmed et al., 2023). At the reception desk itself, environmental modifications such as installing higher, floor-to-ceiling transparent barriers (sneeze guards) with integrated pass-through drawers can significantly reduce droplet exposure during necessary administrative interactions.

Furthermore, the strategic, highly visible placement of personal protective equipment (PPE), alcohol-based hand sanitizer stations, and lined clinical waste bins at all entrances and high-touch zones serves to prompt and facilitate immediate infection control behaviors (Faedda et al., 2022).

Critically, airflow management must be addressed; this involves professional assessment and, where feasible, upgrading of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems to increase air changes per hour (ACH) in waiting and reception zones, supplemented by the deployment of portable high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration units to reduce ambient aerosol concentrations (Dietz et al., 2020). While these infrastructural interventions require upfront capital investment, they collectively create a durable and versatile engineering control framework that enhances resilience against a broad spectrum of airborne and droplet-transmitted pathogens, offering sustained protection that outlasts any single epidemic cycle (Horve et al., 2022).

Addressing Practical Implementation Challenges

The implementation of this enhanced frontline model faces significant practical and systemic challenges that must be proactively addressed to ensure feasibility and sustainability. A primary barrier is financial and resource constraints, especially for small, independent dental and primary care practices operating on thin margins. The costs associated with structural renovations, portable X-ray units, advanced ventilation upgrades, and dedicated staff training time can be prohibitive without external support or incentives (Shanafelt et al., 2017). Concurrently, workforce and workflow pressures present a major hurdle; layering detailed screening, isolation escort, and data management duties onto the existing responsibilities of already busy reception staff risks task overload, burnout, and eventual protocol non-compliance. This necessitates not only training but also potential workflow restructuring and staffing model adjustments to distribute the new biosurveillance workload effectively (Sexton et al., 2018).

Ethical and operational concerns regarding patient privacy and stigma also require careful management; screening for travel history and symptoms must be conducted discreetly, using private screening stations or digital tools, to avoid embarrassing patients or discouraging them from seeking care. Finally, the risk of alert fatigue is substantial; if triage protocols are insufficiently specific and generate a high volume of alarms for low-probability scenarios (e.g., flagging every patient with seasonal allergy symptoms), staff may become desensitized, leading to complacency and the potential missed detection of a true threat (Sadiq et al., 2023). Therefore, protocols must be evidence-based, tiered according to clear risk stratification, and regularly reviewed and refined based on local disease prevalence and feedback from frontline users to maintain their operational relevance and credibility (Table 2).

Table 2: Components of a "First Defender" Training Program for Clinic Reception Staff

Module	Core Competencies	Training Methods
--------	-------------------	------------------

Biosurveillance & Syndromic Recognition	Identify symptom complexes for key HCIDs (respiratory, hemorrhagic, rash illnesses). Understand basic epidemiology.	Case-based learning; review of outbreak bulletins.
Risk Communication	Conduct sensitive screening interviews. Deliver clear instructions on masking and isolation without causing panic.	Role-playing simulations; script development.
Infection Prevention & Control (IPC)	Proper donning/doffing of PPE (mask, eye protection). Hand hygiene. Surface decontamination procedures.	Hands-on practicum with PPE; competency check-offs.
Clinic Emergency Protocols	Execute clinic-specific isolation pathway. Use alert/notification systems. Perform structured handoff to clinical team.	Walk-through drills; tabletop exercises for various scenarios.
Public Health Interface	Understand mandatory reporting requirements. Accurately document and transmit epidemiological data.	Review of local/state reporting laws; form completion exercises.

A Multi-Stakeholder Strategy

The transition from concept to reality requires a dedicated, multi-stakeholder commitment to formally valorize and materially fortify the healthcare system's front door. This necessitates coordinated action across professional, governmental, and policy spheres. First, professional associations for medical assistants (e.g., the American Association of Medical Assistants) and dental assistants (e.g., the Dental Assisting National Board) must lead by integrating core competencies in biosurveillance, epidemiological risk assessment, and advanced infection prevention and control (IPC) for ambulatory settings directly into their national certification standards and continuing education requirements (Donaldson & Neelam, 2020). This institutionalizes the "first-defender" role, ensuring a baseline of preparedness across the workforce. Concurrently, federal and state public health agencies have a critical role in supporting implementation.

Agencies like the CDC and local health departments must develop, validate, and disseminate turn-key training packages, standardized screening toolkits, and clinic design templates specifically engineered for the resource realities of small, independent practices (Gostin et al., 2020). To address the significant financial barrier, policymakers and legislators should explore creating targeted grant programs, low-interest loan facilities, or tax incentives to offset the capital costs associated with critical engineering controls—such as ventilation system upgrades, negative-pressure isolation room construction, and the acquisition of essential point-of-care (POC) diagnostic equipment like rapid molecular analyzers and portable X-ray units. Finally, to unlock the full strategic value of frontline screening, health information technology policy must prioritize the secure, automated integration of de-identified, clinic-based syndromic screening data into regional public health surveillance dashboards in near real-time (Lurie

et al., 2021). This creates a powerful, distributed sensor network, allowing epidemiologists to detect anomalous clusters of symptoms—such as a spike in "fever and cough" reports from multiple clinics in one zip code—far earlier than traditional laboratory reporting allows, enabling a more proactive public health response.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the reception desk of a primary care clinic or dental office must be re-envisioned not as a mere administrative checkpoint, but as a strategic biosecurity asset of national importance. The health and dental assistants who staff these posts are, in practice, the first defenders of community health, operating at the precise epidemiological frontier where individual illness intersects with the collective defense system. The COVID-19 pandemic served as a profound and painful stress test, unequivocally exposing the systemic vulnerabilities latent in this overlooked juncture of the healthcare continuum. The imperative now is to resist a return to a fragile pre-pandemic status quo and instead seize the demonstrated opportunity to learn, invest, and deliberately redesign. By implementing standardized, protocol-driven frontline triage, investing in comprehensive "first-defender" training and certification, redesigning clinic flows and spaces for inherent safety through engineering controls, and fostering seamless digital integration with public health surveillance networks, we can systematically transform every clinic entrance from a point of potential vulnerability and amplification into a robust, active node of early detection, initial containment, and timely reporting. This integrated hardening of the healthcare system's perimeter is not an optional enhancement or a temporary pandemic measure; it is a fundamental and enduring requirement for building a resilient health system capable of anticipating, withstanding, and effectively responding to the evolving infectious disease challenges of the 21st

century. Ultimately, health security, truly, begins at reception.

References

- Ahmed, N., Sanghavi, K., Mathur, S., & McCullers, A. (2023). Patient portal use: Persistent disparities from pre-to post-onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 178, 105204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2023.105204>
- Alhumaid, S., Al Mutair, A., Al Alawi, Z., Alsuliman, M., Ahmed, G. Y., Rabaan, A. A., ... & Al-Omari, A. (2021). Knowledge of infection prevention and control among healthcare workers and factors influencing compliance: a systematic review. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*, 10(1), 86. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-021-00957-0>
- Anagnostopoulos-King, F., & Rodriguez, D. S. (2019). Infection control standards of care: a global perspective. In *Infection Control in the Dental Office: A Global Perspective* (pp. 63-75). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30085-2_5
- Billings, J., Ching, B. C. F., Gkofa, V., Greene, T., & Bloomfield, M. (2021). Experiences of frontline healthcare workers and their views about support during COVID-19 and previous pandemics: a systematic review and qualitative meta-synthesis. *BMC health services research*, 21(1), 923. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06917-z>
- Bismark, M. M., Mathews, B., Morris, J. M., Thomas, L. A., & Studdert, D. M. (2016). Views on mandatory reporting of impaired health practitioners by their treating practitioners: a qualitative study from Australia. *BMJ open*, 6(12), e011988. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011988>
- Brega, A. G., Freedman, M. A., LeBlanc, W. G., Barnard, J., Mabachi, N. M., Cifuentes, M., ... & West, D. R. (2015). Using the health literacy universal precautions toolkit to improve the quality of patient materials. *Journal of health communication*, 20(sup2), 69-76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/108010810730.2015.1081997>
- Cheng, V. C. C., Wong, S. C., Chuang, V. W. M., So, S. Y. C., Chen, J. H. K., Sridhar, S., ... & Yuen, K. Y. (2020). The role of community-wide wearing of face mask for control of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic due to SARS-CoV-2. *Journal of Infection*, 81(1), 107-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.04.024>
- Dietz, L., Horve, P. F., Coil, D. A., Fretz, M., Eisen, J. A., & Van Den Wymelenberg, K. (2020). 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic: built environment considerations to reduce transmission. *Msystems*, 5(2), 10-1128. <https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00245-20>
- Donaldson, L. J., & Neelam, D. (2020). World patient safety day: a call for action on health worker safety. *Journal of Patient Safety and Risk Management*, 25(5), 171-173. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516043520961221>
- Emanuel, E. J., Persad, G., Upshur, R., Thome, B., Parker, M., Glickman, A., ... & Phillips, J. P. (2020). Fair allocation of scarce medical resources in the time of Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(21), 2049-2055. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMs2005114
- Faedita, S., Plaisant, A., Talu, V., & Tola, G. (2022). The role of urban environment design on health during the Covid-19 pandemic: A scoping review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 791656. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.791656>
- Fischer, W. A., Vetter, P., Bausch, D. G., Burgess, T., Davey, R. T., Fowler, R., ... & Jacobs, M. (2018). Ebola virus disease: an update on post-exposure prophylaxis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 18(6), e183-e192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(17\)30677-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30677-1)
- Gostin, L. O., Hodge, J. G., & Wiley, L. F. (2020). Presidential powers and response to COVID-19. *Jama*, 323(16), 1547-1548. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4335
- Hanson, K. E., Caliendo, A. M., Arias, C. A., Hayden, M. K., Englund, J. A., Lee, M. J., ... & Mustafa, R. A. (2021). Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the Diagnosis of COVID-19: Molecular diagnostic testing. Infectious Diseases Society of America 2020; Version 2.0.0.
- Horve, P. F., Dietz, L. G., Bowles, G., MacCrone, G., Olsen-Martinez, A., Northcutt, D., ... & Van Den Wymelenberg, K. G. (2022). Longitudinal analysis of built environment and aerosol contamination associated with isolated COVID-19 positive individuals. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 7395. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-11303-8>
- Juneau, C. E., Briand, A. S., Collazzo, P., Siebert, U., & Pueyo, T. (2023). Effective contact tracing for COVID-19: A systematic review. *Global Epidemiology*, 5, 100103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloepi.2023.100103>
- Khan, Y., O'Sullivan, T., Brown, A., Tracey, S., Gibson, J., Génereux, M., ... & Schwartz, B. (2018). Public health emergency preparedness: a framework to promote resilience. *BMC public health*, 18(1), 1344. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6250-7>
- Lam, S. K., Kwong, E. W., Hung, M. S., Pang, S. M., & Chiang, V. C. (2018). Nurses' preparedness for infectious disease outbreaks: A literature review and narrative synthesis of qualitative evidence. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 27(7-8), e1244-e1255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.14210>
- Looi, K. H. (2022). Contextual factors of face mask wearing during the COVID-19 pandemic and recommendations to increase compliance

- rate. *The Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences: MJMS*, 29(1), 147. <https://doi.org/10.21315/mjms2022.29.1.15>
20. Lurie, N., Keusch, G. T., & Dzau, V. J. (2021). Urgent lessons from COVID 19: why the world needs a standing, coordinated system and sustainable financing for global research and development. *The Lancet*, 397(10280), 1229-1236. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00503-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00503-1)
 21. O'Hara, L. M., Thom, K. A., & Preas, M. A. (2018). Update to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee Guideline for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection (2017): a summary, review, and strategies for implementation. *American journal of infection control*, 46(6), 602-609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.01.018>
 22. Palinkas, L. A., Springgate, B. F., Sugarman, O. K., Hancock, J., Wennerstrom, A., Haywood, C., ... & Wells, K. B. (2021). A rapid assessment of disaster preparedness needs and resources during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(2), 425. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020425>
 23. Paoletti, P., Di Giuseppe, T., Lillo, C., Ben-Soussan, T. D., Bozkurt, A., Tabibnia, G., ... & Perasso, G. F. (2022). What can we learn from the COVID-19 pandemic? Resilience for the future and neuropsychopedagogical insights. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 993991. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.993991>
 24. Qian, H., Miao, T., Liu, L., Zheng, X., Luo, D., & Li, Y. (2021). Indoor transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *Indoor air*, 31(3), 639-645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12766>
 25. Rebmann, T., & Carrico, R. (2017). Consistent infection prevention: vital during routine and emerging infectious diseases care. *OJIN: The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, 22(1), 10-3912. DOI: 10.3912/OJIN.Vol22No01Man01
 26. Sadiq, A. A., Dougherty, R. B., Tyler, J., & Entress, R. (2023). Public alert and warning system literature review in the USA: Identifying research gaps and lessons for practice. *Natural hazards*, 117(2), 1711-1744. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-023-05926-x>
 27. Sert, G., Mega, E., & Dedeoğlu, A. K. (2022). Protecting privacy in mandatory reporting of infectious diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic: perspectives from a developing country. *Journal of medical ethics*, 48(12), 1015-1019. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2021-107372>
 28. Sexton, J. B., Adair, K. C., Leonard, M. W., Frankel, T. C., Proulx, J., Watson, S. R., ... & Frankel, A. S. (2018). Providing feedback following Leadership WalkRounds is associated with better patient safety culture, higher employee engagement and lower burnout. *BMJ quality & safety*, 27(4), 261-270. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2016-006399>
 29. Shanafelt, T., Goh, J., & Sinsky, C. (2017). The business case for investing in physician well-being. *JAMA internal medicine*, 177(12), 1826-1832. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.4340
 30. Soe, H. H. K., Than, N. N., Lwin, H., Phyu, K. L., Htay, M. N. N., Moe, S., & Abas, A. L. (2018). Knowledge and attitude of mandatory infectious disease notification among final year medical students. *Journal of family medicine and primary care*, 7(4), 756-761. DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_300_17
 31. Tomosy, G. F., Bending, Z. J., & Maluga, P. (2017). Privacy and metadata: The hidden threat to whistle-blowers in public health systems. *Ethics, Medicine and Public Health*, 3(1), 124-134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemep.2017.02.023>
 32. Weber, D. J., Sickbert-Bennett, E. E., DiBiase, L. M., Brewer, B. E., Buchanan, M. O., Clark, C. A., ... & Thompson, S. C. (2023). A new paradigm for infection prevention programs: An integrated approach. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 44(1), 144-147. doi:10.1017/ice.2022.94
 33. Wong, J., Goh, Q. Y., Tan, Z., Lie, S. A., Tay, Y. C., Ng, S. Y., & Soh, C. R. (2020). Preparing for a COVID-19 pandemic: a review of operating room outbreak response measures in a large tertiary hospital in Singapore. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal canadien d'anesthésie*, 67(6), 732-745. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-020-01620-9>
 34. Zhang, X., Sun, Z., Ashcroft, T., Dozier, M., Ostrishko, K., Krishan, P., ... & Douglas, M. (2022). Compact cities and the Covid-19 pandemic: systematic review of the associations between transmission of Covid-19 or other respiratory viruses and population density or other features of neighbourhood design. *Health & place*, 76, 102827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2022.102827>