



Patient Safety and Quality of Healthcare Services

Razan Nabil Asiri (1) , Anhar Abdullah Alharbi (2) , Nawal Noah Yahya Al-Hawasawi (3) , Nouf nawaf alrashidi Nursing (4) , Mona abdulrahman salih al-rabiaa (5) , Aisha Awad Alanazi (6) , Ward Mohammed Atiah Almehmadi (7) , Sheikha Salem Marzouq (7) , Sarah Ghanmi Shaman AI-Rashidi (8) , Abdulaziz Mohammed alruwaythi (9) , Adel Ali Sakran Alrashidi (10)

(1) King Salman medical City (Maternity and Children Hospital),Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(2) Madina General Hospital, King Salman Medical City,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(3) King Saud Medical City,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(4) Rayidh alkhabra hospital , Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(5) Oyun City Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(6) Khyber General Hospital,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(7) Defense Health Center,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(8) Wairah Health Center,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(9) King Fahad hospital ,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(10) Khaybar General Hospital,Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Effective healthcare systems comprise patient safety and the quality of healthcare. Although there has been an improvement in medical technology and clinical knowledge, preventable errors and adverse events are a major challenge in the entire world. This study discusses the main issues in patient safety, such as prevalent medical error, nursing practice, physician role, communication, collaboration, and technology. It also analyzes risk management strategies, patient centered care, staff training, quality measure monitoring and accreditation. The paper points to the essential nature of the positive patient safety culture, ongoing professional growth and multidisciplinary cooperation as the factors that can improve patient outcomes. With the systematic safety solutions and the quality improvement programs, the healthcare organizations will be able to minimize their mistakes, improve the quality of the offered services, and increase patient satisfaction. As highlighted in this paper, patient safety and healthcare quality should be improved in a comprehensive, evidence-based manner in order to be sustainable.

Keywords

Patient safety; Healthcare quality; Medical errors; Risk management; Patient centred care; Nursing practices; Communication and teamwork; Quality improvement

Introduction

The priorities in the contemporary healthcare delivery are patient safety and quality of healthcare services. Patient safety is the avoidance of harm in the delivery of healthcare and healthcare quality is the effectiveness, efficiency, and patient-centeredness of care. Although the clinical practice and technological advancements have been made, mistakes and adverse events still impact patients in various parts of the world leading to the rise in morbidity, mortality and financial expenditures.[1]Several issues in healthcare systems related to safety encompass human errors, failure of communication, staffing shortages, system inefficiencies and poor safety culture. Healthcare providers and especially nurses and doctors will be the key players in setting evidence-based practice, keeping track of patient status, and following up compliance with procedures. Moreover, some of the strategies that play a significant role in minimizing

errors and enhancing quality outcomes include risk management, patient-centered care, staff training, and utilizing technology.[2]This study analyzes the connection between quality of healthcare and patient safety, defines typical safety concerns, and discusses the ways of improvement. It underlines the significance of the positive safety culture, the multidisciplinary collaboration, the patient involvement, the lifelong learning, and compliance with accreditation and quality standards. Realizing and responding to these factors, healthcare organizations will have an opportunity to improve care delivery, reduce preventable damage, and realize long-term improvement of healthcare services.[3]

Definition and Idea of Patient Safety.

Patient safety is an inherent part of the healthcare systems and can be defined as the avoidance of damage to the patients in the course of healthcare delivery. As defined by the World Health

Organization, patient safety refers to a decrease in risk of avoidable harm involving healthcare to an acceptable minimum. The idea includes the reduction of the mistakes, adverse events, and injuries that might be experienced during the diagnosis, treatment, or follow-up care. Patient safety is concerned with Identifying, analysing and managing risks that jeopardize the well being of patients. These hazards can be due to medication errors, surgical errors, healthcare-associated infections, delays in diagnosis, failure to communicate or system weakness. Notably, patient safety does not necessarily shift the blame on individual healthcare providers but instead It acknowledges the fact that mistakes can be caused by complicated interactions within healthcare systems, such as bad policies, poor staffing, lack of in-service training, or flawed communication channels.[4] One of the patient safety core values Is a safety culture in healthcare organizations. High safety culture promotes transparency, non-blameful reporting of errors, team efforts, and lifelong learning about unfavorable events. The method assists organizations to determine the underlying causes of mistakes and use preventive methods instead of punitive measures.[5] Engaging patients is another aspect of patient safety because Informed and empowered patients are able to become involved in minimizing errors by questioning, comprehending their treatment regimens, and reporting. Evidence-based practices, standardized procedures, and application of technology including electronic health records and clinical decision support systems are focused concepts of modern patient safety programs to minimize human error. patient safety Is a broad idea and its purpose is to help protect patients against avoidable injury through enhancing healthcare processes, establishing a culture of safety, as well as encouraging accountability and ongoing enhancement at all levels of healthcare provision.[6]

Healthcare Quality Concept.

The quality of healthcare entails the extent to which the health services that are offered to individuals and populations contribute to the probability of the desired health outcomes and are compatible with the existing body of professional knowledge. Safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable are key attributes to a high-quality healthcare. All these dimensions are the ones that shape the level of performance and outcomes when measured by the healthcare systems. Healthcare quality is not limited to the effective clinical use, but also encompasses patient experiences, service accessibility, and effective resource utilization. Efficient care Is founded on scientific findings and clinical recommendations, so that patients do not receive excessive or insufficient interventions on services. Patient-based care focuses on the preferences, values, and cultural backgrounds of their patients making sure that the care decisions are in line with their personal needs.[7] The area of timeliness in

quality healthcare aims to minimize delays In diagnosis and treatment, as it has significant implications on patient outcomes. Efficiency will seek to reduce time, effort and resource wastage without compromising on the best quality of care. Equity means that there is no difference in quality of healthcare according to the gender, age, socioeconomic status or geographic location. One of the aspects of healthcare quality is measurement. Performance is evaluated through indicators like patient satisfaction, clinical outcomes, readmission rates, and compliance with standards among other things. The accreditation bodies and quality assurance programs are very crucial in watching and ensuring quality within the healthcare Institutions.[8] it can be stated that healthcare quality is a dynamic process that needs to be committed by the leaders, interdisciplinary collaboration, continuous training, and frequent assessment. The enhancement of quality of healthcare results in the provision of better patient outcomes, healthcare system trust, and the more sustainable use of healthcare resources.[9]

Connections between Quality of Care and Patient Safety.

The two concepts are closely interrelated with each other because patient safety is among the fundamental dimensions of the quality of healthcare. Without patient safety, it is impossible to have high-quality healthcare, and unsafe care is a direct opposite of quality results. Simply, patient safety is the pillar on which quality care is established. Adverse events, which may cause unfavorable patient outcomes, healthcare cost upsurge, and decline in patient confidence, may occur due to unsafe practices, including medication errors, inadequate infection control practices, and communication failures. Thus, enhancing patient safety positively helps to enhance the quality of care through harm prevention, complications mitigation, and more effective treatment.[10] Quality of care is a multidimensional construct, which includes safety, effectiveness, and patient-centeredness. Patient safety Initiatives-Standardized protocols, clinical guidelines and error-reporting systems contribute to heightened consistency and reliability in the delivery of health care. Such measures reduce risks besides encouraging efficiency and accountability in healthcare organizations.[11] a positive impact on the entire quality of the organization is the presence of a sound safety culture, which fosters teamwork, open communication, and effective Improvement. By supporting healthcare professionals to report errors and near misses, the organisations may learn about the mistakes and take corrective measures, which result in a lasting quality improvement. Involvement of patients also enhances the connection between quality and safety. Patients who are engaged will follow treatment plans more and identify the possible mistakes and communicate their concerns which improves safety

and care outcome.[12]patient safety and quality of care are two inseparable aspects of efficient healthcare systems. Increased patient safety will result in improved clinical outcomes, increased patient satisfaction, and efficiency of the system, which will eventually produce high-quality healthcare services that satisfy the needs of patients and communities.[13]

Popular Patient Safety Problems in Healthcare.

The problem of patient safety is one of the significant challenges in healthcare systems of every developed country and may arise at any point of the care delivery process. Medication errors are one of the most frequent patient safety problems, such as making inappropriate choices, administering the wrong dosage, improper administration, and inability to estimate drug interactions or allergies. These mistakes may either be due to wrong communication, bestowing of similar drug names or absence of proper verification procedures. Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), including surgical site infections, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, and ventilator-associated pneumonia, are another important patient safety problem. The development of these infections is usually caused by poor infection control measures, hand hygiene, inappropriate use of invasive devices, which results in prolonged hospitalization and morbidity.[14]There is also a problem of diagnostic errors which is a grave patient safety issue. Late, missed, or wrong diagnoses may cause the wrong treatment, the development of the disease, or unnecessary complications. Among the contributing factors are lack of clinical assessment, access to diagnostic tools and collapse of communication among medical practitioners.[15]These safety problems are frequent falls and pressure injuries, especially among elderly and immobile individuals. Such incidences can be associated with risks to the environment, improper examination of the patient, or lack of adequate monitoring. Also, there may be a gap in communication between the handovers and transitions of care with patients, which may result in the loss of vital information, raising the risk of adverse events.[16]Other system related problems like insufficiency of adequate staffing, overworking, absence of unified procedures and improper training also make the care environments unsafe. To overcome these patient safety challenges, the integrated approach incorporating the development of policies, staff education, and effective collaboration with their peers, and ongoing monitoring should be implemented to minimize the risks and enhance patient outcomes.[17]

Medical errors and their consequences to patients.

Medical errors are unintentional acts of omission or commission, which can be committed during the delivery of healthcare and they can greatly

affect the outcomes of the patients. Such mistakes may be made during diagnosis, treatment, taking medication, surgery, or follow-up. Medical errors are believed to be one of the greatest causes of avoidable injuries in the healthcare systems worldwide.[18]Medical errors may have devastating effects on the outcomes of patients with temporary harm being mild, and irreparable damage or even death being the permanent consequences. The medication errors can lead to toxicity, adverse drug reactions, or treatment failure. Serious physical injury and psychological trauma may be the outcomes of surgical errors that can be associated with wrong-site surgery or the retention of surgical instruments. Diagnostic errors can result into a postponement of suitable treatment, which in turn causes the disease to develop and low recovery probabilities.[19]In addition to physical damage, medical errors have psychological, emotional and financial effects to patients and their families. There are usually the loss of confidence in healthcare providers, anxiety, and the long-term stays of patients. Besides, medical errors have high financial consequences on health care systems in terms of high treatment cost, litigation, and compensation. Healthcare professionals are also victims of the medical errors and are also called second victims. The workers in the healthcare who engage in errors might develop stress, guilt and decreased job satisfaction, which could have detrimental effects on performance and attention to patients. To avoid medical errors, the systematic changes to be implemented include using evidence-based guidelines, standardized procedures, effective communication, and reporting systems that foster learning instead of blame. Medical errors must be minimized to increase patient outcomes, improve the quality of healthcare, and build confidence in healthcare systems.[20,21]

The HC role in improving patient safety.

Medical practitioners are at the forefront of guaranteeing patient safety and avoidance of harm in the medical care set ups. They are not only involved in clinical care but also in risk detection, observance of safety measures and efficient communication. Allied health professionals such as pharmacists, nurses and physicians should collaborate to deliver high-quality and safe care. Compliance with evidence-based practice and clinical guidelines is one of the main functions of healthcare professionals. The decrease in variability in care and the minimization of chances of errors is because of following standardized procedures. It is necessary to ensure continuity and safety of the care process, and this relies on proper patient identification and accurate documentation.[22]Another important duty is good communication. The effective communication between the members of the healthcare team, patients and their families will help avoid misunderstanding and errors. Mendelsohn et al. (2015) indicate that care-to-care handover can be improved by the use of

structured handover tools and multidisciplinary collaboration, which may lessen transition risks.[23] Reporting-near misses and errors is also the role of healthcare professionals. Open reporting culture that is not associated with punishment enables the organizations to detect weak points in the system and initiate corrective measures. Life-long learning and training assist healthcare professionals to keep informed about best practices, emerging technologies, and safety programs.[24] The education and engagement of the patients are also essential. Medical staff ought to promote involvement of patients in their treatment, inquiry, and expression of concerns. The method of partnership enhances safety and better results. Patient safety is a significant concern among health workers. Their investments on safe practices, collaboration, lifelong education, and moral responsibility play a significant role in minimizing errors, enhancing patient outcomes, and quality healthcare services. [25]

Nursing Practices and Patient Safety

An important role in preventing harm and patient safety in health facilities is played by the practising of nursing. The interaction with the patient is often at the point of the nurses so they are in the central position to identify the risks, track down the conditions of the patient and act immediately when the patient faces safety problems. Proper nursing care plays a major role in adverse events reduction, and better patient outcome.

Patient assessment is one of the greatest nursing practices associated with patient safety. Constant observing of vital signs, symptoms, and treatment responses enables nurses to identify the initial signs of deterioration and report them to the rest of the health care staff. Errors can be avoided by making sure that patients are identified properly before medications are administered or a procedure is performed. Another important nursing practice is medication safety. Medication administration is a responsibility of nurses who ought to administer the drugs accurately, based on the five rights of medication administration, namely; right patient, right drug, right dose, right route, and right time. The compliance with these principles can reduce medication errors and drug adverse events.[26,27] Nursing practices that are crucial in ensuring patient safety also include communication and documentation. When there is clear and timely communication with the physicians and other healthcare professionals, continuity of care is a certainty, and proper documentation gives a dependable record of information to be used in making clinical decisions. Also, nurses should be involved in educating patients by clarifying treatments, making them adherent, and ensuring that patients express their concerns.[28] Nurses can provide patient safety through adherence to infection control protocols, fall prevention, and reporting of errors or near misses.

Nurse competence and the culture of safety is reinforced through continuous professional development and commitment to evidence-based practices. Altogether, patient safety and high-quality care largely rely on effective nursing practices.[29]

Doctor roles in Quality Improvement.

Doctors are the key players in quality improvement processes in the healthcare systems. Their clinical competence, management roles, and decision making can make them one of the greatest factors in achieving better patient outcomes and quality health services. Quality improvement aims at the methodical detection of the gaps in care and following the strategies to improve its effectiveness, safety, and patient-centeredness. Provision of evidence-based care is one of the most crucial roles of the physicians. Physicians are able to provide patients with the right and effective treatment by following the clinical guidelines and best practices. The correct diagnosis, timely treatments, and attentive observation of treatment outcomes are the requisites of quality care.[30] Another way that physicians can help in quality improvement is by being part of the clinical audit, performance review and quality assurance program. Such activities assist in understanding areas to improve, decrease practice variation and promote standards adherence. Moreover, it is the role of physicians to ensure they take part in continuous medical education in order to remain abreast with new developments in medical knowledge and medical technology.[31] An important part of the procedure of the physicians in quality improvement is leadership and teamwork. Physicians tend to be the leaders of multidisciplinary teams and they play an important role in enhancing effective communication and collaboration between healthcare professionals. Open communication helps shared decision-making, and it minimizes the possibility of mistakes.[32] The physicians possess ethical duty of engaging patients in decision-making of care provisions, upholding patient preferences, and informed consent. Physicians promote patient satisfaction and quality of care by promoting patient feedback and responding to their concerns. Summing up, physicians should be a part of quality improvement efforts to develop safer, more efficient, and patient-centered healthcare systems.[33]

Measure of infection prevention and control.

Prevention and control of infection are all-important parts of patient safety and quality of healthcare. HAIs are a major threat to patients, healthcare workers, and healthcare systems that result in higher morbidity, mortality, and healthcare expenses. Proper infection control strategies would help to minimize the spread of infectious agents in healthcare facilities. The most basic and efficient infection prevention measure is hand hygiene. Handwashing or alcohol based hand rubs can be observed before and after contact with a patient and reduce pathogen spread significantly. Compliance

with the general precautions including wearing of personal protective equipment (PPE) like gloves, masks, and gowns further reduces the probability of infection spread.[34] Infection control is also important to ensure that there is environmental cleanliness and sterilization of medical equipment. Cleaning and disinfection of patient care facilities should be done regularly and medical waste should be handled appropriately to ensure a safe environment. Also, there is safe injection and correct handling of invasive instruments like catheters and ventilators, which minimize the risk of infections. They are involved in surveillance and early detection of infections which are of significance in controlling infection. The surveillance of the level of infection enables healthcare institutions to detect outbreaks and respond to them in a timely manner. Healthcare workers are educated and trained in infection prevention instructions to increase compliance and effectiveness.[35] Infection prevention and control strategies need a multidisciplinary strategy that includes healthcare providers, patients and healthcare organizations. It is critical to follow infection control-related measures and procedures to keep patients safe, enhance patient outcomes, and provide safe and quality healthcare services.[36]

Application of Technology to enhance patient safety.

Technology use has been growing in role in enhancing patient safety and preventing preventable harm within the healthcare environment. Technological advances can assist the healthcare worker by reducing the human error, increasing accuracy, and improving the communication within the care processes. Technology plays a major role in safer and quality patient care when implemented effectively.[37] The electronic health record (HER) is one of the most Influential technologies that have the impact on patient safety. EHRs offer clear and complete information about patients, their medical history, allergies, lab findings, and drug prescriptions. This availability helps in clinical decision-making and minimizes the errors that may occur due to missing or irrelevant information. Computerized physician order entry (CPOE) systems also promote the safety of prescription because they do not rely on handwritten prescriptions and include clinical decision-support notifications of drug interactions and allergies.[38] Bar-code medication administration systems are important in medication safety whereby they ensure patient identification is proper and medication delivery is appropriate. These systems minimize chances of giving incorrect drug or dose. Also, intelligent infusion pumps can be used to avoid the occurrence of dosage mistakes via preprogrammed limits and alarms. The safety of patients is also enhanced by technology, which enhances communication and monitoring. Telemedicine enables prompt consultations and subsequent treatment, particularly among the remote or underserved

communities. Wearable device and clinical monitoring systems provide the opportunity to constantly monitor vital signs and, therefore, provide the possibility to identify the deterioration of a patient in time. Nevertheless, technology should be integrated into the healthcare processes carefully, although it has its advantages. Technology related errors can be avoided by proper training and system usability and regular evaluation. To conclude, technology can be a potent means of patient safety enhancement, better performance, and quality healthcare services.[39]

Risk In Healthcare Services Management.

Healthcare services Risk management Risk management is the identification, evaluation, and mitigation of risks that could result in the patient harm, injury of staff, or other losses to the organization. It is a preemptive and structured effort with the intention of enhancing the safety of patients, quality of care, and adherence to legal and ethical provisions. Clinical practice, equipment malfunction, communication, medication errors, or environmental risks may result in healthcare risks.[40] The risk management process starts with the identification of risks in terms of incident reporting system, audits, patient complaints and safety inspection. Such practices assist medical institutions in identifying the possible threats before they lead to damage. After the Identification of risks, this is followed by analyzing of risks to establish their probability and potential effect. Risk assessment helps healthcare organizations to focus on the areas at high risk and to use a sense of priority on the resource distribution. Risk control strategies involve establishment of safety policies, standardization of clinical procedures, better training of the staff, and communication between healthcare teams. Risk management involves incident reporting and root cause analysis. The examination of adverse events and near misses assists organizations to see the root causes of system failures as opposed to personal attribution. This learning-oriented strategy assists in the maintenance of Continuous Improvement and avoidance of further events. [41] It is important that leadership is involved in the formulation of an effective risk management program. Healthcare leaders should facilitate a safety culture, facilitate employee engagement, and adequate resources on risk mitigation efforts. To sum up, good risk management not only safeguards patients but it also supports medical professionals and leads to safer and more reliable healthcare services.

Centered Care and its impact on quality improvement in patients.

Patient-centered care is the model of healthcare provision that is based on respect to the values, preferences, needs, and active involvement of patients in decision-making. It is one of the healthcare quality dimensions that is critical towards enhancing patient outcomes, patient satisfaction and the effectiveness of overall care. Under patient-centered care, medical providers consider patients as partners

and not people who receive care passively. This strategy entails effective communication, joint decision making and personalized care plans which takes into account cultural, emotional, and social needs of patients. Patients will be more likely to be more informed about their conditions, comply with treatment plans, and report concerns when they are actively involved, leading to improved safety and quality. [42]The quality Improvement that patient-centered care also provides is the enhancement of the healthcare experiences and outcomes. Paying attention to patient feedback and experience assists healthcare organization to realize gaps in care, enhance services and customize interventions to patient need. This is an improvement that is aided by feedback and contributes to the continuous quality improvement. patient-centered care encourages co-ordination and continuity of care especially when passing through healthcare environments. Communication with patients and their families is effective and leads to less misunderstanding and errors. Patient empowerment and education will help them to be aware of red flags and prompt care. patient-centered care is one of the key quality improvement aspects in healthcare. Through patient engagement, respect and collaboration, the healthcare systems can attain improved outcomes, patient satisfaction and long-term care quality enhancement.[43,44]

Healthcare Staff Continuous Education and training.

The high-quality and safe healthcare services cannot be achieved without training and constant education. The healthcare setting is dynamic, and the field of medicine, technology, and practices the clinical community undergoes speeds up the process of development. Good professional development guarantees that healthcare personnel keep skilled with the latest competencies and provide patient-based care that is evidence-based and safe.[45]Primary training equips medical workers with the background and clinical competence. Nevertheless, continuous learning is required in order to deal with the emerging health challenges, new treatment regimes, and changing patient expectations. Constant learning activities improve clinical competence, critical thinking, and decision-making skills, which directly cause positive patient outcomes and fewer medical errors.[46,47]The training programs usually involve workshops, simulations, in-service training, and web-based learning. The training that is based on simulations proves to be more useful in enhancing clinical skills, teamwork, and communication especially in the case of high risk (e.g. emergencies). Interprofessional education encourages collaboration of the workers in health care and facilitates coordinated care provision.[48]Constant learning can also establish the culture of quality improvement and safety. Through frequent training, the medical personnel would be more knowledgeable on patient

safety concepts, risk management techniques, and accountability issues. Also, education increases employee confidence, job satisfaction, and professional accountability.[49]Healthcare organizations are very instrumental in funding staff training through provision of resources, protected learning time and leadership provision. To sum up, the investments of training and ongoing education play a significant role in ensuring the capacity of the healthcare workforce, improved patient safety, and the ability to provide the client with the high-quality healthcare services.[50,51]

Quality of Healthcare Services Measuring and Monitoring

The complexity of the quality measurement and monitoring of healthcare services is crucial to safety, effective, and patient-centred care. Quality measurement offers objective statistics that assist healthcare organizations to assess performance, gaps as well as adopt measures of improvements.[52]Quality indicators are generally divided into structure, process and outcome measures. Structure indicators evaluate resources, staffing and infrastructure whereas process indicators determine how they conform to clinical guidelines and care patterns. Outcome measures are used to measure the outcomes of care which can include patient satisfaction, rates of complications and mortality. A combination of these measures offers a holistic picture of the quality of healthcare.[53]Periodic tracking of quality indicators enables healthcare organizations to track the trends with time and evaluate the efficiency of the improvement processes. The modes of data collection are clinical audit, patient survey, performance dashboards, and electronic health records. Clear reporting and feedback systems facilitate accountability and staff involvement in quality improvement process.[54]Measurement of quality also helps in the process of making evidence-based decisions and allocating resources. Healthcare leaders can also identify high-risk areas or inefficient practices and then give priority to interventions that will improve patient outcomes and operational efficiency. Continuous improvement is also achieved when benchmarking on national or international standards, quantifying and tracking the quality of healthcare are the essential steps towards excellence in care services. Systematization and feedback can be used to assess the evidence of high-quality of healthcare organizations, and enhance patient outcomes, and sustain quality improvement.[55]

QI and Accreditation in Healthcare Institutions.

The accreditation and quality standards are important in the provision of quality, safe, and effective healthcare services. Accreditation is an official procedure where healthcare facilities are subjected to outside agencies to ascertain their

adherence to the set quality and safety standards. Quality standards have offered a guideline of best practices in clinical care, patient safety, leadership and organizational management. The standards are used in directing healthcare institutions to formulate policies, procedures, and performance enhancement initiatives. Standards guarantee uniformity, responsibility, and an unending quality enhancement.[56,57] The accreditation processes are normally associated with self-assessment, external survey, and continuous monitoring of performance. Such assessments empower health facilities to know areas where they are strong, areas where they fail, and take corrective measures. Transparency and public trust are also promoted as a result of accreditation due to the efforts of an institution to ensure quality and safety.[58] accreditation helps to develop the culture of continuous improvements by promoting the expansion of the staff, collaboration, and evidence-based practice. Accredited institutions tend to have improved patient outcomes, increased efficiency of operation and low possibilities of adverse events. Accreditation and quality standards can be described as critical instruments of supporting health care systems.[59] They facilitate safer care, improved patient satisfaction and general excellence in healthcare provision by fostering accountability, consistency and constant improvement.[60,61]

Patient Safety: Problems in Healthcare Systems.

The issue of patient safety has been a major concern of healthcare systems all over the world since care delivery is complicated, and there are several professionals, technologies, and processes involved. Human error is one of the biggest challenges as it may be caused by fatigue, overworking, understaffing, and time-related pressure. These make one more likely to make errors in diagnosis, medication administration, and clinical procedures.[62,63] The other urgent problem is the lack of effective communication between healthcare professionals, especially when handing over and transferring a patient. Partial or imperfect transfer of information may result in a misconception, inappropriate treatment, and adverse events. Communication issues are also being worsened by fragmented healthcare systems and the inability of departments to coordinate with one another.[64] Patient safety is also at risk because of system-related issues. They are, among other things, poor policies, non-standardized procedures, use of obsolete equipment, and inappropriate utilization of health information technology. The lack of funds in most environments limits the possibility of using modern technologies and training the staff, exposing them to the possibility of making mistakes.[65] Another significant impediment is a weak patient safety culture. With blame and punishment prevailing in an organization, healthcare workers might be unwilling to disclose mistakes or close calls. Such a non-transparent situation makes it

impossible to learn through errors and restricts chances to improve. Also, change resistance and the inability of the leadership to commit to change initiatives can be an obstacle to safety initiatives. Safety challenges are also caused by patient-related issues, including health literacy and complex medical conditions, as well as non-adherence to treatment plans. To solve these issues, a multifaceted approach is needed that would focus on both individual and system-level aspects of improving safer healthcare settings.[66,67]

Patient Safety and Healthcare Quality Improvement Strategies.

To enhance patient safety and healthcare quality, a multidisciplinary approach to the problem should be systematic and include clinical practices, organizational culture, and healthcare systems. A well-developed patient safety culture that promotes open communication, collaboration, and non-punitive reporting of errors is among the best strategies. By ensuring that healthcare practitioners are comfortable with reporting mistakes, they can study the cases and avoid such mistakes.[68] The strategies to increase safety and quality should involve education and constant training of health care personnel. Clinical skills are enhanced, evidence-based practices are encouraged, and awareness of patient safety is raised with frequent training programs. Training can be done with simulation which is important to train members on how to handle dangerous conditions.[69,70] Leveraging of health information technology to enhance patient safety is a great gain. Computerized physician order entry, bar-code medication administration systems, and electronic health records minimize errors in documentation and increase communication. Standard procedures and clinical guidelines also contribute to the decrease in variability of care and enhance consistency.[71,72] The other important strategy is that of patient engagement. Engaging patients and their families in the care decisions, education, and safety programs enhances patient adherence to treatment and aids in detecting possible risks. Patient communication brings about trust and satisfaction.[73] To maintain the safety improvements, leadership commitment and constant monitoring are important. The continual enhancement is assisted with the measurement of quality indicators, the carrying out of audits, and the use of evidence-based interventions. To sum up, there is a need to have integrated approaches that encompass culture, education, technology, and patient involvement in a bid to enhance patient safety and the quality of healthcare.[74]

Conclusion

Half components of effective healthcare systems are patient safety and quality of healthcare. Human errors, communication failures, inefficiencies in the system, and ineffective safety cultures are some of the challenges that are still threatening the patient outcomes. Nevertheless, the use of evidence-based

strategies, such as staff training, risk management, technology implementation, patient-centered care, and compliance with the accreditation standards can significantly decrease the number of errors and improve the quality of care. The elements that guarantee safe, efficient, and patient-centered care are a sound patient safety culture, communication, and multidisciplinary teamwork. Constant surveillance, quality indicators and technological utilization also facilitate the process of avoiding adverse events as well as encouraging uniformity in clinical practice. Finally, sustainable change in patient safety and quality of healthcare should be based on complex approach that incorporates organizational policies, professional competence, and active involvement of patients. With these strategies as priorities, healthcare institutions will be able to attain improved patient outcomes, satisfaction, and excellence in healthcare delivery over time.

References:

- Ahmad, S., Anees, M., Elahi, I., & Mateen, F. (2021). Rhabdomyolysis leading to acute kidney injury. *Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons-Pakistan*, 31(2), 235–237. <https://doi.org/10.29271/jcpsp.2021.02.235>
- Bates, D. W., Levine, D., Salmasian, H., Syrowatka, S., Shahian, D. M., Lipsitz, S., Zebrowski, J., Myers, S., Logan, M., Roy, C., Iannaccone, C., Frits, M., Volk, L., Dulgarian, S., Amato, M., Edrees, H., Sato, L., Folcarelli, P., Einbinder, J., ... Mort, E. (2023). The safety of inpatient health care. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 388(2), 142–153. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa2206117>
- Bj ro, B., Ballestad, I., Rust en, T., Fosmark, M., & Bentsen, S. (2022). Positioning patients for robotic-assisted surgery: A qualitative study of operating room nurses' experiences. *Nursing Open*, 10, 469–478. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1312>
- Bj ro, B., Mykkeltveit, I., Rust en, T., Altinbas, B., R yise, O., & Bentsen, S. (2020). Intraoperative peripheral nerve injury related to lithotomy positioning with steep Trendelenburg in patients undergoing robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery: A systematic review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 76, 490–503. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14271>
- Brooker, K., Vikan, M., & Thyrl , B. (2020). A qualitative exploratory study of Norwegian OR nurses' patient positioning priorities. *AORN Journal*, 111(2), 201–210. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aorn.12930>
- Choi, S., Kim, Y., Oh, H., Lee, C., Yang, S., Kim, C., Chung, C., & Park, H. (2022). Factors associated with perioperative hospital-acquired pressure injury in patients undergoing spine surgery in the prone position: A prospective observational study. *Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology*, 36(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANA.0000000000000867>
- Cornelius, J., Mudlagk, J., Afferi, L., Baumeister, P., Mattei, A., Moschini, M., Iselin, C., & Mordasini, L. (2021). Postoperative peripheral neuropathies associated with patient positioning during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: A systematic review. *The Prostate*, 81, 361–367. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.24121>
- Das, D., Propst, K., Wechter, M., & Kho, R. (2019). Evaluation of positioning devices for optimization of outcomes in laparoscopic and robotic-assisted gynecologic surgery. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 26(2), 244–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2018.08.027>
- Dinaux, A., Amri, R., & Berger, D. L. (2017). Prone positioning reduces perineal infections when performing the Miles procedure. *American Journal of Surgery*, 214, 217–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2017.05.021>
- Fawcett, D. (2019). Positioning the patient for surgery. In J. Rothrock & D. McEwen (Eds.), *Alexander's care of the patient in surgery* (16th ed., pp. 142–175). Elsevier.
- Gawronski, D. (2019). Trauma surgery. In J. Rothrock & D. McEwen (Eds.), *Alexander's care of the patient in surgery* (16th ed., pp. 1092–1118). Elsevier.
- Gefen, A., Creehan, S., & Black, J. (2020). Critical biomechanical and clinical insights concerning tissue protection when positioning patients in the operating room: A scoping review. *International Wound Journal*, 17, 1405–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13408>
- Grant, I., Browman, E., Kang, D., Greenberg, P., Saba, R., & Urman, R. (2019). A medicolegal analysis of positioning-related perioperative peripheral nerve injuries occurring between 1996 and 2015. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, 58, 84–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2019.05.013>
- Higgins, J. P. T., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M. J., & Welch, V. A. (2019). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* (2nd ed.). Wiley Blackwell.
- Johansson, V., & von Vogelsang, A. (2019). Patient-reported extremity symptoms after robot-assisted laparoscopic cystectomy. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 28, 1708–1718. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.14781>
- Laughlin, R. S., Johnson, R. L., Burkle, C. M., & Staff, N. P. (2020). Postsurgical neuropathy: A descriptive review. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 95(2), 355–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.05.038>

17. Levy, J. C., Tauberg, B. M., Holtzman, A. J., & Gruson, K. I. (2019). Reducing lateral femoral cutaneous nerve palsy in obese patients in the beach chair position. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*, 27, 437–443. <https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-17-00624>
18. Lin, S., Hey, H. W. D., Lau, E. T., Tan, K. A., Lau, L. L., Kumar, N., & Wong, H. K. (2017). Prevalence and predictors of pressure injuries from spine surgery in the prone position. *Spine*, 42(22), 1730–1736. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000002177>
19. Maerz, D. A., Beck, L. N., Sim, A. J., & Gainsburg, D. M. (2017). Complications of robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery distant from the surgical site. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 118(4), 492–503. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aex003>
20. Martial, C., Laurence, R., Jean-Michel, J., Alexis, V., & Fabrice, B. (2019). Peripheral nerve regeneration and intraneural revascularization. *Neural Regeneration Research*, 14(1), 24–33. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.243699>
21. Nydoo, P., Pillay, B., Naicker, T., & Moodley, J. (2020). The second victim phenomenon in health care: A literature review. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 48(6), 629–637. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494819855506>
22. Oblak, T., & Gillespie, B. (2021). The incidence of peripheral nerve injuries related to patient positioning during robotic-assisted surgery: An evidence summary. *Journal of Perioperative Nursing*, 34(1), e49–e53. <https://doi.org/10.26550/2209-1092.1166>
23. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
24. Ripa, M., Schipa, C., Kopsacheilis, N., Nomikarios, M., Perrotta, G., De Rosa, C., Aceto, P., Sollazzi, L., De Rosa, P., & Motta, L. (2022). The impact of steep Trendelenburg position on intraocular pressure. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 11(10), 2844. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm1102844>
25. Satos, H., Kotani, Y., Takamatsu, S., Ohta, M., Shiro, R., Yamamoto, K., Murakami, K., & Matsumura, N. (2021). Lower leg compartment syndrome following laparoscopic uterine malignancy surgery for uterine cancer complicated by rheumatoid arthritis: A case report and literature review. *European Journal of Gynaecological Oncology*, 42(3), 590–594. <https://doi.org/10.31083/j.ejgo.2021.03.2296>
26. Schwarzman, G., Schwarzman, L., Macgillis, K., & Gonzalez, M. (2020). A systematic review of upper extremity neuropathy following total hip arthroplasty. *Hip International*, 30(6), 673–678. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1120700019901268>
27. Sterne, J. A. C., Savović, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., Cates, C. J., Cheng, H. Y., Corbett, M. S., Eldridge, S. M., Emberson, J. R., Hernán, M. A., Hopewell, S., Hróbjartsson, A., Junqueira, D. R., Jüni, P., Kirkham, J. J., Lasserson, T., Li, T., ... Higgins, J. P. T. (2019). RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*, 366, l4898. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4898>
28. Techanivate, A., Athibai, N., Siripongsaporn, S., & Singhatanadigige, W. (2020). Risk factors for facial pressure ulcers in patients who underwent prolonged prone orthopedic spine surgery. *Spine*, 46(11), 744–750. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000003892>
29. Tsubouchi, K., Gunge, N., Tominaga, K., Matsuzaki, H., Fujikawa, A., Emoto, T., Miyazaki, T., Okabe, Y., Nakamura, N., Kataoka, M., Ogawa, S., Akaiha, H., Sato, Y., Hata, J., Matsuoka, H., Kojima, Y., & Haga, N. (2022). Efficacy of the opened legs position for protecting against postoperative rhabdomyolysis after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: A propensity score-matched analysis. *International Journal of Urology*, 29, 1132–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iju.14935>
30. Ueno, T., Kabata, T., Kajino, Y., Inoue, D., Ohmori, T., Yoshitani, J., Ueoka, K., Yamamuro, Y., & Tsuchiya, H. (2020). Risk factors for pressure ulcers from the use of a pelvic positioner in hip surgery: A retrospective observational cohort study. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 20, 237. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13037-020-00237-7>
31. World Health Organization. (2019). Patient safety. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/patient-safety>
32. Medication errors: Short life working group report. (2018). GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/medication-errors-short-life-working-group-report>
33. Elliott, R. A., Camacho, E., Jankovic, D., Sculpher, M. J., & Faria, R. (2021). Economic analysis of the prevalence and clinical and economic burden of medication error in England. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 30, 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2019-010206>
34. Snowdon, A., Hussein, A., Danforth, M., Alshammari, R., & Fowler, R. (2024). Digital maturity as a predictor of quality and safety outcomes in US hospitals: Cross-sectional observational study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 26, e56316. <https://doi.org/10.2196/56316>
35. Cresswell, K., Sheikh, A., Krasuska, M., Heeney, C., Franklin, B. D., Lane, W., Mozaffar, H.,

- Mason, K., Eason, S., & Hinder, S. (2019). Reconceptualising the digital maturity of health systems. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 1, e200–e201. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(19\)30083-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(19)30083-4)
36. Macias, M., Bernabeu-Andreu, F. A., Arribas, I., Navarro, F., & Baldominos, G. (2018). Impact of a barcode medication administration system on patient safety. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 45, E1–E13. <https://doi.org/10.1188/18.ONF.E1-E13>
 37. Thompson, K. M., Swanson, K. M., Cox, D. L., Kirchner, J. E., & Coyle, G. A. (2018). Implementation of bar-code medication administration to reduce patient harm. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings: Innovations, Quality & Outcomes*, 2, 342–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocpiqo.2018.09.001>
 38. Lin, J.-C., Lee, T.-T., & Mills, M. E. (2018). Evaluation of a barcode medication administration information system. *Computers, Informatics, Nursing*, 36(12), 596–602. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CIN.0000000000000459>
 39. Blandford, A., Dykes, P. C., Franklin, B. D., Kuipers, S., Lunt, P., Sharples, S., & Furniss, D. (2019). Intravenous infusion administration: A comparative study of practices and errors between the United States and England and their implications for patient safety. *Drug Safety*, 42, 1157–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00841-2>
 40. Van der Veen, W., Taxis, K., Wouters, H., Pont, L. G., & de Gier, J. J. (2020). Factors associated with workarounds in barcode-assisted medication administration in hospitals. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 29, 2239–2250. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15217>
 41. Williams, R., Aldakhil, R., Blandford, A., & Franklin, B. D. (2021). Interdisciplinary systematic review: Does alignment between system and design shape adoption and use of barcode medication administration technology? *BMJ Open*, 11, e044419. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044419>
 42. Mulac, A., Mathiesen, L., Taxis, K., & Harthug, S. (2021). Barcode medication administration technology use in hospital practice: A mixed-methods observational study of policy deviations. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 30, 1021–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2021-013223>
 43. Van Ornum, M. (2018). Improving bar code medication administration compliance in a community hospital through a nursing leadership initiative. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, 33, 341–347. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NCQ.0000000000000320>
 44. Ho, J., & Burger, D. (2020). Improving medication safety practice at a community hospital: A focus on bar code medication administration scanning and pain reassessment. *BMJ Open Quality*, 9, e000987. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-000987>
 45. Bowdle, T. A., Jelacic, S., Nair, B., Kusulos, D., & Leung, J. M. (2019). Electronic audit and feedback with positive rewards improve anesthesia provider compliance with a barcode-based drug safety system. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 129, 418–425. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000003861>
 46. Koyama, A. K., Maddox, C.-S. S., Li, L., Bucknall, T., & Westbrook, J. I. (2020). Effectiveness of double checking to reduce medication administration errors: A systematic review. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 29, 595–603. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2019-009552>
 47. Barakat, S., & Franklin, B. D. (2020). An evaluation of the impact of barcode patient and medication scanning on nursing workflow at a UK teaching hospital. *Pharmacy*, 8(3), 148. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy8030148>
 48. Grailey, K., Hussain, R., Wylleman, E., & Puthucherry, J. (2023). Understanding the facilitators and barriers to barcode medication administration by nursing staff using behavioural science frameworks: A mixed-methods study. *BMC Nursing*, 22, 378. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-023-01382-x>
 49. Sato, H. (2020). Strategic management of medical incidents for patient safety and crisis management: Applications of crisis management principles and recent developments in Japan. *Journal of the National Institute of Public Health*, 69, 41–51. https://doi.org/10.20683/jnipph.69.1_41
 50. Aouicha, W., Tlili, M. A., Sahli, J., & Ben Rejeb, M. (2021). Exploring patient safety culture in emergency departments: A Tunisian perspective. *International Emergency Nursing*, 54, 100941. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ienj.2020.100941>
 51. Alsabri, M., Boudi, Z., Lauque, D., & Mulla, M. (2022). Impact of teamwork and communication training interventions on safety culture and patient safety in emergency departments: A systematic review. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 18, e351–e361. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000000782>
 52. Aaronson, E. L., & Yun, B. J. (2020). Emergency department shifts and decision to admit: Is there a lever to pull to address crowding? *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 29, 443–445. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2019-010554>
 53. Fekonja, Z., Kmetec, S., Fekonja, U., & Černetič, M. (2023). Factors contributing to patient safety during triage process in the emergency department: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 32, 5461–5477. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.16622>
 54. Hussain, F., Cooper, A., Carson-Stevens, A., Donaldson, L., & Sheikh, A. (2019). Diagnostic

- error in the emergency department: Learning from national patient safety incident report analysis. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 19, 77. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-019-0289-3>
55. Alsabri, M., Boudi, Z., Zoubeidi, T., & Maull, K. (2022). Analysis of risk factors for patient safety events occurring in the emergency department. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 18, e124–e135. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000000715>
 56. Zhou, P., Bai, F., Tang, H.-Q., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Patient safety climate in general public hospitals in China: Differences associated with department and job type based on a cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open*, 8, e015604. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015604>
 57. Rawas, H., & Abou Hashish, E. A. (2023). Predictors and outcomes of patient safety culture at King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: A nursing perspective. *BMC Nursing*, 22, 229. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-023-01391-w>
 58. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2022). What is patient safety culture. <https://www.ahrq.gov/sops/about/patient-safety-culture.html>
 59. Saks, M. (2021). The regulation of healthcare professions and support workers in international context. *Human Resources for Health*, 19, 74. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00618-8>
 60. Azyabi, A., Karwowski, W., & Davahli, M. R. (2021). Assessing patient safety culture in hospital settings. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18, 2466. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052466>
 61. Camacho-Rodríguez, D. E., Carrasquilla-Baza, D. A., Domínguez-Cancino, K. A., & Gómez-Ortega, O. R. (2022). Patient safety culture in Latin American hospitals: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19, 14380. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192114380>
 62. Zhao, P., Li, Y., Li, Z., & Li, L. (2017). Use of patient safety culture instruments in operating rooms: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*, 10, 145–151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jebm.12255>
 63. Al Nadabi, W., McIntosh, B., McClelland, T., & Stewart, D. (2019). Patient safety culture in maternity units: A review. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 32, 662–676. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHCQA-01-2018-0005>
 64. Gartshore, E., Waring, J., & Timmons, S. (2017). Patient safety culture in care homes for older people: A scoping review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 17, 752. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2713-2>
 65. Kwon, K.-E., Nam, D. R., Lee, M.-S., & Kim, J. H. (2023). Status of patient safety culture in community pharmacy settings: A systematic review. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 19, 353–361. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000001147>
 66. Alshyyab, M. A., Borkoles, E., Albsoul, R. A., et al. (2022). Safety culture in emergency medicine: An exploratory qualitative study. *International Journal of Risk & Safety in Medicine*, 33(4), 365–383. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JRS-210031>
 67. Alzahrani, N., Jones, R., & Abdel-Latif, M. E. (2018). Attitudes of doctors and nurses toward patient safety within emergency departments of two Saudi Arabian hospitals. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18, 736. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3542-7>
 68. Alzahrani, N., Jones, R., & Abdel-Latif, M. E. (2019a). Attitudes of doctors and nurses toward patient safety within emergency departments of a Saudi Arabian hospital: A qualitative study. *Healthcare*, 7(1), 44. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare7010044>
 69. Alzahrani, N., Jones, R., & Abdel-Latif, M. E. (2019b). Safety attitudes among doctors and nurses in an emergency department of an Australian hospital. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 13(3), IC05–IC08. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2019/40742.12820>
 70. Chegini, Z., Janati, A., Afkhami, M., et al. (2020). A comparative study on patient safety culture among emergency nurses in the public and private hospitals of Tabriz, Iran. *Nursing Open*, 7(3), 768–775. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.449>
 71. Connell, C. J., Cooper, S., & Endacott, R. (2021). Measuring the safety climate in an Australian emergency department. *International Emergency Nursing*, 58, 101048. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ienj.2021.101048>
 72. Khalil, H., Peters, M. D. J., McInerney, P. A., et al. (2022). The role of scoping reviews in reducing research waste. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 152, 30–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2022.09.012>
 73. Khorasgani, A. E., Ghezeljeh, T. N., Sharif-Nia, H., et al. (2024). Patient safety culture in home healthcare centres: Protocol for a scoping review. *BMJ Open*, 14, e082604. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-082604>
 74. Kim, S.-S., Kim, D., Gil, M., et al. (2021). Couple- or family-oriented interventions and outcomes for preventing mental health problems and promoting mental health: A scoping review protocol. *JB I Evidence Synthesis*, 19(3), 432–439. <https://doi.org/10.1112/JBIES-20-00087>