



The Impact of Electronic Health Records on Healthcare Quality, Patient Safety, and Clinical Decision-Making

Mane Mohammed alamery ⁽¹⁾ , Haifa Oudah Eid Alatawi ⁽²⁾ , Ibtihaj Daham Alruwaili ⁽¹⁾ , Hana Dali Sabti Alanazi ⁽³⁾ , Sameer Saeed M Alsumairy ⁽³⁾ , Amani Abdullah Yahya Gharawi ⁽⁴⁾ , Abeer Nasser A Aldossary ⁽⁵⁾ , Hissah Mohammed Yahya Alwayni , Sakhr Sahal Sannat Al Thiyabi , Aziza Eid alinazy ⁽⁶⁾

(1) Branch of the Ministry of Health in Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia,

(2) Maternity and childrens Hospital Tabuk ,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(3) Ministry of Health branch in the Riyadh region, Saudi Arabia,

(4) Ministry of Health branch in Riyadh region - Director General's office., Saudi Arabia,

(5) Al Iman General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(6) Maternity and children's Hospital Tabuk,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Electronic Health Records (EHRs) have transformed the healthcare service delivery system through centralization of patient information, improved clinical decision making, and patient-centered care. This study examines the historical development of health records, the main characteristics of the EHR systems today, how they are implemented in healthcare facilities and how they enhance patient care in a variety of ways. The paper presents the advantages of EHRs to patient safety, providers-provider communication, chronic disease management, and evidence-based practice. It also contains solutions to EHR adoption obstacles, such as technical, financial, and human factors, data privacy, and security issues. It also focuses on the future trends, including artificial intelligence integration, telemedicine, interoperability, and patient engagement tools, in the research. The results show that EHRs are cost-efficient, enhance healthcare quality indicators, and allow managing population health. Training programs, strong support, and technological innovations are some of the key factors towards the maximum utility of the EHRs. In general, EHRs are at the center of enhancing healthcare efficiency, safety, and outcomes.

Keywords

Clinical Decision-Making, Healthcare Quality, Interoperability, Evidence-Based Practice, Patient Engagement, Electronic Health Records, Patient Safety

Introduction

Quality healthcare provision depends on obtaining and maintaining proper access to patient information and is vital. Historically, the paper-based health records could easily be subject to errors, miscommunication and inefficiencies, which would constrain the capacity of the provider to offer coherent and safe care. In the event of Electronic Health Records (EHRs), there is a paradigm shift in the healthcare systems around the world. The EHRs consolidate patient data, simplify clinical processes, improve communication between health care professionals, and facilitate use of evidence-based decisions. [1]The EHR systems have very diverse capabilities including clinical decision support, interoperability, patient portal, and data analytics capabilities among others that help achieve better patient outcomes. They ensure the management of chronic diseases, minimize medical errors, and offer actionable information at both individual and population levels of care. Even though the advantages of EHRs are evident, the problems of the implementation costs, user resistance, privacy issues,

and technical constraints have to be considered to implement EHRs successfully.In this study, the authors studied the role of EHRs in enhancing the care of patients based on a detailed description of their characteristics, their advantages, methods of implementation, and their future. This study highlights the transformative power of EHRs in contemporary healthcare by discussing the effect on the safety of patients, communication, quality measures, and evidence-based practice.[2]

Historical Development of Health Records.

Health record development has been instrumental in development of modern medicine. Traditionally, patient data used to be recorded on paper and written with the help of a pencil and a notebook in a simple form of paper charts and ledgers. Ancient medical records were kept in primitive form in ancient times in countries like Egypt and Greece, primarily to aid in the tracking of illnesses and treatment. In the Middle Ages, the records were usually in monasteries and were dedicated to the preservation of epidemics and medical practice. These

records however were not consistent, were not standardized and were of limited use in conducting a larger scale medical research.[3] The 19 th and 20 th centuries experienced tremendous developments in record keeping. Hospitals started keeping organized patient records which were frequently divided into parts as medical history, laboratory reports and physician notes. The documentation and data analysis became more systematic by the introduction of coding systems and standardized forms including the International Classification of Diseases (ICD). These developments helped to improve communication between care providers and assist in monitoring the population health.[4] The electronic health records (EHRs) replaced the paper-based system in the late 20 th century. Early digital records were mainly administrative, which was utilized in billing and scheduling, however, with the development of technologies, soon the entire clinical data was captured. The appearance of EHRs was a paradigm shift, as it made it possible to access information provided by a patient in real-time, have a better experience in the sharing of data in healthcare environments, and integrate it with decision-support tools. The current trajectory of health records development can be seen as a path of disjointed, paper-based records to highly advanced, interoperable electronic solutions, as it has become clear that the precise and accessible information about patients is the key to improving the quality of healthcare and patient outcomes.[5]

The main Characteristics of the Contemporary EHR Systems.

The current Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems are developed to enhance patient care through centralizing and standardizing clinical data. Comprehensive patient data management is one of the most needed features. EHRs contain elaborate medical histories, such as diagnoses, medication, allergies, laboratory results, imaging reports, and immunization records. Such an in-depth set of data enables medical practitioners to make effective clinical judgments and minimize the chance of mistakes related to an incomplete set of data.[6] Interoperability is another important characteristic. The EHRs that are used today enable the smooth exchange of information that can occur among various healthcare providers and institutions as well as among various hospitals, clinics and laboratories. This is especially essential in patients who have a variety of specialists working with them so as to maintain continuity of care and to reduce unnecessary tests. Clinical decision support tools that include warning about possible drug interactions, required preventive tests, and evidence-based treatment are also part of EHRs and contribute to patient safety.[7] Moreover, the interaction with the patient is an important feature of the contemporary EHRs. Most of the systems provide patient portals where individuals can read their medical records,

make appointments, order prescription refills and interact with their health workers and providers securely. EHRs have also been used to assist with population health management data analytics, which include the ability to identify trends, monitor the outcomes, and direct the population health action. Sensitive patient information can be secured by security and compliance features, such as encryption, user authentication, and audit trails, in compliance with the regulations, including HIPAA. All these characteristics render modern EHR systems invaluable instruments to enhancing the efficiency, safety, and patient-centered care of health care.[8]

EHR adoption in Medical Practices.

The introduction of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) into a healthcare environment is not a simplistic procedure which requires thorough planning, training, and constant assessment. The first step to successful implementation is having a system that matches the needs of the institution that should be considered in terms of scalability, interoperability, and ease of use. Engagement of the stakeholders is essential because the physicians, nurses, administrators, and IT staff should come together to establish workflows, protocols, and ensure that the system facilitates clinical or administrative operations.[9] Process reengineering is often needed to facilitate the shift towards the use of EHRs with paper-based records. Medical staff will have to work according to the new systems, such as electronic records, ordering, and record retrieving. Extensive training plans will be necessary to make the staff competent and reduce resistance. There is also the implementation process which entails technical installation like hardware and software installation and the transfer of data in the existing records.[9] Migrating data integrity and accuracy is very crucial because incomplete or erroneous data may jeopardise patient care. Successful implementation entails monitoring and evaluation. Frequent evaluations reveal the problems like the bottlenecks in the workflow, the usability problems, and the system downtime. The feedback mechanisms enable the constant improvement and adjustment of EHR system to fit the changing clinical needs. Additionally, the use of other healthcare technologies, e.g., laboratory information systems, radiology platforms, and telemedicine tools, will optimize the use of the EHR. All in all, proper EHRs implementation will result in better care coordination, patient safety, regulatory compliance, and the ability of healthcare organizations to provide more quality, patient-focused care.[10]

EHRs have advantages in terms of patient safety.

Electronic Health Records (EHRs) are a powerful instrument with regard to patient safety through the availability of precise, accessible, and timely clinical data. Among the main advantages, one can single out the minimization of the errors concerning the

miscommunication or incomplete records. The old paper-based systems were usually characterized by illegible handwritings, misplaced charts, or disjointed information in various departments. EHRs put all patient information under one roof and hence a healthcare worker can have a clear picture of the history, drugs, allergies, and previous treatment of a patient. Such a centralized access enables clinicians to make more educated decisions that are safer.[11] The other important advantage is installation of automated notices and reminders. The current HER systems are capable of identifying possible drug errors, drug interactions, incorrect drug dosages, or allergies and notifying the prescriber in advance. They are also able to remind the providers of the preventive measures, such as vaccinations and screenings, which have a direct effect of reducing the health risks. The tools are especially useful in emergency situations, such as emergency departments or intensive care units, where a quick access to the information is also essential.[12] EHRs are also effective in enhancing continuity of care especially to patients who come and see several specialists or healthcare facilities. With interoperability, it will be able to share medical records and minimize unnecessary procedures, redundant tests, and the possibility of conflicting treatments. Moreover, the EHRs allow tracking the clinical results and establishing potential safety concerns, which means that healthcare organizations are able to take corrective actions in advance.[13] All in all, EHR is a pillar technology in the culture of patient safety. Such systems decrease the number of avoidable errors, increase clinical vigilance, and provide patients with safe and high-quality care by consolidating extensive documentation, real-time alerts, and information sharing.

Improving EHR-based Clinical Decision-Making.

Electronic Health Records (EHRs) are considered crucial in improving clinical decision making as clinicians are given complete and real-time access to patient information. Healthcare providers are able to access the medical history of a patient, lab results, imaging examination, medications, and allergy information of a patient on one platform with EHRs. This is a thorough overview that facilitates informed decision making and eliminates the use of memory, or paper based records which may cause errors or omissions.[14] EHRs can contain integrated clinical decision support (CDS) tools, which assist the diagnosing, treatment, and preventive care providers. As an example, these tools have the potential to propose evidence-based treatment options, warn clinicians of possible drug interactions, and remind them about recommended screenings. Through the functions of CDS, the provider can make more coherent and precise decisions based on the prevailing medical recommendations and have a better patient outcome.[15] Moreover, EHRs can be used to make decisions using the data as they help to analyze trends and identify patterns. Clinicians will be able to track

patient development during the course and determine the risk factors and forecast possible complications to implement the intervention early. The interdisciplinary collaboration is also supported by HER systems as it offers common access to information about the patient so that every participant of a healthcare team could play an active role in the decision-making process. EHRs also promote decision-making in critical situations, e.g., critical care or emergency medicine, where access to accurate information within a limited time can be a matter of life and death. EHRs can help clinicians make evidence-based and patient-centered decisions by integrating past medical information with present information and evidence-based advice.[16]

EHRs and Reduction of Medical Errors.

Electronic Health Records (EHRs) play an important role in medical error reduction, which is a major problem in patient safety and the quality of healthcare. Paper-based systems have the disadvantages of lack of legibility because of illegible handwritings, information omission, delay in communication, or fragmentation of records. EHRs can resolve these issues by offering a digital hub where all healthcare providers who have access to patient data can access all relevant information that is accurate and complete.[17] Medication management is one of the greatest ways that EHRs minimize errors. Embarked alerts and decision-support systems will identify possible drug interactions, allergies or wrong dosages and alert the caregivers before the drug is given. This is a proactive method of averting events of adverse drugs; one of the major sources of harm in hospitals. Also, EHRs enable electronic prescribing that removes the possibility of errors in handwriting prescriptions or misunderstanding by pharmaceutical employees.[18] EHRs facilitate accuracy in diagnosis as well. Providers will have access to previous lab findings, radiographies, and clinical records with the touch of a button, therefore, making them more effective at making decisions and preventing unnecessary tests or misdiagnosis. Interoperable HER systems support the free flow of information between hospitals, clinics, and specialists and help to avoid some mistakes related to the lack of patient history or misunderstandings in therapeutic settings.[19] In addition to that, EHRs facilitate standardization of clinical workflows, whereby the workflow and documentations adhere to evidence-based guidelines. The use of audit trails and automated monitoring also enables healthcare organizations to recognize the trends of error and take corrective actions to improve the overall system safety. Conclusively, medical errors are greatly minimized through EHRs due to enhanced accuracy, prompt communication, incorporation of decision-support systems and standardization of clinical processes. Their implementation is essential to the promotion of patient safety, better healthcare results, and reduction of the avoidable harm.[20]

Enhancing Interpersonal Interaction amongst Healthcare Providers.

Healthcare providers should communicate effectively to provide quality coordinated care to patients. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) is a very important resource in facilitating communication within multidisciplinary teams since all patient information is consolidated in one and accessible platform. By using paper based systems, communication tended to be conducted orally, using handwritten documents or by means of fax, which may lead to partial or slow delivery of information. These barriers are reduced through EHRs via the provision of real-time access to the newest patient records by clinicians, nurses, pharmacists, and other members of the care team, enhancing accuracy and efficiency.[21]EHRs have the potential to enhance systematic communication because they include shared care plans, secure messaging, and assignment of tasks. As an example, a doctor can send notices electronically to the nurse regarding medication changes or a lab technician regarding urgent tests, which will lead to action in time and coordination of actions. Moreover, EHRs can be used to support the interdisciplinary cooperation between different specialists in order to learn about patient data, discuss the findings, and record the recommendation simultaneously, avoiding miscommunication and delays in treatment. Interoperable EHR systems also promote communication through sharing of data to various healthcare facilities. The patients are likely to see more than one provider, such as primary care doctors, specialists, and hospitals. EHRs enable different providers to have access to the same medical history, lab findings and imaging tests, which avoids duplication and conflicting medicine. This smooth flow of information fosters care continuity and improves patient outcome.[22]Additionally EHRs enhance transparency in documentation. Each entry, update or intervention is logged, and each is assigned to a particular provider, which is a source of a stable audit trail. This accountability enhances professional communication, mitigates misunderstandings, and makes sure that every participant of the care team is aware. All in all, EHRs can improve health service provider communication and result in safer, more efficient, and patient-centered care.[23]

Combination of EHRs with Telemedicine.

The combination of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) and telemedicine has revolutionized the process of providing healthcare by eliminating the distance between distant care and the full data management of patients. Telemedicine enables patients to access health practitioners remotely, without considering geographic location, as well as enhancing access to care. Telemedicine platforms combined with EHRs will make sure that even these virtual encounters are backed by full and comprehensive clinical data to make informed

decisions even remotely.[24]Telemedicine can be integrated with EHR through the real-time documentation of encounters with a patient. During virtual visits, providers are able to update medical history, document symptoms, prescribe medications, and request diagnostic tests as well, though all patient information is centralized. Such an integration also enables clinicians to look through previous test outcomes, medication history, and images during the consultation, eliminating a risk of errors and improving the quality of care.[25]In addition, EHRs and telemedicine can be combined to improve care organization. Virtual consultations can provide access to the same patient record by multiple providers, which allows the decision-making process and continuity of care. It particularly becomes useful with chronic disease care, post-operative follow-ups, and mental health, where frequent follow-ups and multidisciplinary contribution is of great importance.[26]With integrated systems, patient engagement also increases. Numerous telemedicine systems connected with EHRs offer portals through which patients are able to access their medical data, track treatment programs, book online sessions, and consult healthcare professionals safely. Moreover, built-in analytics tools have the ability to trace trends, track treatment compliance and send warning messages regarding follow-up interventions.the use of EHRs and telemedicine can be improved and optimized to provide better clinical results, guarantee proper documentation, assist with coordinated care, and improve patient engagement. This is a contemporary trend in the delivery of healthcare, where access is increased without compromising the standard of care and safety.[27]

EHRs and Chronic Disease Management.

Such diseases as diabetes, hypertension, or cardiovascular disorders necessitate constant observation, early treatment, and integration of efforts. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) play a crucial role in the management of chronic conditions by offering longitudinal data about patients, which is thorough and allows taking proactive care and creating individual treatment plans. The EHRs enable the health professionals to monitor the disease progression, laboratory outcomes, medications, and lifestyle interventions in the long-term and, as a result, to detect complications early and to modify treatment plans.[28]Integration of clinical decision support (CDS) tools is one of the major benefits of EHRs in managing chronic diseases. Such systems are capable of producing notifications about abnormal lab values, drug interactions, or follow-up appointments, and make sure patients and providers act on time. To illustrate, in diabetes treatment, EHRs may indicate elevated blood glucose levels and encourage doctors to modify drugs or prescribe lifestyle changes to prevent such complications as neuropathy or kidney disease.[29]Multidisciplinary care can also be

supported with the help of EHRs through the means of seamless communication between primary care physicians, specialists, dietitians, and nurses. The fact that the coordinated care plans are recorded in the EHR also means that the rest of the team knows the treatment regimen of the patient, which results in consistency and adherence. Patient portals also enable patients to be active participants in their treatment, because they can access educational information, monitor their health indicators, and get in touch with their providers.[30]The EHRs offer data analytics capabilities, which can be used to manage population health, identifying the high-risk patients who may become more vulnerable to the disease and implementing specific interventions. In addition, telemedicine and remote monitoring technologies can be connected to EHRs to provide constant monitoring of the vital signs of patients and their adherence to treatment plans, improving the long-term results.[31]In general, EHRs enhance the chronic disease management process through proactive monitoring, evidence-based decision making, patient engagement, and coordinated care, which will ultimately help patients with chronic conditions to have fewer complications and better life quality.[32]

Patient Engagement and Health Information.

Engagement of patients is an essential part of the contemporary healthcare system and Electronic Health Records (EHRs) take a pivotal role in influencing the active involvement of patients in the process. EHRs have patient portals where patients can get access to medical data, such as lab findings, imaging reports, medication history and vaccinations. Allowing patients to access their health information directly, EHRs allow them to make decisions regarding their clinical care, keep track of their progress, and comply with treatment regimens. The availability of health information also helps to improve communication between health service providers and patients. The EHR built-in secure messaging services allow patients to inquire about something, order prescription refills, and get clarifications without necessarily going to see someone face-to-face. Continued communication will improve the patient-provider relationship and build trust, as well as increase satisfaction with care.[33]EHRs are also beneficial in terms of patient education through available resources based on specific conditions. As an example, a diabetic patient will have access to knowledge about the diet, physical activity, and blood sugar monitoring information via the portal itself. Moreover, the patients can keep a record of personal health indicators, including blood pressure or weight and exchange them with the providers, which allows personalized care and proactive measures.[34]Moreover, patients who are engaged tend to adhere to preventive care and chronic disease management strategies, which would make a hospitalization less frequent and better in the long run. The research has demonstrated that the availability of EHRs was linked to an increased medication

adherence, management of chronic illnesses, and an understanding of individual health risks.[35]EHRs contribute to patient involvement by means of easy access to health-related data, communication assistance, education, and self-management. Both patients and healthcare providers are in a better position to engage in patient care and empowered patients will lead to better health outcomes and health care system that is more patient-centered.[36]

Privacy and security of data in HER Systems.

Having Electronic Health Records (EHRs) requires data privacy and security as its main consideration. EHRs include confidential information on patients, such as personal details, medical histories, lab data, and financial data. Illegal access or intrusions can cause identity theft, damaged patient safety and legal consequences on healthcare organizations. Thus, the effective security measures are crucial to safeguard patient confidentiality and to meet the regulations, e.g. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).[37]The current HER solutions have many layers of protection, such as user authentication, role-based access controls, and encryption of data. Authentication provides access to an authorized person and enables the role-based controls whereby access can be limited based on the roles of the provider. Encryption also ensures the security of data transmitted or stored to avoid interception of information and misuse.[38]Another important characteristic of HER security is audit trails. These records show all access, modification, or transfer of patient data which makes them accountable and allows organizations to identify suspicious activities. Also, periodic updates to the system and vulnerability tests assist in higher risk of cyberattacks and malware or flaws in the software.[39]There are also policies of secure data sharing that are implemented by healthcare organizations. Interoperable EHRs can ensure the transfer of information among facilities, though the security of transmission, encrypted messages, or virtual private network (VPN) is essential to assure privacy. Training of the staff about data manipulation and awareness of phishing or social engineering threats also enhance security. The privacy and security of data are of utmost priority in the HER systems. Through encryption, access controls, audit trails, and staff education, healthcare organizations are able to gain confidentiality of patient data, trust, and legal and ethical competence, as well as facilitate the safe and effective use of EHRs.[40]

Barriers and Problems of HER Adoption.

Although Electronic Health Records (EHRs) have numerous advantages, they have various difficulties and obstacles to adopting in healthcare facilities. The high cost of implementation is one of the major challenges. A large amount of money must be spent on purchasing HER software, upgrading hardware, training employees, and maintaining the systems ensuring that small clinics or underfunded healthcare centers cannot afford to do so.[41]Another serious

impediment is resistance to change amongst healthcare providers. Doctors, nurses, and administrators might be used to the old systems, which were paper-based, and switching to EHRs will necessitate the adjustment to the novel workflow and documentation methods. Reluctance or non-adoption may occur due to concerns of increased workload and length of time data have to be entered and due to possible disincentives to patient care.[42] Another hindrance to HER implementation is technical issues. The interoperability of the systems between the various HER platforms, which is the ability of various systems to interact smoothly, is also a matter of concern. Lack of compatibility may prevent information exchange among the facilities, which decreases the efficiency of EHRs in offering coordinated care. Furthermore, problems with software usability, e.g. complicated interfaces or slowness, may be frustrating and inefficient. Privacy and security of data are other factors that complicate adoption. Healthcare providers can fear breaches, unauthorized access, or meeting of regulations that could make them postpone the full use of the HER capabilities. Furthermore, IT support may be minimal, or not properly trained which may increase these difficulties, and staff members would not be ready to deal with technical problems or troubleshoot problems.[43] Lastly, the initial phase of implementation can disrupt medication workflows and the productivity of the staff due to workflow disruptions. The barriers can only be overcome through proper planning, involvement of stakeholders, continuous training, and support. It is essential to address financial, technical and human aspects in order to implement HER successfully and achieve its full power in enhancing patient care.[44]

Healthcare Interoperability and Data Sharing.

The concept of interoperability is an inherent part of the current healthcare practice, allowing various Electronic Health Records (EHR) systems to interact, share, and read common data without any complications. Interoperability is critical to make sure that the patient data, including medical history, lab tests, radiography testing, and medication prescription, is accessible to various healthcare providers and organizations. The ability is critical in providing co-ordinated and patient-focused care, particularly to persons who are treated by more than one specialist or those who move between hospitals and outpatient care.[45] EHR interoperability also decreases duplicit testing and processes, thus, minimizing the cost and the occurrence of errors. An example is that, a physician in primary care will be able to see recent lab reports of a specialist without further ordering of tests and continue with the care. Standardized data formats and coding systems (HL7 and FHIR Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources) can also be used to ensure consistency in the interpretation of clinical data across different

platforms, enabling the correct use of information in the decision-making process.[46] Population health initiatives are also supported by data sharing as it allows healthcare organizations to acquire and analyze massive datasets. It is possible to trace the tendencies of diseases, define the population in the risk zone, and provide specific preventive measures. Interoperable EHRs also improve multidisciplinary cooperation, as they can give a complete picture of patient health, making it possible to communicate in a timely manner and plan care.[47] Regardless of these advantages, the complete interoperability is not attained because software system, privacy rules, and technical constraints differ. However, more funds in standards, policy structures, and safe data transfer measures are necessary. To sum up, interoperability and data sharing in healthcare play a key role in enhancing efficiency, minimizing errors, and ensuring comprehensive and high-quality care that is responsive to the needs of the patients.[48]

Effects of EHRs on Healthcare Quality Measures.

Electronic Health Records (EHRs) stand out as a major influence on healthcare quality indicators since they can be used as an instrument to track, assess, and enhance patient care outcomes. Some of the quality indicators used in healthcare are patient safety, clinical effectiveness, care coordination, patient satisfaction, and adherence to evidence-based guidelines. EHRs can be used to facilitate these measures because it offers precise, real-time, and complete clinical information to enable healthcare professionals to evaluate performance and define what needs to be improved. EHRs lead to improved patient safety data through the reduction of medication errors, timely intervention, and timely transfer of vital alerts regarding allergies or abnormal laboratory findings. This enhances clinical effectiveness because providers can access historical data, decision-support tools, and evidence-based recommendations which will help in making accurate diagnoses and the correct treatment plan.[49] The benefits of EHRs in care coordination metrics are that they help to centralize patient data and facilitate the communication of multidisciplinary teams. This will make sure that every provider dealing with a patient is aware of his/her care, eliminating any duplicating processes and delays. EHRs also have a positive effect on patient satisfaction, since they provide quicker access to patient outcomes, simplified appointment scheduling, and improved communication via patient portals.[50] Moreover, EHRs allow healthcare organizations to monitor the adherence to regulatory requirements and clinical guidelines, and they facilitate the ongoing quality improvement process. EHR analytics tools can be used to detect care gaps, track outcomes in populations, and direct evidence-based interventions.[51] To put it in summary, EHRs play a crucial role in improving the quality measures of healthcare through patient safety, clinical effectiveness, care coordination, and patient

satisfaction. They can be utilized to enable organizations to embrace data-driven methods, which will eventually result in an increase in the quality of care and health.[52]

EHRs and Evidence-Based Practice.

Evidence-based practice (EBP) is a combination of clinical knowledge, patient values, and available research evidence that should inform healthcare decisions. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) are among the crucial components that facilitate EBP by availing patient information and clinical guidelines and research findings on comprehensive, accurate and up to date data on a patient to healthcare professionals in real-time.[53] The evidence can be integrated into the practice by embedded clinical decision support (CDS) tools in EHRs. These tools can provide alerts, reminders, and hints which follow the most recent research, i.e., prescribing preventive screenings, possible drug interactions, or even proposing alternative therapies. EHRs can help clinicians make informed decisions that maximize the results of patients by connecting patient-specific data to evidence-based recommendations.[54] Another benefit is having access to longitudinal patient data. Trends can be tracked at a certain period, resistant to treatments are detected, and corrections to the interventions made. It is an evidence-based strategy that enables individualized care and still follows the accepted best practices. Multidisciplinary collaboration is another area where EHRs may be helpful since they allow sharing access to patient records, which means that all participants should be acquainted and capable of providing evidence-based information.[55] In addition, EHRs enable research and quality improvement projects as the healthcare organizations gather and process high volumes of data. This helps in identifying best practice, reviewing of clinical interventions, and sharing results that shapes up future standards of care. EHRs are part of evidence-based practice as they facilitate the interrelationship between research and clinical care. EHRs can help clinicians to deliver informed, effective, and evidence-based care based on the available scientific evidence, decision-support tools, and patient data.[56]

Electronic Health Records in Cost-Effectiveness.

Electronic Health Records (EHRs) have been shown to be cost effective in healthcare even though their implementation cost is huge. Although the first costs are associated with the purchase of the software, the upgrades of hardware, training of the staff, and continuous maintenance, the long-term benefits of using EHR usually outweigh the related expenses. EHRs minimize unnecessary hospitalization, redundant diagnostic tests, and medication error that lead to major cost savings to healthcare organizations.[57] Through patient information centralization, EHRs reduce inefficiencies that come with paper-based systems like misplaced charts, sluggish documentation and redundancy in the administration. The patient records are available to the

clinicians at a fast rate, making the decision-making process easier and the provision of care to the clients more efficient. Less redundancy in tests and procedures does not only minimize costs, but patient exposure to potentially harmful interventions remains to a minimum, which is more likely to improve overall safety.[58] Population health management and preventive care are other areas that EHRs facilitate and have long-term financial gains. Analytics tools will be able to recognize high risk patients and allow them to undergo early interventions which may prevent complications or hospitalization. As an example, chronic diseases like diabetes or hypertension are managed in time to minimize the expensive emergency room visits and chronic complications.[59] In addition, EHRs also make it easier to carry out billing and reimbursement because the coding and submitting claims is automated, administrative mistakes and delays are reduced. Competent documentation and reporting functions will also help keep the compliance with the regulatory rules, preventing fines and raising reimbursement rates.[60] EHRs are cost-effective by improving efficiency in operations, minimizing medical errors, embracing preventive care, and streamlining billing procedures. Despite possible large investments in the early stages, the benefits of EHR technology in the long term and the quality of provided care will justify their use.[61]

EHR User training and support.

The successful adoption and use of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) require effective training and support. Physicians, nurses, and administrators have to learn how to use the system effectively and document patient data correctly. Conceptual training programs are effective to ensure users know workflows, system features, and clinical documentation standards and to minimize errors and enhance the efficiency.[62] The training process can include several elements, such as classes, Web-based courses, and department-specific workshops. Role training ensures that the relevant training is given to each group of user and it may be data entry training, order management training or reporting training. The simulation exercise and real life scenarios assist the users to gain confidence and competence in the use of the system in a clinical environment.[63] Constant encouragement is also essential. Technical problems, system updates, or workflow problems may also receive immediate help with the assistance of help desks, IT support teams, or superuser programs. Feedback sessions should be held regularly so that the users report challenges and recommend changes to ensure a culture of perpetual learning and adjustment.[64] Continued learning should be done to ensure that the staff is informed about improvements, addition of new features or any changes in regulations, about the system or documentation and data management. By investing in training and support, the user satisfaction will be increased, as well as the efficiency and reliability of

EHR system. Overall, EHR should be implemented with training and support. They provide the healthcare professionals with an opportunity to implement the system successfully, reduce errors, increase the quality of documentation, and, eventually, improve patient treatment and workflow of the organizations. EHR Technology Trends in the Future.[65] Technological change and the changing demands of healthcare determine the future of Electronic Health Records (EHRs). The adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning, which can improve clinical decision-making through the analysis of big data, patient risk prediction, and personalized treatment advice, is one of the trends. Clinician workload can also be minimized by automating routine activities, e.g. documentation, coding and data entry, with the help of AI-powered tools. Interoperability and data exchange will also keep on increasing, which will allow smooth communication between healthcare institutions and promote a holistic patient-focused care. It is possible to implement blockchain technology to improve the security of data and provide clear and unbeatable medical records.[66] Another trend is the integration of telemedicine, which enables EHRs to record virtual encounters of care and remote monitoring information. Wearables and mobile applications in health will be used to give real-time patient information, vital signs, and activity level, and glucose that can be directly connected to the EHRs to manage health continuously. The level of patient engagement is likely to increase, and they will have more interactive portals, mobile applications, and personal health dashboards. The patients will be able to access their health information, education and communication with the providers more, which will help them to self-manage and preventive care.[67] Population health management tools and predictive analytics will help healthcare organizations to identify at-risk patients, allocate resources efficiently, and intervene specifically with targeted population interventions. Such developments will enhance the quality of care, cost reduction, and evidence-based practice. The trends of EHRs in the future focus on AI, interoperability, telemedicine, patient engagement, and data-based analytics and turn EHRs into active, smart tools, improving healthcare service delivery and care outcomes.[68]

Summary and Implications to Healthcare Improvement.

Electronic Health Records (EHRs) have revolutionized healthcare delivery through centralization of patient information, clinical workflow improvement, and patient outcomes. EHRs implementation ensures safety, less medical errors, evidence-based practice, and management of chronic diseases. EHRs promote patient-centered care and coordination by enhancing communication between providers and allowing data sharing as well as incorporating telemedicine.[69] The cost-efficiency of

EHRs may be reflected in the decrease in the number of duplicate tests, the elimination of complications, the simplification of billing operations, and the enhancement of operational efficiency. Besides, EHRs positively impact patient engagement, allowing an individual to obtain their health information, become an active participant in care choices, and follow treatment regimes. All these advantages lead to the improved quality measurements and improved healthcare provision.[70] Although these benefits exist, there are still several challenges such as the expensive implementation, resistance to change, interoperability, and data privacy and security issues. The barriers need to be addressed by means of proper training, the constant support, the strong security and the involvement of stakeholders so that the full potential of EHR systems can be achieved.[71] In perspective, the EHR is likely to be improved with new technologies in artificial intelligence, predictive analytics, wearable devices, and mobile health applications. These technologies will enable more active, customized, and data-driven care, which will enhance the health outcomes of individuals and populations.[72,73] EHRs have become the essential means of improving healthcare in the modern world. Ensuring the efficient use of them has strong effects on the improvement of patient safety, clinical decision-making, efficiency, and evidence-based patient-centered care. Further investment, innovation, and training will also help to make EHRs remain the focus of healthcare development and quality improvement.[74]

Conclusion

Electronic Health Records have become indispensable mechanisms of improving healthcare delivery, patient safety, and efficient and coordinated care. EHRs allow making informed clinical decisions, preventing medical errors, helping to manage chronic conditions, and facilitate provider communication through centralizing comprehensive information about patients. The involvement of patients is increased by providing them with personal health information, educational materials, and private communication with medical teams. However, the advantages of EHR implementation overcome the obstacles, despite issues associated with the cost of implementation, resistance of users, interoperability, and data security. The utilization is only possible with proper training, constant support, and good security measures. The EHR functionality and patient-centered care are bound to improve according to future trends such as artificial intelligence, integration of telemedicine, predictive analytics, and mobile health tools. EHRs are affordable, enhance healthcare quality outcomes, and act as a basis of evidence-based practice. Their implementation is an important move toward the modern, data-driven, and high-quality healthcare. Additional investment, innovation, and stakeholder involvement will surely make EHRs continue to be at

the center of enhancing patient outcomes and changing the healthcare systems in the world.

References

- Adibi, S., Li, M., Salazar, N., et al. (2020). Medical and dental electronic health record reporting discrepancies in integrated patient care. *JDR Clinical & Translational Research*, 5(3), 278–283.
- Acharya, A., Schroeder, D., Schwei, K., & Chyou, P. H. (2017). Update on electronic dental record and clinical computing adoption among dental practices in the United States. *Clinical Medicine & Research*, 15(3–4), 59–74.
- Fragidis, L. L., & Chatzoglou, P. D. (2018). Implementation of a nationwide electronic health record (EHR). *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 31(2), 116–130.
- Schwendicke, F., & Krois, J. (2021). Data dentistry: How data are changing clinical care and research. *Journal of Dental Research*.
- Walji, M. F., Spallek, H., Kookal, K. K., et al. (2022). BigMouth: Development and maintenance of a successful dental data repository. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 29(4), 701–706.
- Ducret, M., Wahal, E., Gruson, D., et al. (2024). Trustworthy artificial intelligence in dentistry: Learnings from the EU AI Act. *Journal of Dental Research*.
- Yan, Q., Jiang, Z., Harbin, Z., Tolbert, P. H., & Davies, M. G. (2021). Exploring the relationship between electronic health records and provider burnout: A systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(5), 1009–1021.
- Rajkumar, N. M. R., Muzoora, M. R., & Thun, S. (2022). Dentistry and interoperability. *Journal of Dental Research*, 101(11), 1258–1262.
- Cowie, M. R., Blomster, J. I., Curtis, L. H., et al. (2017). Electronic health records to facilitate clinical research. *Clinical Research in Cardiology*, 106, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-016-1025-6>
- Kim, E., Rubinstein, S. M., Nead, K. T., Wojcieszynski, A. P., Gabriel, P. E., & Warner, J. L. (2019). The evolving use of electronic health records for research. *Seminars in Radiation Oncology*, 29, 354–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semradonc.2019.05.010>
- Goldstein, B. A., Navar, A. M., Pencina, M. J., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2017). Opportunities and challenges in developing risk prediction models with electronic health records data: A systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 24, 198–208. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocw042>
- Hernandez-Boussard, T., Monda, K. L., Crespo, B. C., & Riskin, D. (2019). Real-world evidence in cardiovascular medicine: Ensuring data validity in electronic health record-based studies. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 26, 1189–1194. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocz119>
- Tapuria, A., Porat, T., Kalra, D., Dsouza, G., Xiaohui, S., & Curcin, V. (2021). Impact of patient access to their electronic health record: Systematic review. *Information, Communication & Society Care*, 46, 192–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538157.2021.1879810>
- Pawelek, J., Baca-Motes, K., Pandit, J. A., Berk, B. B., & Ramos, E. (2022). The power of patient engagement with electronic health records as research participants. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 10, e39145. <https://doi.org/10.2196/39145>
- Sauer, C. M., Chen, L. C., Hyland, S. L., Girbes, A., Elbers, P., & Celi, L. A. (2022). Leveraging electronic health records for data science: Common pitfalls and how to avoid them. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 4, e893–e898. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(22\)00154-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(22)00154-6)
- Hemingway, H., Asselbergs, F. W., Danesh, J., et al. (2018). Big data from electronic health records for early and late translational cardiovascular research: Challenges and potential. *European Heart Journal*, 39, 1481–1495. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx487>
- Romiti, S., Vinciguerra, M., Saade, W., Anso Cortajarena, I., & Greco, E. (2020). Artificial intelligence and cardiovascular diseases: An unexpected alliance. *Cardiology Research and Practice*, 2020, 4972346. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4972346>
- WHO CVD Risk Chart Working Group. (2019). World Health Organization cardiovascular disease risk charts: Revised models to estimate risk in 21 global regions. *The Lancet Global Health*, 7, e1332–e1345.
- SCORE2 Working Group & ESC Cardiovascular Risk Collaboration. (2021). SCORE2 risk prediction algorithms: New models to estimate 10-year risk of cardiovascular disease in Europe. *European Heart Journal*, 42, 2439–2454. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab309>
- van Daalen, K. R., Zhang, D., Kaptoge, S., Paige, E., Di Angelantonio, E., & Pennells, L. (2024). Risk estimation for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *The Lancet Global Health*, 12, e1343–e1358. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(24\)00210-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(24)00210-9)
- Hippisley-Cox, J., Coupland, C., & Brindle, P. (2017). Development and validation of QRISK3 risk prediction algorithms. *BMJ*, 357, j2099. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j2099>
- Hippisley-Cox, J., Coupland, C. A. C., Bafadhel, M., et al. (2024). Development and validation of a new algorithm for improved cardiovascular risk prediction. *Nature Medicine*, 30, 1440–1447. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-024-02905-y>
- Khan, S. S., Coresh, J., Pencina, M. J., et al. (2023). Novel prediction equations for absolute

risk assessment of total cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*, 148, 1982–2004. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001191>

24. Khan, S. S., Matsushita, K., Sang, Y., et al. (2024). Development and validation of the American Heart Association PREVENT equations. *Circulation*, 149, 430–449. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.067626>

25. Larkin, H. (2024). What to know about PREVENT, the AHA's new cardiovascular disease risk calculator. *JAMA*, 331, 277–279. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.25115>

26. Dey, D., Slomka, P. J., Leeson, P., Comaniciu, D., Shrestha, S., Sengupta, P. P., & Marwick, T. H. (2019). Artificial intelligence in cardiovascular imaging: JACC state-of-the-art review. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 73, 1317–1335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.12.054>

27. Dhingra, L. S., Shen, M., Mangla, A., & Khera, R. (2023). Cardiovascular care innovation through data-driven discoveries in the electronic health record. *American Journal of Cardiology*, 203, 136–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2023.06.104>

28. Zhang, D., Yin, C., Zeng, J., Yuan, X., & Zhang, P. (2020). Combining structured and unstructured data for predictive models: A deep learning approach. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 20, 280. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-01297-6>

29. Alloghani, M., Al-Jumeily, D., Mustafina, J., Hussain, A., & Aljaaf, A. J. (2020). A systematic review on supervised and unsupervised machine learning algorithms for data science. In M. Berry, A. Mohamed, & B. Yap (Eds.), *Supervised and unsupervised learning for data science* (pp. 3–21). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22475-2_1

30. Sarker, I. H. (2021). Machine learning: Algorithms, real-world applications and research directions. *SN Computer Science*, 2, 160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00592-x>

31. Alaa, A. M., Bolton, T., Di Angelantonio, E., Rudd, J. H. F., & van der Schaar, M. (2019). Cardiovascular disease risk prediction using automated machine learning: A prospective study of 423,604 UK Biobank participants. *PLOS ONE*, 14, e0213653. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213653>

32. Yasmin, F., Shah, S. M. I., Naeem, A., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence in the diagnosis and detection of heart failure: The past, present, and future. *Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine*, 22, 1095–1113. <https://doi.org/10.31083/j.rcm2204121>

33. Kagiyama, N., Shrestha, S., Farjo, P. D., & Sengupta, P. P. (2019). Artificial intelligence: Practical primer for clinical research in cardiovascular disease. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 8, e012788. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.119.012788>

34. Sevakula, R. K., Au-Yeung, W. M., Singh, J. P., Heist, E. K., Isselbacher, E. M., & Armandas, A. A. (2020). State-of-the-art machine learning techniques aiming to improve patient outcomes pertaining to the cardiovascular system. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 9, e013924. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.119.013924>

35. Mohd Faizal, A. S., Thevarajah, T. M., Khor, S. M., & Chang, S. W. (2021). A review of risk prediction models in cardiovascular disease: Conventional approach versus artificial intelligence approach. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 207, 106190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106190>

36. Liu, W., Laranjo, L., Klimis, H., et al. (2023). Machine-learning versus traditional approaches for atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk prognostication: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Heart Journal – Quality of Care and Clinical Outcomes*, 9, 310–322. <https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/qcad017>

37. Petrazzini, B. O., Chaudhary, K., Marquez-Luna, C., et al. (2022). Coronary risk estimation based on clinical data in electronic health records. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 79, 1155–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2022.01.021>

38. Betancur, J., Otaki, Y., Motwani, M., et al. (2018). Prognostic value of combined clinical and myocardial perfusion imaging data using machine learning. *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging*, 11, 1000–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.07.024>

39. Li, Y., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Rao, S., et al. (2022). Validation of risk prediction models applied to longitudinal electronic health record data. *European Heart Journal – Digital Health*, 3, 535–547. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjdh/ztac061>

40. Krittawong, C., Johnson, K. W., Choi, E., et al. (2022). Artificial intelligence and cardiovascular genetics. *Life*, 12, 279. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life12020279>

41. Motwani, M., Dey, D., Berman, D. S., et al. (2017). Machine learning for prediction of all-cause mortality in patients with suspected coronary artery disease. *European Heart Journal*, 38, 500–507. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw188>

42. Nakanishi, R., Dey, D., Commandeur, F., et al. (2018). Machine learning in predicting coronary heart disease events: Results from the MESA study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 71, A1483. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097\(18\)32024-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097(18)32024-2)

43. Kwon, J., Kim, K. H., Jeon, K. H., & Park, J. (2019). Deep learning for predicting in-hospital

mortality among heart disease patients based on echocardiography. *Echocardiography*, 36, 213–218. <https://doi.org/10.1111/echo.14220>

44. Li, Y., Rao, S., Solares, J. R. A., et al. (2020). BEHRT: Transformer for electronic health records. *Scientific Reports*, 10, 7155. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62922-y>

45. Liu, K. H., Chiang, C. Y., Wang, H. Y., & Tseng, Y. J. (2023). Temporal phenotype matrix engineering for electronic health records. In *2023 IEEE EMBS International Conference on Biomedical and Health Informatics* (pp. 1–4). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BHI58575.2023.1031350>

46. Sun, X., Yin, Y., Yang, Q., & Huo, T. (2023). Artificial intelligence in cardiovascular diseases: Diagnostic and therapeutic perspectives. *European Journal of Medical Research*, 28, 242. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40001-023-01065-y>

47. Yu, J., Yang, X., Deng, Y., et al. (2024). Incorporating longitudinal history of risk factors into ASCVD risk prediction using deep learning. *Scientific Reports*, 14, 2554. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-51685-5>

48. Gianfrancesco, M. A., & Goldstein, N. D. (2021). A narrative review on the validity of electronic health record-based research in epidemiology. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 21, 234. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01416-5>

49. McGuckin, T., Crick, K., Myroniuk, T. W., et al. (2022). Understanding challenges of using routinely collected health data. *BMJ Open Quality*, 11, e001491. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjoq-2021-001491>

50. Tang, F., & Ishwaran, H. (2017). Random forest missing data algorithms. *Statistical Analysis and Data Mining*, 10, 363–377. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sam.11348>

51. Ayaz, M., Pasha, M. F., Alzahrani, M. Y., Budiarto, R., & Stiawan, D. (2021). The Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) standard: Systematic literature review of implementations, applications, challenges and opportunities. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 9, e21929. <https://doi.org/10.2196/21929>

52. Vorisek, C. N., Lehne, M., Klopfenstein, S. A. I., Mayer, P. J., Bartschke, A., Haese, T., & Thun, S. (2022). Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) for interoperability in health research: Systematic review. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 10, e35724. <https://doi.org/10.2196/35724>

53. Rudrapatna, V. A., Glicksberg, B. S., Avila, P., Harding-Theobald, E., Wang, C., & Butte, A. J. (2020). Accuracy of medical billing data against the electronic health record in the measurement of colorectal cancer screening rates. *BMJ Open Quality*, 9, e000856. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjoq-2019-000856>

54. Lopez-Neyman, S. M., Davis, K., Zohoori, N., Broughton, K. S., Moore, C. E., & Miketinas, D. (2022). Racial disparities and prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors, cardiometabolic risk factors, and cardiovascular health metrics among U.S. adults: NHANES 2011–2018. *Scientific Reports*, 12, 19475. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21878-x>

55. Hong, C., Pencina, M. J., Wojdyla, D. M., Hall, J. L., Judd, S. E., Cary, M., Engelhard, M. M., Berchuck, S., Xian, Y., & D'Agostino, R. B. Sr. (2023). Predictive accuracy of stroke risk prediction models across race, sex, and age groups. *JAMA*, 329, 306–317. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.24683>

56. Thomas Craig, K. J., Fusco, N., Gunnarsdottir, T., Chamberland, L., Snowdon, J. L., & Kassler, W. J. (2021). Leveraging data and digital health technologies to assess and impact social determinants of health: A state-of-the-art review. *Online Journal of Public Health Informatics*, 13, e14. <https://doi.org/10.5210/ojphi.v13i3.11081>

57. McNeill, E., Lindenfeld, Z., Mostafa, L., Zein, D., Silver, D., Pagan, J., Weeks, W. B., Aerts, A., Des Rosiers, S., Boch, J., et al. (2023). Uses of social determinants of health data to address cardiovascular disease and health equity: A scoping review. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 12, e030571. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.123.030571>

58. Olsavszky, V., Dosius, M., Vladescu, C., & Benecke, J. (2020). Time series analysis and forecasting with automated machine learning on a national ICD-10 database. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17, 4979. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17144979>

59. van Smeden, M., Heinze, G., Van Calster, B., Asselbergs, F. W., Vardas, P. E., Bruining, N., de Jaegere, P., Moore, J. H., Denaxas, S., Boulesteix, A. L., et al. (2022). Critical appraisal of artificial intelligence-based prediction models for cardiovascular disease. *European Heart Journal*, 43, 2921–2930. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehac238>

60. Alowais, S. A., Alghamdi, S. S., Alsuhebany, N., Alqahtani, T., Alshaya, A. I., Almohareb, S. N., Aldairem, A., Alrashed, M., Bin Saleh, K., Badreldin, H. A., et al. (2023). Revolutionizing healthcare: The role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC Medical Education*, 23, 689. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z>

61. Sadeghi, Z., Alizadehsani, R., Cifci, M. A., Kausar, S., Rehman, R., Mahanta, P., Bora, P. K., Almasri, A., Alkhawaldeh, R. S., & Hussain, S. (2024). A review of explainable artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 118, 109370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2024.109370>

62. Hussain, L., Awan, I. A., Aziz, W., Saeed, S., Ali, A., Zeeshan, F., & Kwak, K. S. (2020). Detecting congestive heart failure by extracting multimodal features and employing machine learning techniques. *Biomedical Research International*, 2020, 4281243. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4281243>

63. Wang, F., & Preininger, A. (2019). Artificial intelligence in health: State of the art, challenges, and future directions. *Yearbook of Medical Informatics*, 28, 16–26.

64. Gala, D., Behl, H., Shah, M., & Makaryus, A. N. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence in improving patient outcomes and the future of healthcare delivery in cardiology: A narrative review. *Healthcare*, 12, 481. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12040481>

65. Shu, S., Ren, J., & Song, J. (2021). Clinical application of machine learning-based artificial intelligence in the diagnosis, prediction, and classification of cardiovascular diseases. *Circulation Journal*, 85, 1416–1425. <https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.CJ-20-1121>

66. Preechakul, K., Sriswasdi, S., Kijisirikul, B., & Chuangsuwanich, E. (2022). Improved image classification explainability with high-accuracy heatmaps. *iScience*, 25, 103933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.103933>

67. Akbilgic, O. (2024). Principles of artificial intelligence for medicine. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 13, e035815. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.124.035815>

68. Lundberg, S. M., Erion, G., Chen, H., DeGrave, A., Prutkin, J. M., Nair, B., Katz, R., Himmelfarb, J., Bansal, N., & Lee, S. I. (2020). From local explanations to global understanding with explainable AI for trees. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 2, 56–67. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0138-9>

69. Cruz Rivera, S., Liu, X., Chan, A. W., Denniston, A. K., Calvert, M. J., & SPIRIT-AI Group. (2020). Guidelines for clinical trial protocols for interventions involving artificial intelligence: The SPIRIT-AI extension. *Nature Medicine*, 26, 1351–1363. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1037-7>

70. Liu, X., Cruz Rivera, S., Moher, D., Calvert, M. J., Denniston, A. K., & CONSORT-AI Group. (2020). Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: The CONSORT-AI extension. *Nature Medicine*, 26, 1364–1374. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1034-x>

71. Sutton, R. T., Pincock, D., Baumgart, D. C., Sadowski, D. C., Fedorak, R. N., & Kroeker, K. I. (2020). An overview of clinical decision support systems: Benefits, risks, and strategies for success. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 3, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y>

72. Kulkarni, P. A., & Singh, H. (2023). Artificial intelligence in clinical diagnosis: Opportunities, challenges, and hype. *JAMA*, 330(4), 317–318. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.11440>

73. Peng, C., Yang, X., Chen, A., Smith, K. E., PourNejatian, N., Costa, A. B., Martin, C., Flores, M. G., Zhang, Y., Magoc, T., et al. (2023). A study of generative large language models for medical research and healthcare. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 6, 210. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00958-w>

74. Nolin-Lapalme, A., Theriault-Lauzier, P., Corbin, D., Tastet, O., Sharma, A., Hussin, J. G., Kadoury, S., Jiang, R., Krahn, A. D., Gallo, R., et al. (2024). Maximising large language model utility in cardiovascular care: A practical guide. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, 40, 1774–1787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2024.05.024>.