Saudi J. Med. Pub. Health **Vol. 1,** No. 1 , pp 47 -57 (2024)

Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health

https://saudijmph.com/index.php/pub

Comparative Evaluation of the Pharmacological Mechanisms, Clinical Indications, and Risk Management Strategies of Epidural Anesthesia in Surgical and Obstetric Interventions

Saud Faleh Alanazi

Saudi Arabia , Ministry of Health **,** *KING FAHAD MEDICAL CITY*

Abstract

Background: Epidural anesthesia is a widely used neuraxial technique for pain management in surgical and obstetric settings. It involves injecting anesthetic agents into the epidural space to block sensory and motor nerve transmission, offering advantages such as reduced systemic opioid use and enhanced recovery. Despite its benefits, complications like hypotension, infection, and neurological injury necessitate careful patient selection and technique optimization.

Aim: This review evaluates the pharmacological mechanisms, clinical indications, and risk management strategies of epidural anesthesia, comparing its efficacy and safety in surgical and obstetric interventions.

Methods: A comprehensive analysis of epidural anesthesia was conducted, focusing on anatomical considerations, procedural techniques (midline vs. paramedian approaches, caudal blocks), equipment, contraindications, and complications. Evidence from clinical studies, guidelines, and meta-analyses was synthesized to assess its role in different patient populations.

Results: Epidural anesthesia provides effective analgesia, reduces opioid-related side effects, and supports enhanced recovery protocols. It is particularly beneficial in obstetrics and high-risk surgical patients but carries risks such as post-dural puncture headache, hematoma, and infection. Ultrasound guidance and strict adherence to anticoagulation protocols mitigate complications. Comparative studies suggest that while epidural analgesia remains superior for certain procedures, alternative regional techniques may offer comparable benefits with fewer risks.

Conclusion: Epidural anesthesia remains a valuable tool in perioperative and obstetric care, but its application requires meticulous technique, interdisciplinary coordination, and patient-specific risk assessment. Future research should refine patient selection criteria and explore emerging alternatives.

Keywords: Epidural anesthesia, neuraxial blockade, opioid-sparing analgesia, postoperative pain management, regional anesthesia complications.

Introduction:

Epidural anesthesia is a targeted form of neuraxial anesthesia that involves the injection of anesthetic agents into the epidural space, aiming to block the sensory and motor transmission of spinal nerve roots within specific anatomical regions, including the thoracic, abdominal, pelvic, and lower extremities. This technique is utilized both as a standalone anesthetic approach and as an adjunct for pain management during surgical procedures, or in the context of chronic pain syndromes and muscle spasticity. Its clinical use spans more than a century, demonstrating consistent effectiveness in achieving pain relief. One of its core advantages lies in the flexibility it offers clinicians in terms of medication selection and administration strategy. Whether through intermittent dosing or continuous infusion, the delivery can be tailored to fit patient-specific clinical conditions, enhancing therapeutic outcomes while maintaining safety and efficacy [1]. Epidural anesthesia plays a critical role in minimizing the need for systemic opioid administration, both intraoperatively and postoperatively. This reduction is associated with a lower risk of opioid-related adverse events, including respiratory

*Corresponding author e-mail: kss_uus@hotmail.com (**Saud Faleh Alanazi**).

Received date: 28 October 2024, Revised date: 18 November 2024 Accepted date: 25 Dec 2024

depression, nausea, vomiting, and constipation. These benefits make epidural anesthesia particularly significant in pediatric anesthesiology, where concerns persist regarding the long-term neurodevelopmental impact of certain general anesthetic agents. Ongoing research in pediatric populations continues to explore these potential risks, emphasizing the relevance of regional techniques such as epidural blocks. Additionally, the technique aligns well with modern principles of multimodal analgesia, serving as a central component in enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols and contributing to reduced hospital stays and improved patient comfort [2].

The COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the value of epidural anesthesia as a viable alternative to general anesthesia, particularly because general anesthesia involves airway manipulation and aerosol generation, which heightens the risk of viral transmission. During the pandemic, epidural techniques were increasingly adopted to limit exposure to healthcare workers and reduce the use of ventilatory support, aligning with infection control priorities while maintaining procedural analgesia [3]. This adaptation underlined the technique's flexibility and safety profile in high-risk, resource-constrained settings. Despite its established role, emerging evidence suggests that some less invasive analgesic methods may offer comparable or superior clinical outcomes with reduced complication rates. Concerns regarding potential complications of epidural anesthesia—including hypotension, infection, hematoma formation, and rare neurological sequelae—have led to renewed scrutiny of its use in certain patient populations. As a result, there is an increasing need for anesthesiology professionals and perioperative teams to develop greater competence in assessing patient suitability for epidural anesthesia. A sound understanding of its indications, contraindications, and risk-benefit profile is essential to ensure safe application. Mastery of updated procedural techniques, guided by current clinical guidelines, is equally critical. Moreover, successful patient outcomes rely on effective collaboration among the interprofessional care team, including anesthesiologists, nurses, and surgeons, all of whom contribute to comprehensive perioperative care. Such team-based approaches are fundamental to optimizing the safety, efficacy, and patient-centered application of epidural anesthesia in both routine and complex clinical settings [4].

Anatomy and Physiology

The Spinal Cord and Epidural Space

The adult spinal cord is anatomically shorter than the spinal canal, measuring approximately 45 cm. In about 50% of adults, the spinal cord terminates at the level of the L1 vertebra, while in around 40% it extends down to L2. Earlier beliefs about the spinal cord termination in neonates have been revised by more recent findings, which indicate that the conus medullaris in newborns also ends at the L2 vertebra. Below this level, the lumbar and sacral spinal nerves descend to form the cauda equina. The spinal cord is encased in cerebrospinal fluid and is surrounded by the arachnoid mater. This membrane extends through the subarachnoid space and reaches caudally to the level of S2 in adults, S3 in children, and S4 in neonates. The arachnoid mater lies in close proximity to the dura mater and continues from the cranial vault to the spinal canal, covering both the brain and spinal cord. It extends laterally through the intervertebral foramina to merge with the connective tissues surrounding the spinal nerves [2]. The spinal epidural space begins at the foramen magnum and continues down to the sacral hiatus. It is composed of loose fatty tissue, connective tissue, lymphatics, and blood vessels. These blood vessels can become engorged in specific physiological or pathological conditions such as pregnancy or ascites, which raises the risk of traumatic or bloody puncture during epidural procedures. The epidural space is anatomically divided into anterior and posterior compartments. The anterior epidural space houses the ventral spinal nerves, basivertebral veins, and internal vertebral venous plexus. The posterior compartment contains dorsal spinal nerves, intervertebral veins, and sinuvertebral nerves [5].

The structural boundaries of the epidural space are clearly defined. Internally, the space is bordered by the dura mater and arachnoid mater. Externally, it is limited by the vertebral periosteum and the ligamentum flavum. Laterally, the space is bounded by the intervertebral foramina. The ligamentum flavum represents the dorsal limit of the epidural space. Progressing outward from the ligamentum flavum toward the skin, the anatomical layers include the interspinous ligament, supraspinal ligament, subcutaneous tissue, and finally the dermal layer. The depth from the skin to the epidural space is variable and depends largely on age and body habitus. In adults with a normal body mass index, this distance averages around 4 cm. However, in patients with significant subcutaneous adiposity, particularly those with obesity, it may exceed 8 cm. A thorough understanding of epidural space anatomy is essential for the successful and safe application of epidural anesthesia. By accurately targeting specific neural structures and spinal segments within the epidural region, clinicians can optimize analgesic effects and reduce the potential for complications. Detailed anatomical knowledge of the positioning and variation of spinal nerves, vascular networks, and connective tissues is necessary for precise needle or catheter placement and for minimizing risks during anesthetic administration [6].

Techniques to Locate the Epidural Space

Multiple techniques have been established to accurately and safely identify the epidural space during anesthesia administration. The conventional method depends on a landmark-based approach, which involves palpating surface anatomical structures such as the spinous processes, interspinous spaces, and the midline of the back. These palpable landmarks help guide the insertion of the epidural needle into the correct spinal level and orientation [6]. Once the needle reaches the interspinous ligament, the loss-of-resistance technique is often applied. This technique is based on tactile feedback during gentle injection of either air or saline. When the needle remains outside the epidural space, the practitioner experiences noticeable resistance. Upon correct entry into the epidural space, this resistance disappears abruptly, signaling successful needle placement [6]. One specific variation of epidural anesthesia is the caudal epidural block, which targets the caudal portion of the epidural space through the sacral hiatus. This technique is particularly useful for pediatric anesthesia and for procedures involving the lower extremities or perineal area in adult patients. Anatomically, the sacral hiatus most commonly presents an inverted U shape in both male and female patients. Although the distance from the apex of the sacral hiatus to the first sacral spine tends to be slightly longer in females, there is no significant difference in the width of the sacral cornua between sexes. The sacral canal itself is constructed by the fusion of the pedicles and laminae of the five sacral vertebrae and contains critical neural elements, including the sacral nerves and the cauda equina [5,7].

A comprehensive understanding of sacral morphology and related anatomical parameters is essential for the effective and safe performance of caudal epidural anesthesia. Anatomic variations in the sacral region can influence clinical outcomes, not only by contributing to chronic lower back pain but also by complicating epidural access during surgical interventions. Despite careful identification of anatomic landmarks, clinical data show that caudal epidural block attempts fail in nearly one-third of cases. These failures are primarily attributed to individual anatomical differences, particularly those that obscure or distort the sacral hiatus or alter the trajectory required for successful needle advancement [8]. Given the frequency of these anatomical variations and the relatively high failure rate of landmark-based techniques, clinicians must possess a detailed understanding of sacral anatomy to improve procedural success. In clinical practice, ultrasound guidance has emerged as a valuable tool to enhance the accuracy of caudal epidural block placement. This imaging modality allows real-time visualization of relevant sacral structures, facilitating proper needle direction and depth and significantly reducing the likelihood of failed attempts. For this reason, integrating ultrasound into standard practice may help overcome the limitations of conventional landmark-based methods and contribute to safer and more reliable epidural anesthesia administration [8].

Indications

Epidural anesthesia is primarily indicated for use in obstetric settings, particularly for pain control during labor, and in surgical procedures involving the thoracic, abdominal, or spinal regions where complete muscle relaxation is not required. Its clinical application extends to patients undergoing operations where general anesthesia poses additional risks, such as individuals with difficult airway anatomy or those prone to respiratory compromise. In such cases, epidural anesthesia offers a safer alternative that maintains spontaneous respiration while providing adequate analgesia. It is also widely used as an adjunctive technique for intraoperative and postoperative pain management, particularly in high-risk surgical patients [1][9]. Patients with ischemic heart disease or other cardiovascular conditions are among those who derive significant benefit from epidural anesthesia. Evidence supports its role in reducing postoperative pulmonary complications and enhancing the recovery of gastrointestinal function, both of which are critical outcomes for vulnerable patients undergoing major surgery. Its utility is not limited to cardiovascular stability. Clinical data from meta-analyses indicate that epidural patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) outperforms intravenous PCA in the context of abdominal surgery, providing better postoperative analgesia, reducing opioid consumption, and decreasing the incidence of opioid-related side effects and complications [10].

Another benefit of epidural anesthesia, particularly when used in combination with general anesthesia, is its potential to mitigate postoperative cognitive dysfunction. This effect is clinically significant in elderly populations and patients undergoing lengthy surgical procedures, where cognitive decline is a common concern. By modulating nociceptive input and stress responses during the perioperative period, combined epidural anesthesia and analgesia may contribute to more stable postoperative cognitive outcomes [11]. Emerging evidence has also examined the relationship between perioperative epidural analgesia and oncological outcomes, suggesting a possible link between regional anesthesia and improved cancer survival rates. Although the mechanism is not fully understood, some hypotheses focus on reduced systemic inflammation and immune suppression as contributing factors [12]. Despite these potential advantages, more recent investigations have questioned the superiority of epidural techniques over newer regional methods. Comparative studies show that catheter-based wound infusions and peripheral nerve blocks may offer similar analgesic benefits with fewer complications. While epidural anesthesia may still demonstrate marginally better postoperative pain control than systemic analgesics in certain scenarios, its overall clinical advantage outside of obstetric care appears limited. These findings indicate that while epidural anesthesia remains a valuable tool, its role may be shifting toward use as an adjuvant rather than a primary technique in non-obstetric surgical settings. Ongoing studies are required to clarify its comparative effectiveness, refine patient selection criteria, and determine the most appropriate contexts for its continued use [4][13].

Contraindications

Epidural anesthesia is widely regarded as a safe and effective modality for managing perioperative and obstetric pain. However, its use is not universally appropriate and requires careful assessment of the patient's clinical condition. Contraindications to epidural anesthesia are generally classified as absolute or relative, depending on the level of risk posed to the patient and the possibility of mitigating those risks through clinical intervention or alternative techniques. Understanding these contraindications is essential for minimizing complications and ensuring patient safety.

Absolute Contraindications

Absolute contraindications are conditions under which epidural anesthesia must not be administered under any circumstances, due to the high risk of severe or irreversible harm. One clear absolute contraindication is patient refusal. Informed consent is a fundamental ethical and legal requirement and proceeding without the patient’s voluntary agreement violates accepted standards of care. Another absolute contraindication is local infection at the site of epidural needle insertion. Introducing a needle through infected tissue can result in the transmission of pathogens into the epidural or subarachnoid spaces, increasing the risk of epidural abscess, meningitis, or systemic sepsis. Elevated intracranial pressure, especially when caused by space-occupying lesions, presents another absolute contraindication. In such cases, dural puncture may lead to brain herniation due to pressure differentials within the cranial vault. Additionally, traumatic spinal cord injury represents a high-risk scenario for epidural anesthesia. In these patients, disruption of spinal integrity and compromised neural tissue may be exacerbated by catheter placement, leading to neurological deterioration or secondary injury [14].

Relative Contraindications

Relative contraindications are clinical situations where the risk of epidural anesthesia is increased but may be acceptable under certain conditions, provided appropriate precautions are taken. One such condition is hemodynamic instability. Epidural anesthesia causes sympathetic blockade, which can result in vasodilation and hypotension. In patients with unstable hemodynamic status, this effect may precipitate severe cardiovascular compromise. Similarly, patients with obstructive cardiomyopathy may experience adverse outcomes due to alterations in cardiac preload and afterload associated with neuraxial blockade. Another important consideration is the presence of coagulopathy or the use of therapeutic anticoagulation. In patients with uncorrected bleeding disorders or those receiving anticoagulants, the risk of developing an epidural hematoma increases significantly. This condition may compress the spinal cord or nerve roots, potentially leading to permanent neurological injury. In this context, thrombocytopenia is also a relevant factor. Low platelet counts compromise the body's ability to form clots, thereby increasing the likelihood of bleeding within the epidural space [15][14]. The ability to maintain a stable and safe position during epidural catheter insertion is another factor that must be assessed. Patients with severe pain, neurological deficits, or physical limitations may be unable to assume or sustain the required posture for safe needle advancement, thus elevating the risk of procedural complications. Anatomical abnormalities of the spine, such as scoliosis, kyphosis, or previous spinal surgery, can distort the typical landmarks used for needle guidance. These structural variations complicate the placement process and increase the chances of technical failure or inadvertent dural puncture, which can lead to post-dural puncture headache or unintended spinal anesthesia.

In patients receiving anticoagulants or antiplatelet agents, careful attention must be paid to current guidelines and drug-specific recommendations. The American Society of Regional Anesthesia (ASRA) provides updated clinical practice guidelines to assist anesthesiologists in determining appropriate timing for neuraxial procedures relative to the dosing of antithrombotic medications. These guidelines include recommendations regarding safe intervals between the last dose of medication and the insertion or removal of an epidural catheter, as well as necessary laboratory evaluations for coagulation status. Following these protocols is critical to minimizing the risk of spinal hematoma and ensuring patient safety during epidural anesthesia [16]. Ultimately, the decision to proceed with epidural anesthesia must be based on a thorough risk-benefit analysis individualized to each patient’s clinical context. This includes evaluating the nature and severity of the contraindicating condition, the urgency of the surgical procedure, the availability of alternative analgesic techniques, and the expertise of the clinician. While epidural anesthesia offers significant advantages in many settings, its application must be guided by sound clinical judgment and adherence to established safety criteria. Through careful patient selection and ongoing adherence to evidence-based guidelines, clinicians can maintain the safety and efficacy of this valuable anesthetic technique.

Equipment

Epidural anesthesia requires a specific set of tools designed to ensure safe, accurate, and sterile administration. Central to the procedure is the epidural needle. Commonly, a 3.5-inch, 17- or 18-gauge Tuohy needle is used due to its curved tip, which facilitates catheter insertion while minimizing the risk of dural puncture. Other types of epidural needles such as Hustead, Crawford, and Weiss designs may be used depending on clinical preference. For patients with obesity, longer needles up to 6 inches are available to account for increased tissue depth and ensure access to the epidural space without compromising technique [17].

Another essential component is the loss-of-resistance syringe. This syringe is constructed from glass or plastic and is engineered to have minimal friction between the barrel and plunger. Its function is to detect the sudden change in resistance that occurs when the epidural needle enters the epidural space. The syringe may be filled with air, saline, or a combination of both. Research indicates that the type of medium used does not significantly affect the success rate of locating the epidural space or the rate of associated complications, allowing clinicians to choose based on training and comfort [18][17]. To deliver continuous anesthesia or analgesia, an epidural catheter is introduced following needle placement. These catheters may vary in flexibility and perforation design. Some have a single opening at the tip, while others contain multiple side perforations to allow even distribution of the anesthetic agent. Most commercially available epidural kits include syringe-catheter connectors such as Luer-lock or Luer-slip fittings, which provide secure and leak-free connections between components during the procedure [19][17].

Maintaining strict aseptic conditions during epidural placement is critical to reducing infection risk. Essential site preparation supplies include sterile gloves, surgical cap, face mask, and sterile draping. Skin disinfection is typically achieved using individually packaged antiseptic solutions, such as chlorhexidine (with or without alcohol) or povidone-iodine preparations. In addition, a 25-gauge needle is commonly used to deliver a small dose of a local anesthetic, most often 1% or 2% lidocaine, to numb the skin and underlying tissues before inserting the epidural needle. After catheter placement, a sterile occlusive dressing is applied over the site to protect against contamination [20]. Medications used for epidural anesthesia usually involve a combination of a long-acting local anesthetic and a short-acting opioid. Agents such as bupivacaine or ropivacaine are frequently chosen for their ability to provide prolonged analgesia with minimal motor blockade. Opioids like fentanyl or sufentanil are often added to enhance analgesic effect without increasing local anesthetic concentration. The specific mixture and dosing depend on procedural requirements, patient comorbidities, and institutional protocols [14].

Administering intravenous fluids prior to initiating epidural anesthesia is a standard preventive measure to reduce the risk of hypotension, which can result from sympathetic blockade. If hypotension does occur, adrenergic agonists such as ephedrine or phenylephrine should be readily available for rapid administration. These agents help restore vascular tone and maintain adequate perfusion during neuraxial anesthesia [21]. In some cases, clinicians may use ultrasound technology to guide epidural catheter placement. Ultrasound guidance provides real-time visualization of spinal anatomy, including the ligamentum flavum, epidural space, and surrounding tissues. This approach may improve procedural accuracy, reduce the number of needle passes, and minimize complications such as inadvertent dural puncture, vascular injury, and failed catheterization. While ultrasound-assisted techniques show promise, current data do not yet confirm their superiority over traditional landmark-based methods. Further studies are required to determine their impact on clinical outcomes and to define their role in routine practice [22].

Personnel:

The placement of an epidural catheter is a technically sensitive procedure that requires the expertise of a trained and licensed clinician. In most clinical settings, this task is performed by an anesthesiologist or a certified registered nurse anesthetist (CRNA), both of whom possess the specialized knowledge and procedural competence necessary to safely identify the epidural space and manage potential complications. In certain contexts, other qualified medical professionals such as neurologists or physicians in physical medicine and rehabilitation may also perform the procedure, provided they have received appropriate training and operate within the defined scope of their professional practice. During the procedure, a second healthcare provider—typically a nurse or anesthesia technician—is usually present to assist with equipment handling, monitor the patient's vital signs, and maintain sterile technique. This additional personnel support ensures procedural efficiency, enhances patient safety, and facilitates rapid response to any clinical changes or emergencies during catheter placement.

Preparation

The administration of epidural anesthesia requires thorough and systematic preprocedural preparation to ensure patient safety, minimize complications, and enhance procedural efficacy. A detailed medical history is essential and must address several key elements, including existing medical conditions, prior surgical or anesthetic experiences, known allergies—especially to anesthetic agents—current medication regimens, the use of herbal or dietary supplements, and any relevant family history of anesthetic complications. This comprehensive history provides insight into potential risk factors and assists in developing an individualized anesthetic plan. Additionally, a focused physical examination should be completed, with particular attention to the airway, neurologic status, and the anatomical structure and mobility of the back and spine. Identifying spinal deformities or restrictions in movement is important for determining the appropriate positioning and approach during the procedure. Laboratory evaluations play an important role in preprocedural risk assessment, especially in patients receiving antithrombotic therapy. Coagulation studies, including prothrombin time, international normalized ratio (INR), and a complete blood count with platelet evaluation, should be reviewed. These tests help determine whether the patient's coagulation status is within a safe range for neuraxial procedures. For patients on anticoagulants, individualized interventions are necessary. For instance, patients receiving unfractionated heparin in doses of 7500 to 10,000 units twice daily should wait between 4 to 12 hours after the last dose before undergoing epidural anesthesia. Patients taking warfarin must have the medication withheld for at least five days or undergo pharmacologic reversal, with a preprocedural INR of 1.4 or lower documented to reduce the risk of spinal hematoma [23].

Shared decision-making is a core component of patient-centered care and is particularly important when discussing neuraxial anesthesia. Clinicians must clearly explain the intended procedure, its clinical indications, the associated risks and complications, and alternative analgesic or anesthetic options. This dialogue ensures informed consent and allows the patient to weigh the benefits and limitations of epidural anesthesia based on their values and medical context. Prior to the procedure, a formal time-out is required to confirm patient identity, procedural site, and readiness, following standardized safety protocols. During this time, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) monitoring equipment should be applied. This typically includes non-invasive blood pressure monitoring, pulse oximetry, and continuous electrocardiographic surveillance. Monitoring should be in place before the patient is positioned, ensuring immediate detection of adverse physiological changes throughout the procedure [20]. Proper patient positioning is critical for successful epidural access. If the patient is seated, they should be instructed to bring the back of their knees as close as possible to the table's edge. The patient should flex the spine by tucking the chin to the chest, rounding the shoulders, and placing their hands on their thighs or a supportive surface. This posture helps to open the interspinous spaces and align the vertebrae, providing a clear pathway for needle advancement. The patient should be encouraged to gently slouch, moving the umbilicus backward toward the provider to further accentuate lumbar flexion. An assisting staff member should support the patient throughout positioning, preventing an unintended forward lean or a fall from the table. The use of positioning aids such as pillows or padded stands may enhance patient comfort and stability.

When the lateral decubitus position is chosen, it should be based on patient comfort and logistical considerations, including proceduralist and assistant positioning. In this configuration, the patient's back must be facing the proceduralist, and the thighs should be drawn towards the chest to achieve optimal spinal flexion. A neutral spine rotation should be maintained to keep the vertebrae aligned and the epidural space accessible. Once the patient is in the appropriate position, assistance is required to maintain stability throughout the procedure, particularly if sedation has been administered. All procedural instruments and medications must be prepared in advance using sterile technique. This includes the epidural needle, catheter, syringes, antiseptic solutions, drapes, gloves, and any connectors or monitoring devices. Maintaining a sterile field is critical to preventing infection and procedural contamination. If the patient experiences anxiety, light sedation may be administered with caution. However, deep sedation should be avoided, as the patient's cooperation and feedback are essential during needle placement. Excessive sedation may compromise patient responsiveness, hinder optimal positioning, and mask early signs of complications, such as paresthesia or intravascular injection [23][20]. Overall, effective preparation for epidural anesthesia involves a multidisciplinary approach that integrates comprehensive patient evaluation, clear communication, precise positioning, and adherence to infection control practices. Each of these elements contributes to procedural success and patient safety in diverse clinical environments.

Technique or Treatment

The procedural technique for administering epidural anesthesia depends largely on the spinal level targeted. For procedures involving the thoracic spine above the T11 vertebra, the paramedian approach is often required due to the steep angle of the thoracic spinous processes. In contrast, when the targeted level is below T11, the midline or medial approach is generally suitable. After determining the appropriate spinal level, typically by palpating the spinous processes surrounding the intended epidural space—the skin is cleansed with an antiseptic agent. Once the skin is dry, sterile draping is applied to maintain asepsis throughout the procedure [24][25].

In the midline approach, the insertion site is located centrally between the spinous processes. A local anesthetic, usually 1% lidocaine, is injected into the skin and deeper tissues to reduce procedural discomfort. Once local anesthesia is established, the epidural needle—with the stylet in place and bevel oriented cephalad—is introduced and advanced through the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and then sequentially through the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments. As the needle approaches the ligamentum flavum, the stylet is removed and replaced with a loss-of-resistance syringe filled with saline, air, or a combination. The clinician applies steady pressure to the syringe while advancing the needle. A sudden loss of resistance confirms entry into the epidural space, which on average lies about 4 cm beneath the skin surface in adults. Once entry is confirmed, 5 to 10 mL of saline is often injected to expand the epidural space and reduce the risk of vascular puncture [25][26]. In the paramedian approach, the needle is inserted approximately 1 cm lateral to the midline. After injecting a local anesthetic along the needle's intended path, the needle is directed medially through the paraspinal musculature. Unlike the midline technique, this approach bypasses the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments. The endpoint remains the same—the ligamentum flavum—where the loss-of-resistance technique is similarly employed to identify the epidural space [25].

Following confirmation of the epidural space using either approach, the next step is catheter insertion. The epidural catheter is threaded through the needle and advanced to the 20 cm mark at the skin. After noting the depth to the epidural space as indicated by the markings on the needle, the needle is carefully withdrawn. The catheter is then pulled back until approximately 5 to 6 cm of its tip remains inside the epidural space. While no universal standard exists for the ideal catheter depth, the general recommendation is to retain this length to minimize the risk of displacement or migration. The exact distance can be calculated by adding 5 to 6 cm to the measured skin-to-space depth during needle placement [27][28]. After confirming final catheter positioning, a connector is affixed to its external end to allow attachment to syringes or infusion tubing. The catheter must be aspirated using a dry 3 mL syringe to check for cerebrospinal fluid return. If no CSF is obtained, a test dose is administered to exclude incorrect placement. Should CSF be aspirated, indicating intrathecal entry, the catheter must be removed and reinserted at a different spinal level. The test dose typically consists of 3 mL of 1.5% lidocaine with 1:200,000 epinephrine. A rise in heart rate by 20 to 30 beats per minute or an increase in systolic blood pressure by 15 to 20 mm Hg suggests intravascular placement. In patients taking beta-blockers, these cardiovascular responses may be muted, requiring careful interpretation. Once negative test results are confirmed, a sterile transparent dressing is applied over the site and the catheter is secured with medical tape [23][29].

Caudal Anesthesia

Caudal anesthesia represents a specialized variation of epidural anesthesia and is frequently used in pediatric surgical procedures involving areas below the umbilicus, such as circumcision, herniotomy, or orchiopexy. The patient is usually positioned in the lateral decubitus position to allow access to the sacral hiatus. Following sterile skin preparation, the clinician palpates and identifies the sacral hiatus. A 22 to 25 gauge catheter or needle is introduced at approximately a 45-degree angle relative to the longitudinal body axis. Advancement continues until a characteristic loss of resistance is felt, indicating successful entry into the caudal epidural space. The clinician must exercise caution not to advance the needle too far beyond this point, as the distance between the sacrococcygeal membrane and the terminal end of the dural sac in children may be less than 10 mm. If cerebrospinal fluid or blood is encountered, the catheter is removed and reinserted at a new site. Once placement is verified, a test dose containing epinephrine at 0.5 µg/kg is administered to exclude inadvertent intravascular or intrathecal placement [23][30][27]. Both the standard epidural and caudal techniques require high levels of technical precision, sterile conditions, and continuous patient monitoring. Adherence to established protocols during these procedures reduces the likelihood of complications and ensures effective and safe anesthetic delivery.

Complications

Although epidural anesthesia is generally considered a safe and effective method of analgesia, it is not without the potential for serious complications. One of the most concerning but rare adverse outcomes is spinal cord injury (SCI). While the incidence is low, the consequences can be severe, resulting in permanent neurological deficits, chronic pain, or even death. Neurological complications may include motor and sensory disturbances, paraesthesia, or complete paralysis. These effects can arise from several pathophysiological mechanisms, such as epidural hematoma, abscess formation, direct needle trauma, or the development of adhesive arachnoiditis, which leads to fibrosis and inflammation within the spinal canal. These complications, although infrequent, have a profound impact on patient outcomes and long-term quality of life [14]. Patients at increased risk for anesthesia-induced SCI must be identified during the preoperative evaluation. High-risk groups include individuals with known spinal abnormalities, such as congenital malformations or previous spinal surgeries, as well as those at the extremes of age. Obese patients, individuals with diabetes, immunosuppressed or critically ill patients, and those with existing neurological disorders also face elevated risks. For these populations, the margin for procedural safety is narrower, and additional care is required in planning and execution. A comprehensive pre-anesthetic assessment helps identify anatomical or physiological conditions that may increase susceptibility to adverse events associated with neuraxial procedures [15].

Beyond the risk of SCI, other complications may occur during or after the administration of epidural anesthesia. Hemodynamic instability, particularly hypotension, is a common side effect due to sympathetic blockade. The resultant vasodilation and reduction in venous return can lead to decreased cardiac output. This is often accompanied by nausea and vomiting, further complicating patient comfort and recovery. These effects are generally managed with intravenous fluid resuscitation and pharmacologic interventions, such as vasopressors. Incorrect placement of the epidural catheter or unintended intravascular injection of local anesthetics can result in local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST), a condition that affects the cardiovascular and central nervous systems. Symptoms may range from perioral numbness and tinnitus to seizures and cardiac arrhythmias. Similarly, intrathecal injection—when the anesthetic inadvertently enters the cerebrospinal fluid—can result in a high or total spinal block, producing profound hypotension, bradycardia, respiratory depression, and even loss of consciousness. Bronchoconstriction may also occur, particularly in patients with reactive airway diseases, due to the impact of neuraxial anesthesia on autonomic control. Postdural puncture headache (PDPH) is another recognized complication, often occurring when the dura mater is unintentionally breached during needle placement. This leads to cerebrospinal fluid leakage, resulting in a positional headache that can last several days and may require a blood patch for resolution. Transient neurological syndrome, while self-limiting, may present with lower extremity discomfort or pain following recovery from the anesthetic [31].

More serious but less common complications include epidural hematoma, a condition that can compress spinal structures and must be treated urgently to prevent permanent injury. Epidural abscess and meningitis represent infectious complications, usually resulting from poor aseptic technique or bacteremia. These infections require prompt diagnosis and treatment with antimicrobial agents and, in some cases, surgical drainage. Osteomyelitis, although rare, may develop if bacteria seed the vertebral column during the procedure, necessitating long-term antibiotic therapy and sometimes surgical intervention [23][31]. Nerve injuries may occur due to direct trauma from the needle or catheter, ischemia, or compressive hematomas. While most nerve injuries are temporary, there is a rare risk of persistent neuropathy. Permanent paralysis remains exceedingly uncommon but underscores the need for precision in technique and careful patient selection. Given the potential severity of these complications, clinicians must adopt strict procedural protocols, maintain aseptic conditions, and remain vigilant for early signs of adverse events. Immediate recognition and management are essential to mitigate long-term harm. Appropriate training, experience, and patient-specific considerations all contribute to minimizing the risk and improving the safety profile of epidural anesthesia [31].

Clinical Significance

Epidural anesthesia holds a foundational role in modern anesthetic practice and remains one of the earliest and most frequently utilized neuraxial techniques. When performed with proper technique and patient selection, it serves as a safe and effective method of anesthesia and analgesia. One of the primary clinical advantages of epidural anesthesia is its ability to reduce or entirely eliminate the need for general anesthesia. This, in turn, decreases patient exposure to inhalational agents and other systemic anesthetics that carry their own risks, including respiratory depression, delayed emergence, and hemodynamic instability. A major benefit of epidural anesthesia lies in its opioid-sparing effect. By providing localized pain control, epidural administration can significantly reduce the requirement for systemic opioids during and after surgery. This reduction lowers the incidence of opioid-related adverse effects such as nausea, vomiting, ileus, respiratory depression, and the potential for long-term dependence. These benefits are particularly valuable in patients with comorbidities that increase sensitivity to opioids or where minimizing postoperative complications is essential [31].

In pediatric populations, the clinical relevance of epidural anesthesia is especially notable. As concerns continue to grow regarding the potential neurodevelopmental impact of systemic anesthetic drugs in young patients, epidural anesthesia offers a method of pain control that may avoid or minimize these systemic exposures. This aligns with evolving anesthetic strategies aimed at protecting neurocognitive outcomes in vulnerable pediatric patients [2]. The role of epidural anesthesia in postoperative pain management is also well established. As part of a multimodal analgesic strategy, it enables more effective and targeted pain control, particularly after thoracic, abdominal, and orthopedic procedures. This can result in earlier mobilization, improved pulmonary function, reduced risk of thromboembolic events, and shorter hospital stays. Additionally, the use of epidural techniques may reduce the development of chronic postsurgical pain, a complication that affects a significant proportion of patients following major surgeries. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the clinical utility of epidural anesthesia gained further prominence. As general anesthesia involves aerosol-generating procedures, such as endotracheal intubation, alternatives were actively sought to reduce viral transmission. Epidural anesthesia served as a viable option in this context, allowing safe and effective anesthesia without the need for airway manipulation, thereby contributing to infection control efforts in surgical settings [31].

Despite these advantages, clinical practice must balance the benefits of epidural anesthesia with its associated risks. Studies assessing epidural analgesia in the postoperative setting have concluded that while the technique provides superior pain relief and improves certain outcome measures, it is not without complications. These may include hypotension, postdural puncture headache, infection, or rare but serious outcomes such as spinal cord injury. Therefore, careful preoperative evaluation and patient selection are critical to ensure the safe application of the technique [4]. Epidural anesthesia, as a form of neuraxial blockade, remains one of the most effective opioid-sparing strategies available. Its application can reduce perioperative morbidity, enhance functional recovery, and decrease healthcare utilization. These outcomes translate into both clinical and economic benefits. However, minimizing complications such as anesthesia-induced spinal cord injury requires strict adherence to established procedural protocols, vigilant patient monitoring, and prompt recognition of adverse events [15]. Continued research is needed to further optimize patient safety and refine protocols in neuraxial anesthesia. As new technologies and techniques emerge, including the increased use of ultrasound guidance and enhanced recovery pathways, the future of epidural anesthesia may involve more personalized and risk-adapted approaches. Nonetheless, epidural anesthesia remains a cornerstone in anesthetic and pain management strategies, offering significant clinical value when used with skill and caution [31].

Enhancing Healthcare Team Outcomes

Epidural anesthesia offers several patient-centered advantages that contribute to improved clinical outcomes across various surgical settings. Evidence has consistently shown that its use can lead to a more rapid return of gastrointestinal function, attenuation of the hormonal stress response to surgery-related pain, a decrease in pulmonary complications postoperatively, and a shorter duration of hospital stays. Patients also frequently report higher satisfaction levels when epidural anesthesia is part of their perioperative care plan. These benefits are not solely dependent on the anesthetic technique itself but are strongly influenced by how well healthcare professionals coordinate throughout the perioperative process [31].

Effective utilization of epidural anesthesia requires a structured and collaborative interprofessional approach. Anesthesiologists, surgeons, perioperative nurses, and pharmacists all contribute critical expertise. Each member plays a distinct role in patient evaluation, procedural planning, intraoperative monitoring, and postoperative management. Coordination among these team members ensures that the procedure is executed according to current standards and that any patient-specific risks are addressed proactively. The complexity of regional anesthesia procedures, such as epidural catheter placement and maintenance, demands seamless cooperation between providers to reduce procedural failure and minimize complications. Team collaboration must begin during the initial planning of anesthesia. Choosing the most appropriate locoregional technique involves input from the patient, the surgeon, and the anesthesia team. Each perspective adds value—patients provide insight into their preferences and previous experiences, surgeons consider the surgical field and anticipated postoperative recovery, and anesthesiologists assess feasibility and safety based on spinal anatomy and risk factors. The selected method must be one that the anesthesia clinician can perform confidently and safely. Respecting each team member's contribution to this decision-making process strengthens shared accountability and improves procedural outcomes. To ensure consistent care, teams should adhere to institution-approved protocols and evidence-based guidelines for regional anesthesia. These protocols promote standardized practice, reduce variability in technique, and improve patient safety. Following these guidelines becomes particularly important in complex cases or among high-risk patient populations, where deviations from protocol may increase the likelihood of adverse events [31].

Clear and continuous communication, especially during transitions of care—is critical. Closed-loop communication helps ensure that key information about the epidural technique, such as the insertion level, catheter depth, anesthetic solution used, and any intraoperative concerns, is relayed accurately among all team members. This level of transparency allows for quicker response to complications such as hypotension, inadequate pain control, or suspected catheter migration. It also enables smooth coordination in the event that the catheter needs to be discontinued or replaced. Obtaining comprehensive informed consent is another critical component of the process. The responsibility of informed consent falls primarily on the anesthesia provider but should be supported by the broader healthcare team. The consent discussion must include potential benefits, associated risks, alternative options, and the expected course of treatment. In pediatric or legally dependent patients, this conversation must involve the appropriate guardian or legal representative. Every team member involved in perioperative care should be encouraged to express concerns at any point, especially when a patient's condition changes or if any aspect of the plan appears to pose increased risk. In summary, the successful integration of epidural anesthesia into surgical care depends not only on clinical skill but also on high-functioning interprofessional collaboration. The benefits of epidural anesthesia—ranging from physiological improvements to patient satisfaction—are most effectively realized when all members of the perioperative team engage in coordinated, guideline-based care with open communication and shared responsibility [31].

Conclusion:

Epidural anesthesia is a cornerstone of modern pain management, offering significant advantages in surgical and obstetric settings. Its ability to provide targeted analgesia while minimizing systemic opioid use enhances recovery and reduces postoperative complications. The technique's flexibility—enabling both intermittent and continuous administration—allows tailored approaches to diverse clinical scenarios, from labor analgesia to major abdominal surgeries. Furthermore, its role in enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols underscores its value in improving patient outcomes and shortening hospital stays. However, the procedure is not without risks. Complications such as hypotension, dural puncture, infection, and rare but severe neurological injuries necessitate rigorous patient selection and adherence to safety protocols. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted epidural anesthesia's utility as an alternative to general anesthesia, reducing aerosol-generating procedures and ventilator dependence. Yet, emerging evidence suggests that newer regional techniques, such as peripheral nerve blocks, may offer comparable efficacy with fewer adverse effects, prompting a reevaluation of epidural anesthesia's dominance in non-obstetric settings. Successful implementation relies on interdisciplinary collaboration, with anesthesiologists, surgeons, and nurses working together to optimize procedural safety and postoperative monitoring. Advances in ultrasound guidance and anticoagulation management further refine its risk-benefit profile. Moving forward, research should focus on personalized approaches, comparing epidural anesthesia with evolving alternatives to define its optimal use. Ultimately, while epidural anesthesia remains indispensable in specific contexts, its application must balance innovation with evidence-based practice to ensure patient safety and clinical efficacy.

References:

Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA, Rosenberg JM, Bickler S, Brennan T, Carter T, Cassidy CL, Chittenden EH, Degenhardt E, Griffith S, Manworren R, McCarberg B, Montgomery R, Murphy J, Perkal MF, Suresh S, Sluka K, Strassels S, Thirlby R, Viscusi E, Walco GA, Warner L, Weisman SJ, Wu CL. Management of Postoperative Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American Pain Society, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council. J Pain. 2016 Feb;17(2):131-57.

Hermanns H, Bos EME, van Zuylen ML, Hollmann MW, Stevens MF. The Options for Neuraxial Drug Administration. CNS Drugs. 2022 Aug;36(8):877-896.

Uppal V, Sondekoppam RV, Landau R, El-Boghdadly K, Narouze S, Kalagara HKP. Neuraxial anaesthesia and peripheral nerve blocks during the COVID-19 pandemic: a literature review and practice recommendations. Anaesthesia. 2020 Oct;75(10):1350-1363.

Rawal N. Epidural analgesia for postoperative pain: Improving outcomes or adding risks? Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol. 2021 May;35(1):53-65.

Kao SC, Lin CS. Caudal Epidural Block: An Updated Review of Anatomy and Techniques. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:9217145.

Brogly N, Guasch Arévalo E, Kollmann Camaiora A, Alsina Marcos E, García García C, Gilsanz Rodríguez F. Modern approach to an old technique: Narrative revision of techniques used to locate the epidural space. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim. 2017 Oct;64(8):460-466.

Punja R, Sumalatha S, Afrah F, Hosapatna M. Clinically Relevant Morphometry of Sacral Hiatus and Morphology of Sacrum. Turk Neurosurg. 2023;33(3):373-378.

Yan TT, Yang XL, Wang S, Chen JQ, Hu JC, Zhou L, Gao W. Application of Continuous Sacral Block Guided by Ultrasound After Comprehensive Sacral Canal Scanning in Children Undergoing Laparoscopic Surgery: A Prospective, Randomized, Double-Blind Study. J Pain Res. 2023;16:83-92.

Salicath JH, Yeoh EC, Bennett MH. Epidural analgesia versus patient-controlled intravenous analgesia for pain following intra-abdominal surgery in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 Aug 30;8(8):CD010434.

Viderman D, Tapinova K, Nabidollayeva F, Tankacheev R, Abdildin YG. Intravenous versus Epidural Routes of Patient-Controlled Analgesia in Abdominal Surgery: Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. J Clin Med. 2022 May 05;11(9)

Teng IC, Sun CK, Ho CN, Wang LK, Lin YT, Chang YJ, Chen JY, Chu CC, Hsing CH, Hung KC. Impact of combined epidural anaesthesia/analgesia on postoperative cognitive impairment in patients receiving general anaesthesia: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled studies. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med. 2022 Oct;41(5):101119.

Zhang D, Jiang J, Liu J, Zhu T, Huang H, Zhou C. Effects of Perioperative Epidural Analgesia on Cancer Recurrence and Survival. Front Oncol. 2021;11:798435.

Guay J, Suresh S, Kopp S, Johnson RL. Postoperative epidural analgesia versus systemic analgesia for thoraco-lumbar spine surgery in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019 Jan 16;1(1):CD012819.

Smith A, Laflamme E, Komanecky C. Pain Management in Labor. Am Fam Physician. 2021 Mar 15;103(6):355-364.

Pozza DH, Tavares I, Cruz CD, Fonseca S. Spinal Cord Injury and Complications Related to Neuraxial Anaesthesia Procedures: A Systematic Review. Int J Mol Sci. 2023 Feb 28;24(5)

Horlocker TT, Vandermeuelen E, Kopp SL, Gogarten W, Leffert LR, Benzon HT. Regional Anesthesia in the Patient Receiving Antithrombotic or Thrombolytic Therapy: American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine Evidence-Based Guidelines (Fourth Edition). Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2018 Apr;43(3):263-309.

Pancaro C, Purtell J, LaBuda D, Saager L, Klumpner TT, Dubovoy T, Rajala B, Singh S, Cassidy R, Vahabzadeh C, Maxwell S, Manica V, Eckmann DM, Mhyre JM, Engoren MC. Difficulty in Advancing Flexible Epidural Catheters When Establishing Labor Analgesia: An Observational Open-Label Randomized Trial. Anesth Analg. 2021 Jul 01;133(1):151-159.

Antibas PL, do Nascimento Junior P, Braz LG, Vitor Pereira Doles J, Módolo NS, El Dib R. Air versus saline in the loss of resistance technique for identification of the epidural space. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014 Jul 18;2014(7):CD008938.

D'Angelo R, Foss ML, Livesay CH. A comparison of multiport and uniport epidural catheters in laboring patients. Anesth Analg. 1997 Jun;84(6):1276-9.

Practice Advisory for the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Management of Infectious Complications Associated with Neuraxial Techniques: An Updated Report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Infectious Complications Associated with Neuraxial Techniques and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Anesthesiology. 2017 Apr;126(4):585-601.

Practice Guidelines for Obstetric Anesthesia: An Updated Report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Obstetric Anesthesia and the Society for Obstetric Anesthesia and Perinatology. Anesthesiology. 2016 Feb;124(2):270-300.

Yoo S, Kim Y, Park SK, Ji SH, Kim JT. Ultrasonography for lumbar neuraxial block. Anesth Pain Med (Seoul). 2020 Oct 30;15(4):397-408.

Gerheuser F, Roth A. [Epidural anesthesia]. Anaesthesist. 2007 May;56(5):499-523; quiz 524-6.

Lee JH, Kim DH, Koh WU. Real-time ultrasound guided thoracic epidural catheterization: a technical review. Anesth Pain Med (Seoul). 2021 Oct;16(4):322-328.

Manion SC, Brennan TJ. Thoracic epidural analgesia and acute pain management. Anesthesiology. 2011 Jul;115(1):181-8.

Mhyre JM, Greenfield ML, Tsen LC, Polley LS. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials that evaluate strategies to avoid epidural vein cannulation during obstetric epidural catheter placement. Anesth Analg. 2009 Apr;108(4):1232-42.

Afshan G, Chohan U, Khan FA, Chaudhry N, Khan ZE, Khan AA. Appropriate length of epidural catheter in the epidural space for postoperative analgesia: evaluation by epidurography. Anaesthesia. 2011 Oct;66(10):913-8.

Mudavath P, Gurajala I, Kaluvala PR, Durga P. Comparison of median and paramedian technique of thoracic epidural anaesthesia in patients undergoing laparotomy under combined general and epidural anaesthesia - A prospective observational study. Indian J Anaesth. 2023 May;67(5):452-456.

Guay J. The epidural test dose: a review. Anesth Analg. 2006 Mar;102(3):921-9.

Harrison GR, Clowes NW. The depth of the lumbar epidural space from the skin. Anaesthesia. 1985 Jul;40(7):685-7.

Villani LA, Digre KB, Cortez MM, Bokat C, Rassner UA, Ozudogru SN. Arachnoiditis, a complication of epidural blood patch for the treatment of low-pressure headache: A case report and systematic review. Headache. 2021 Feb;61(2):244-252.

التقييم المقارن للآليات الدوائية، والدواعي السريرية، واستراتيجيات إدارة المخاطر للتخدير فوق الجافية في التدخلات الجراحية والولادية

الملخص:

الخلفية: يُعد التخدير فوق الجافية أحد أكثر تقنيات التخدير العصبي استخدامًا لإدارة الألم في السياقات الجراحية والولادية. ويعتمد على حقن عوامل التخدير في الحيز فوق الجافية لتعطيل النقل العصبي الحسي والحركي، مما يوفر فوائد تشمل تقليل استخدام المواد الأفيونية الجهازية وتسريع الاستشفاء. وعلى الرغم من هذه الفوائد، فإن مضاعفات مثل انخفاض ضغط الدم، والعدوى، والإصابة العصبية تتطلب اختيارًا دقيقًا للمرضى وتحسينًا للتقنية.

الهدف: تقييم الآليات الدوائية، والدواعي السريرية، واستراتيجيات إدارة المخاطر المتعلقة بالتخدير فوق الجافية، مع مقارنة فعاليته وسلامته في التدخلات الجراحية والولادية.

المنهجية: تم إجراء تحليل شامل للتخدير فوق الجافية شمل الجوانب التشريحية، وتقنيات الإجراء (النهج المتوسط مقابل الجانبي، وبلوك العصعص)، والمعدات، وموانع الاستخدام، والمضاعفات. واستُخدمت الأدلة المستخلصة من الدراسات السريرية والإرشادات والتحليلات التلوية لتقييم دوره عبر فئات المرضى المختلفة.

النتائج: يوفر التخدير فوق الجافية تسكينًا فعالًا، ويقلل من الآثار الجانبية المرتبطة بالأفيونات، ويدعم بروتوكولات الاستشفاء المعزز. وتبرز فائدته بشكل خاص في التوليد والمرضى الجراحيين ذوي الخطورة العالية، غير أنه يحمل مخاطر مثل صداع ما بعد ثقب الجافية، وتكوّن الورم الدموي، والعدوى. كما أن استخدام التوجيه بالموجات فوق الصوتية والالتزام الصارم ببروتوكولات مضادات التخثر يحد من هذه المضاعفات. وتشير الدراسات المقارنة إلى أن التخدير فوق الجافية لا يزال الأفضل لبعض الإجراءات، لكن تقنيات التخدير الموضعي البديلة قد تقدم فوائد مماثلة بمخاطر أقل.

الاستنتاج: لا يزال التخدير فوق الجافية أداة مهمة في رعاية ما قبل وأثناء وبعد العمليات الجراحية والتوليد، غير أن تطبيقه يتطلب تقنية دقيقة، وتنسيقًا متعدد التخصصات، وتقييمًا خاصًا بمخاطر كل مريض. وينبغي أن تركز البحوث المستقبلية على تحسين معايير اختيار المرضى ودراسة البدائل الناشئة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: التخدير فوق الجافية، الحصار العصبي المركزي، تسكين الألم بدون أفيونات، إدارة الألم بعد الجراحة، مضاعفات التخدير الموضعي.