**Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health**

https://saudijmph.com/index.php/pub

https://doi.org/10.64483/202412482

# The High-Reliability Dental Surgical Environment: An Interdisciplinary Review of Safety, Efficiency, and Patient-Centered Care in the Ambulatory Operating Room

**Saraa Ali Al-Bishi [(1)] , Abdullah Obead Wasel Alhejaili [(2)] , Mona Ali Albishi [(3)] , Hessah Ahmed Mohamed Binjidah [(4)] , Sheikah Abdrhman Ghazei Alotaibi [(5)] , Saad Saqer Alqahtani [(6)] , Waleed Majed Almutiri [(7)] , Fayed Faleh Alharbi [(8)] , Turayf Julayyil Awadh Alsharari [(9)] , Reem Hassan Alqahtani [(10)] , Sami Lahiq Alharbi [(11)] , Mohammed Hamad Alhumaidi Alharbi [(12)]**

*(1) King Salman Bin Abdulaziz Hospital/Riyadh,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(2) Health Control Center at Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz Airport in Madinah,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(3) Al-Wadi Health Center / Riyadh,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(4) Jazan City Work Sabia General Hospital, Dawadimi General Hospital/third health cluster, Riyadh,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(5) Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(6) Al-Muzahmiya Primary Health Care Center, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(7) Sa'ira General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(8) Imam Abdulrahman Al-Faisal Hospital, First Riyadh Health Cluster, Riyadh,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(9) Ministry of Health Office in Qurayyat, Saudi Arabia,*
*(10) King Salman Hospital,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(11) The Illuminated City Workplace,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,*
*(12) King Salman Center for Kidney Diseases, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia*

## Abstract

**Background:** The migration of complex oral and maxillofacial surgery from inpatient hospital settings to freestanding or office-based dental operating rooms (DORs) represents a significant shift in care delivery. While increasing accessibility and efficiency, this transition concentrates substantial surgical and anesthetic risk within environments that may lack the ingrained safety culture and systemic protocols of traditional hospital operating rooms. Optimizing this setting is an urgent, interdisciplinary challenge. **Aim:** This narrative review aims to synthesize evidence and best practices for the design and operation of high-reliability DORs and surgical sedation suites. **Methods:** A systematic literature search (2010-2024) was conducted across PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, Embase, and the databases of dental and anesthesiology societies. **Results:** The review identifies that a high-reliability DOR functions as a complex clinical microsystem requiring strict protocol adherence, clear communication hierarchies, and seamless information flow. Gaps persist in standardized training for ancillary staff and data interoperability. **Conclusion:** Excellence in the DOR is not a product of surgical skill alone but of a deliberately engineered system. It demands the full integration of clinical, technological, and administrative disciplines into a unified model that mirrors the safety standards of hospital surgery while preserving the efficiencies of ambulatory care. Future advancement hinges on collaborative research, shared metrics, and policy development that recognizes the unique complexity and risk profile of high-acuity dental surgery.

**Keywords:** ambulatory surgical facilities; operating rooms; anesthesia, dental; patient safety; interprofessional relations

## Introduction

The contemporary landscape of oral and maxillofacial surgery is characterized by a profound paradigm shift. Procedures once exclusively the domain of hospital operating rooms (ORs)—such as complex dentoalveolar surgery, orthognathic corrections, advanced implantology, and the management of facial trauma—are increasingly performed in freestanding ambulatory surgical centers or specialized office-based dental operating rooms (DORs) (Pabst et al., 2021). This migration is driven by powerful forces: patient preference for convenient, lower-cost settings; advancements in anesthesia permitting safer deep sedation and general anesthesia outside hospitals; and economic pressures within healthcare systems (Todd et al., 2021). The DOR thus represents a critical nexus where high-


*Corresponding author e-mail: saraa1919.1919@gmail.com (Saraa Ali Al-Bishi).
Receive Date: 19 December 2024, Revise Date: 30 December 2024, Accept Date: 31 December 2024

stakes surgery converges with an ambulatory care model (Steinhuber et al., 2018).

However, this convergence creates a unique and under-examined risk profile (Wiemer et al., 2021). The DOR environment concentrates significant surgical and anesthetic complexity without the built-in redundancies, immediate specialist backup, and deeply ingrained safety cultures typical of tertiary hospital ORs (Young et al., 2018). Adverse events, while rare, can be catastrophic, and their prevention hinges on more than the surgeon's expertise. It requires a holistic, system-wide approach that integrates every facet of the perioperative journey. This journey is managed by a diverse team: the health assistant orchestrates flow; the nurse provides vigilant anesthetic monitoring and support; the oral surgeon/dentist performs the technical procedure; health informatics structures communication and data; the laboratory ensures medical clearance; the ethos of patient care centers the experience; principles of operative management govern safety protocols; and health administration builds the sustainable framework (Figure 1).


**Figure 1: Interdisciplinary Pillars of the High-Reliability Dental Operating Room**

This narrative review synthesizes literature from 2010 to 2024 to construct a model for the high-reliability DOR. It argues that optimizing this environment is an interdisciplinary imperative, demanding the translation of hospital-grade safety science into the unique context of dental surgery. By examining the synergistic roles of all eight fields, this review provides a blueprint for transforming the DOR from a procedural space into a robust clinical microsystem dedicated to unparalleled safety, efficiency, and patient-centered care.

**The Interdisciplinary Pillars of the High-Reliability DOR**

**Health Assistant and Nursing: The Foundational Duo of Flow and Vigilance**

The non-physician clinical staff form the operational backbone of the DOR, with roles that are distinct yet deeply interdependent (Minyé & Benjamin, 2022). The Health Assistant (or surgical/sterilization technician) is the linchpin of efficiency and asepsis. Their responsibilities extend from managing the delicate surgical instrument turnover—requiring expertise in the cleaning, sterilization, and assembly of complex dental and maxillofacial sets—to orchestrating patient flow. This includes pre-procedure preparation (confirming NPO status, assisting with consent, positioning), intra-operative instrument passing, and post-operative room turnover (Agarwal et al., 2021). Their constant presence ensures the surgeon's focus remains solely on the field.

Complementing this role, the Nurse in the DOR assumes a critical, expanded scope focused on peri-anesthetic care. In settings where moderate sedation, deep sedation, or general anesthesia is administered, the nurse is responsible for continuous physiological monitoring (EKG, pulse oximetry, capnography, blood pressure), managing IV access, administering medications under direction, and providing immediate post-anesthetic recovery care (Verma & Krishnan, 2021; Thusu et al., 2012). This role demands specific training in anesthesia assisting and emergency response (e.g., ACLS/PALS), bridging the gap between dental office and hospital-level acuity. Together, this duo ensures that the procedural environment is both efficiently run and vigilantly monitored for patient safety (Graham et al., 2019).

**The Technical Core Informed by Safety Science**

The surgeon embodies the fusion of specialized dental expertise with the universal principles of operative safety (Bonsmann et al., 2023). Oral health & dental care provide the domain-specific knowledge: mastery of complex anatomy, biomechanics of osteotomies and fixation, and the intricacies of dentoalveolar, implant, and soft tissue procedures (Zhang, 2023). However, performing this work in a DOR necessitates the conscious adoption of Operative Management principles borrowed from hospital surgery (Gelb et al., 2018). This includes the rigorous enforcement of a universal protocol: a formal pre-operative verification, site marking (where applicable), and a mandatory "time-out" involving the entire team to confirm patient identity, procedure, and critical plan elements (World Health Organization, 2021). Furthermore, adherence to sterility protocols—surgical hand scrub, maintaining sterile fields, and proper draping—must be uncompromising, as the risk of surgical site infection, though different in nature from abdominal surgery, remains a serious concern in bone grafting and implant procedures (Milic et al., 2021). The surgeon, as team leader, must cultivate a culture where any team member can voice safety concerns without reprisal (Roistacher et al., 2022).

**The Enablers of Precision and Proactive Safety**

Safe, efficient care in a high-acuity DOR is data-dependent. Health Informatics moves the environment beyond paper charts to an integrated digital ecosystem (Huang et al., 2023). This involves implementing specialized electronic health records (EHRs) or modules that handle unique dental surgical workflows, including graphical tooth charts, implant logs, and anesthesia records (Kalenderian et al., 2011). Crucially, informatics enables the hardwiring of safety through electronic checklists that cannot be bypassed, ensuring consistent completion of the universal protocol and post-anesthesia recovery scores. Inventory management systems linked to procedure schedules can also prevent delays due to missing equipment. This digital infrastructure is fed by the laboratory, which plays a gatekeeping role in pre-operative risk mitigation (Mahdi et al., 2023). Rapid processing and communication of required medical labs—such as INR for patients on anticoagulants, HbA1c for diabetics, or pregnancy tests—are essential for safe patient selection and preoperative optimization (Wilson et al., 2021). The lab's timely data directly informs the "go/no-go" decision on the day of surgery, preventing cancellations or, worse, proceeding with unrecognized risk (Baddour et al., 2022).

**Patient Care and Health Administration: The Philosophy and Framework for Sustainability**

Underpinning all clinical and technical activity must be a governing philosophy and a sustainable business structure (Kurki et al., 2021). Patient Care, as a core principle, mandates that the DOR experience is designed to minimize anxiety and maximize comfort. This encompasses everything from the physical environment and clear communication to effective pain and anxiety management protocols (Armfield & Heaton, 2013; Avramova, 2023). It recognizes that a terrified but physiologically stable patient is not truly "safe" from a holistic perspective. This philosophy is operationalized by the health administration. Administrators are responsible for creating the conditions for safety and quality by pursuing voluntary accreditation from bodies like the Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care (AAAHC) or The Joint Commission, which provides external validation of rigorous standards (Kwok et al., 2023). They develop evidence-based staffing models, manage the supply chain for specialized implants and biologics, and conduct cost-effectiveness analyses to ensure the facility's financial viability without compromising care (Carayon & Wooldridge, 2020). Ultimately, administration fosters a culture of continuous quality improvement (CQI), where data from incident reports, patient satisfaction surveys, and clinical outcomes are routinely analyzed to drive system-level enhancements (Carayon et al., 2022).

**Table 1: The Interdisciplinary Perioperative Pathway in the Dental Operating Room**

| Phase | Health Assistant | Nursing | Oral Surgery / Dentistry | Health Informatics | Laboratory | Patient Care (Focus) | Operation Principles | Health Administration |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Pre-Operative** | Schedules case; confirms pre-op instructions/ NPO; prepares OR tray & equipment. | Conducts pre-anesthesia assessment; starts IV access; reviews medical history with patient. | Performs final surgical planning; obtains informed consent; determines medical clearance needs. | EHR flags required pre-op labs/consults; manages digital consent forms. | Processes & reports pre-op labs (INR, HbA1c, etc.) per protocol. | Anxiety reduction through clear communication and setting expectations. | Principles applied: Patient selection criteria; necessity of medical optimization. | Manages accreditation requirements; oversees insurance verification & authorization. |
| **Intra-Operative** | Manages instrument turnover; maintains suction/irrigation; assists with positioning. | Monitors anesthesia (vitals, airway); administers drugs per protocol; documents in real-time. | Leads surgical timeout; performs procedure; maintains sterile field. | Electronic checklist ensures timeout completion; anesthesia record auto-populates. | N/A | Physiological safety; maintenance of anesthesia depth for comfort. | Enforces strict sterility, surgical timeout, and team communication. | Ensures compliance with safety and billing protocols in real-time. |
| **Immediate Post-Op** | Assists with patient transfer to recovery; begins | Manages airway recovery; monitors vitals; | Assesses surgical outcome; provides post-op | Documents PACU scores (Aldrete, etc.); | N/A | Pain and nausea control; reassurance and | Principles applied: Standardized handoff from OR to | Tracks PACU throughput and resource use. |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | instrument decontamination. | assesses pain/nausea; administers medications. | instructions to team/family. | integrates into patient record. | | comfort. | PACU. | |
| **Discharge & Follow-up** | Cleans and restocks OR for next case. | Provides discharge education; confirms escort presence; makes follow-up call (24-hr). | Determines discharge readiness; outlines warning signs for complications. | Automates discharge instructions; triggers follow-up call reminder. | N/A | Empowerment for self-care; clear understanding of red flags. | Principles applied: Use of validated discharge criteria. | Analyzes patient satisfaction and unplanned contact/readmission data for CQI. |

**Integrating Disciplines into a Cohesive Safety Culture**

The high-reliability DOR is not merely a room where disciplines coexist; it is a system where their functions are interlocked like a precision mechanism (Stahl et al., 2020). A failure in one domain cascades through others: a lab delay (laboratory) causes a case cancellation, wasting surgical time (oral surgery) and nursing resources, disrupting the schedule (health assistant/administration), and frustrating the patient (patient care). Conversely, a robust system creates resilience. A nurse (nursing) using a capnography alert (via informatics) detects respiratory depression early, the surgeon (oral surgery) pauses, and the team follows a drilled emergency protocol (operation principles), preventing harm (Friedman et al., 2019).

Significant integration challenges persist (Table 2 & Figure 2). Role ambiguity can occur, especially for health assistants performing tasks in a gray area between sterile processing and clinical care (Kim et al., 2019; Resnick et al., 2016). Technological fragmentation is common, with dental practice management software, anesthesia monitors, and inventory systems often operating on separate, non-communicating platforms (Walji et al., 2019). Furthermore, variable regulatory landscapes across states create confusion regarding supervision levels for anesthesia and the scope of practice for support staff, posing a constant challenge for administration (Simon et al., 2023).


**Figure 2: Integrated Perioperative Workflow and Safety Feedback Loop in the DOR**

**Table 2: Critical Challenges and Interdisciplinary Solutions for DOR Optimization**

| Systemic Challenge | Risks & Consequences | Interdisciplinary Mitigation Strategy |
|---|---|---|
| **Inconsistent Safety Culture** | Checklist fatigue, reluctance to speak up, preventable errors. | **Oral Surgery/Administration:** Leadership must model and mandate psychological safety. **All Team Members:** Implement daily safety huddles and regular simulation training for emergencies (e.g., malignant hyperthermia, airway obstruction). |
| **Fragmented Health Information** | Incomplete medical history, missing lab results, medication errors. | **Health Informatics/Administration:** Invest in an interoperable EHR platform that integrates medical history, dental charting, anesthesia records, and lab interfaces. Use HIITs (Health IT Integrators) if necessary. |
| **Inadequate Emergency Preparedness** | Delayed response to rare but critical events (e.g., anaphylaxis, cardiac arrest). | **Nursing/Oral Surgery/Health Assistant:** Develop and regularly drill facility-specific emergency protocols. **Administration:** Ensure immediate availability of crash cart, reversal agents, and defined transfer agreements with a local hospital. |
| **Unclear Staffing & Competency Standards** | Scope-of-practice conflicts, task overload, inconsistent care quality. | **Health Administration/Nursing/Oral Surgery:** Co-develop clear, written job descriptions and competency checklists for all roles (e.g., dental assistant vs. surgical tech vs. sedation nurse). Pursue certifications (DAANCE, ACLS). |
| **Financial Pressure vs. Safety Investment** | Corner-cutting on equipment, supplies, or staff training to | **Health Administration:** Frame safety investments (accreditation, training, equipment) not as costs but as risk |

| | |
|---|---|
| control costs. | mitigation and quality differentiation. Use data to demonstrate ROI from reduced complications and enhanced reputation. |

**Conclusion and Future Directions**

The optimization of the dental operating room is a compelling test case for the future of specialized ambulatory surgery. This review demonstrates that its evolution from a procedural alcove to a high-reliability unit is an interdisciplinary project of the highest order. Excellence is forged at the intersection of technical dental skill, nursing vigilance, administrative acumen, and technological support, all unified by an unwavering commitment to patient-centered safety.

The path forward requires concerted effort in several domains. First, the development of specialty-wide, evidence-based guidelines for DOR design, staffing, and emergency protocols, perhaps through collaborative efforts by oral surgery, dental anesthesiology, and nursing associations. Second, greater research focus on outcomes and safety metrics specific to the DOR setting, moving beyond anecdote to robust data. Third, educational innovation to train the next generation of oral surgeons not only in technique but in systems-based practice and team leadership. Finally, policy advocacy to align state regulations with the modern reality of high-acuity office-based surgery, ensuring consistent standards for licensure, accreditation, and reimbursement.

By embracing this integrated model, the field of oral and maxillofacial surgery can lead the way in demonstrating that the highest standards of surgical safety and efficiency are not confined to hospital walls. The optimized DOR stands as a testament to what is possible when diverse disciplines coalesce around a shared vision: delivering transformative surgical care in a setting that is as safe as it is accessible, and as professional as it is compassionate.

**References**


1. Agarwal, V., Hockaday, J., Teigen, K., Stella, J. P., Schlieve, T., & Kim, R. Y. (2021). What is the Resident Perception of Physician Assistants in an Oral and Maxillofacial Training Program?. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *79*(11), 2195-2202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2021.07.001
2. Armfield, J. M., & Heaton, L. J. (2013). Management of fear and anxiety in the dental clinic: a review. *Australian dental journal*, *58*(4), 390-407. https://doi.org/10.1111/adj.12118
3. Avramova, N. T. (2023). Dental Fear, Anxiety, and Phobia–Behavioral Management and Implications for Dentists. *Journal of Mind and Medical Sciences*, *10*(1), 42-50. https://doi.org/10.22543/2392-7674.1349
4. Baddour, L. M., Weimer, M. B., Wurcel, A. G., McElhinney, D. B., Marks, L. R., Fanucchi, L. C., ... & DeSimone, D. C. (2022). Management of infective endocarditis in people who inject drugs: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, *146*(14), e187-e201. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001090
5. Bonsmann, M., Kettner, R., & Wunderlich, S. (2023). Dentoalveolar Surgery. In *Oral and maxillofacial surgery: Surgical textbook and atlas* (pp. 31-76). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-66844-3_2
6. Carayon, P., & Wooldridge, A. R. (2019). Improving patient safety in the patient journey: contributions from human factors engineering. In *Women in industrial and systems engineering: Key advances and perspectives on emerging topics* (pp. 275-299). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11866-2_12
7. Carayon, P., Werner, N., Makkenchery, A., & Mossburg, S. E. (2022). Using human factors engineering and the SEIPS model to advance patient safety in care transitions. *Using Human Factors Engineering and the SEIPS Model to Advance Patient Safety in Care Transitions*.
8. Friedman, B., Fuckert, D., Jahrsdoerfer, M., Magness, R., Patterson, E. S., Syed, R., & Zaleski, J. R. (2019). Identifying and monitoring respiratory compromise: Report from the rules and algorithms working group. *Biomedical instrumentation & technology*, *53*(2), 110-123. https://doi.org/10.2345/0899-8205-53.2.110
9. Gelb, A. W., Morriss, W. W., Johnson, W., & Merry, A. F. (2018). World Health Organization-World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WHO-WFSA) international standards for a safe practice of anesthesia. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal canadien d'anesthésie*, *65*(6), 698-708. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-018-1111-5
10. Graham, C., Reid, S., Lord, T. C., & Taylor, K. H. (2019). The evolution of patient safety procedures in an oral surgery department. *British Dental Journal*, *226*(1), 32-38. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2019.5
11. Huang, H., Zheng, O., Wang, D., Yin, J., Wang, Z., Ding, S., ... & Shi, B. (2023). ChatGPT for shaping the future of dentistry: the potential of multi-modal large language model. *International Journal of Oral Science*, *15*(1), 29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41368-023-00239-y
12. Kalenderian, E., Ramoni, R. L., White, J. M., Schoonheim-Klein, M. E., Stark, P. C., Kimmes, N. S., ... & Walji, M. F. (2011). The development of a dental diagnostic terminology. *Journal of dental education*, *75*(1),

68-76. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2011.75.1.tb05024.x
13. Kim, K. J., Lee, G., & Jin, B. H. (2019). A survey of the understanding of the scope of work undertaken by dental hygienists and chair-side dental assistants among dental students in Seoul. *Journal of Korean Academy of Oral Health*, *43*(4), 224-231. https://doi.org/10.11149/jkaoh.2019.43.4.224
14. Kurki, P., Korhonen, M., Honkalampi, K., & Suominen, A. L. (2021). Patients' multifaceted views of dental fear in a diagnostic interview. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, *79*(3), 194-204. https://doi.org/10.1080/00016357.2020.1817545
15. Kwok, K., Levin, T. R., Dominitz, J. A., Panganamamula, K., Feld, A. D., Bardall, B., ... & Day, L. W. (2023). Transportation barriers and endoscopic procedures: barriers, legal challenges, and strategies for GI endoscopy units. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, *98*(4), 475-481. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2023.05.043
16. Mahdi, S. S., Battineni, G., Khawaja, M., Allana, R., Siddiqui, M. K., & Agha, D. (2023). How does artificial intelligence impact digital healthcare initiatives? A review of AI applications in dental healthcare. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, *3*(1), 100144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2022.100144
17. Milic, T., Raidoo, P., & Gebauer, D. (2021). Antibiotic prophylaxis in oral and maxillofacial surgery: a systematic review. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *59*(6), 633-642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2020.09.020
18. Minyé, H. M., & Benjamin, E. (2022). High-reliability organisation principles implemented in dentistry. *British Dental Journal*, *232*(12), 879-885. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-022-4354-z
19. Pabst, A., Zeller, A. N., Sader, R., Wiegner, J. U., Schneider, M., Ehrenfeld, M., & Hoffmann, J. (2021). The influence of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on oral and maxillofacial surgery: a nationwide survey among 54 hospitals and 240 private practices in Germany. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, *25*(6), 3853-3860. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-020-03715-5
20. Resnick, C. M., Daniels, K. M., Flath-Sporn, S. J., Doyle, M., Heald, R., & Padwa, B. L. (2016). Physician assistants improve efficiency and decrease costs in outpatient oral and maxillofacial surgery. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *74*(11), 2128-2135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2016.06.195
21. Roistacher, D. M., Heller, J. A., Ferraro, N. F., & August, M. (2022). Is penicillin allergy a risk factor for surgical site infection after oral and maxillofacial surgery?. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *80*(1), 93-100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2021.08.147
22. Simon, N. B., Barnett, K. M., Sweitzer, B., Gates, N., Yun, S., Kim, K., ... & Hendrix, J. M. (2023). Dental Anesthesia Guidelines and Regulations of US States and Major Professional Organizations: A Review. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 10-1097. *DOI:* 10.1097/PTS.0000000000001320
23. Stahl, J. M., Mack, K., Cebula, S., & Gillingham, B. L. (2020). Dental patient safety in the military health system: joining medicine in the journey to high reliability. *Military Medicine*, *185*(1-2), e262-e268. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usz154
24. Steinhuber, T., Brunold, S., Gärtner, C., Offermanns, V., Ulmer, H., & Ploder, O. (2018). Is virtual surgical planning in orthognathic surgery faster than conventional planning? A time and workflow analysis of an office-based workflow for single-and double-jaw surgery. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *76*(2), 397-407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2017.07.162
25. Thusu, S., Panesar, S., & Bedi, R. (2012). Patient safety in dentistry–state of play as revealed by a national database of errors. *British Dental Journal*, *213*(3), E3-E3. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.669
26. Todd, D. W., Weber, C. R., Krishnan, D. G., & Egbert, M. A. (2021). Oral-maxillofacial surgery anesthesia team model at a crossroads safety in office-based anesthesia–lessons we can learn from aviation. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *79*(9), 1812-1814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2021.05.015
27. Verma, P., & Krishnan, D. G. (2021). Office-Based Anesthesia in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery-The American Model and Training. In *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery for the Clinician* (pp. 79-93). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1346-6_6
28. Walji, M. F., Yansane, A., Hebballi, N. B., Ibarra-Noriega, A. M., Kookal, K. K., Tungare, S., ... & Kalenderian, E. (2020). Finding dental harm to patients through electronic health record–based triggers. *JDR Clinical & Translational Research*, *5*(3), 271-277. https://doi.org/10.1177/2380084419892550
29. Wiemer, S. J., Nathan, J. M., Heggestad, B. T., Fillmore, W. J., Viozzi, C. F., Van Ess, J. M., ... & Ettinger, K. S. (2021). Safety of outpatient procedural sedation administered by oral and maxillofacial surgeons: the mayo clinic experience in 17,634 sedations (2004 to 2019). *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *79*(5), 990-999. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2020.12.002
30. Wilson, W. R., Gewitz, M., Lockhart, P. B., Bolger, A. F., DeSimone, D. C., Kazi, D. S., ... & Baddour, L. M. (2021). Prevention of viridans

group streptococcal infective endocarditis: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, *143*(20), e963-e978. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000969

31. World Health Organization. (2021). *Global patient safety action plan 2021-2030: towards eliminating avoidable harm in health care*. World Health Organization.
32. Young, S., Shapiro, F. E., & Urman, R. D. (2018). Office-based surgery and patient outcomes. *Current Opinion in Anesthesiology*, *31*(6), 707-712. *DOI:* 10.1097/ACO.0000000000000655
1. Zhang, W. (2023). Dentoalveolar surgery: The pioneer of comfortable treatment. *Chinese Journal of Stomatological Research (Electronic Edition)*, *17*(06), 386. https://doi.org/10.3877/cma.j.issn.1674-1366.2023.06.002.