Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health

https://saudijmph.com/index.php/pub

https://doi.org/10.64483/202522314

Health Information Technology- An Updated Review Data

Alanazi Mushawah Mana R [1] , Khamis Abdulrahman Jumah Alkhaibiry [2] , Raed Abdullah Abdu Barakat [3] , Mohammad Hamad Alawad [4] , Saad Hazzaa Gazi Aljsh [5] , Amsha Sameer Mulayhan , Hana Mureia Alameer [6] , Ali Abdullah Ali Kariri [7] , Ali Mohmmed Hig Sharahili [7] , Qassim Arar Ahmed Alhaqawi [8]

(1) Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(2) Branch of Ministry of Health in Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia,
(3) Health Center for Command and Control – Jazan Health Complex, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(4) King Faisal General Hospital in Al-Ahsa – Health Cluster in Al-Ahsa, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(5) Wathelan World Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(6) King Fahad Medical City – Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(7) Jazan – Ahid Almasarihah, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(8) Al Haram Hospital – Madinah, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background: Health Information Technology (HIT) encompasses the hardware, software, and systems used to manage health information across the care continuum. It is fundamental to modern healthcare, aiming to improve accountability, patient outcomes, delivery efficiency, and cost containment. However, its widespread adoption presents significant challenges and unintended consequences that must be addressed.

Aim: This updated review provides a comprehensive analysis of the multifaceted impact of HIT, examining its potential benefits, critical issues of concern, and overall clinical significance within contemporary healthcare systems.

Methods: A narrative review synthesizes current literature and evidence on HIT's core functions. It analyzes key areas including patient safety tools (e.g., CPOE), population health management, healthcare delivery efficiency, cost implications, and the significant barriers and risks associated with implementation.

Results: HIT demonstrably improves patient safety through decision support (e.g., reducing medication errors) and enhances population health via surveillance and data analytics, as exemplified by its role in the Flint water crisis. It increases healthcare delivery efficiency by improving data access and, through evolving interoperability standards like FHIR, promises better care coordination. While HIT offers potential for long-term cost savings, initial implementation is prohibitively expensive for many institutions. Major concerns include "technologic iatrogenesis" such as cybersecurity threats (e.g., ransomware), alert fatigue, workflow disruptions, and the risk of exacerbating health disparities through a digital divide.

Conclusion: HIT is an indispensable but double-edged sword in healthcare. Its benefits in safety, quality, and efficiency are substantial, yet they are counterbalanced by high costs, security vulnerabilities, usability problems, and equity challenges. Realizing HIT's full potential requires continued investment in interoperability, robust cybersecurity, user-centered design, and policies that ensure equitable access.

Keywords: Health Information Technology, Electronic Health Record, Interoperability, Patient Safety, Healthcare Costs, Cybersecurity, Clinical Decision Support, Health Information Exchange.

Introduction

Health Information Technology (HIT) refers to the comprehensive array of hardware, software, networks, and digital systems that enable the collection, processing, transmission, retrieval, and analysis of information within the healthcare sector. As an interdisciplinary domain that integrates informatics, computer science, data management, and clinical practice, HIT forms the structural backbone of modern healthcare delivery. Its functions encompass a wide spectrum of activities, including electronic health record (EHR) management, clinical decision support, telemedicine, diagnostic imaging systems, population health surveillance, and large-scale biomedical data analytics. Through these mechanisms, HIT facilitates the accurate documentation of patient information, enhances communication among healthcare professionals, and supports critical decision-making at both clinical and administrative levels. The range of end-users engaging with HIT systems is extraordinarily broad, underscoring the technology's centrality to healthcare functions at every level. Patients interact with HIT through patient portals, mobile health applications, and remote monitoring devices. Physicians, nurses, and allied health professionals rely on clinical

*Corresponding author e-mail: Alherzss@hotmail.com (Alanazi Mushawah Mana R).
Receive Date: 14 November 2025, Revise Date: 10 December 2025, Accept Date: 12 December 2025

information systems to document care, access diagnostic data, prescribe medications, and coordinate interdisciplinary treatment. Medical researchers utilize HIT platforms to manage datasets, analyze clinical patterns, and support research initiatives. Beyond direct patient care, HIT is indispensable to health insurance companies, public health agencies, and regulatory bodies, which depend on accurate data for reimbursement, epidemiological surveillance, policy development, and quality assurance. Pharmaceutical companies and medical device manufacturers also rely heavily on HIT for clinical trials, post-market surveillance, and pharmacovigilance. Given the diversity of stakeholders with distinct goals, workflows, and regulatory requirements—the technological infrastructure that supports HIT is necessarily complex and expansive. At a societal scale, HIT plays a crucial role in shaping the efficiency, safety, and quality of healthcare delivery, while simultaneously driving innovation and advancing the scientific understanding of disease processes.[1][2][3]

Issues of Concern

Health Information Technology (HIT) occupies a central position in modern healthcare systems, influencing clinical practice, administrative processes, and population health strategies. Its evolution has been driven by the expectation that digital transformation would improve healthcare accountability, strengthen patient and population outcomes, enhance the efficiency of healthcare delivery, and help contain escalating healthcare costs.[4][5][6][7] While these aspirations continue to shape the development of HIT, the implementation of such systems has introduced several areas of concern that warrant careful analysis. Understanding these issues requires an exploration of the primary functions and intended goals of HIT, particularly as they relate to accountability, data quality, system integration, and the broader healthcare ecosystem. One of the foundational goals underlying the widespread adoption of HIT is the enhancement of accountability within healthcare systems. Accountability involves the responsibility of healthcare institutions, providers, and administrators to deliver safe, effective, and equitable care, guided by accurate and timely data. Historically, paper medical records posed significant challenges in this regard. They were often incomplete, difficult to interpret, illegible, and susceptible to damage or loss. As a result, the ability to extract meaningful insights from patient information was limited, weakening quality improvement initiatives and inhibiting large-scale data analysis. Digitization through HIT has transformed this landscape by enabling not only the secure storage of data but also the automated structuring and extraction of key information [5][6][7]. Electronic systems can synthesize vast quantities of clinical data into actionable intelligence presented via dashboards, graphs, alerts, and real-time analytics. These tools allow healthcare organizations to monitor performance indicators, assess compliance with standards of care, and respond dynamically to emerging challenges. A notable example is the National Emergency Department Overcrowding Scale (NEDOCS), which quantifies the degree of emergency department (ED) overcrowding. Because overcrowded EDs are associated with poorer patient outcomes, longer wait times, increased morbidity, and reduced patient satisfaction, accurate real-time evaluation is essential. The NEDOCS score relies on variables such as the number of patients registered in the ED, the number of critically ill patients, and inpatient bed availability. Through HIT, these variables can be continuously updated, enabling minute-by-minute calculation of the score. This empowers administrators to implement surge responses promptly and obliges hospital leadership to address systemic issues such as staffing shortages or bed capacity through informed, evidence-based planning.

Despite the clear benefits in accountability and monitoring, the integration of HIT systems is not without challenges. As healthcare organizations expand their digital infrastructure, concerns emerge regarding interoperability, data overload, user burnout, and the unintended consequences of algorithm-driven care. While HIT enhances access to information, it can also overwhelm clinicians with excessive alerts, documentation requirements, and complex interfaces that disrupt clinical workflows. In addition, the promise of improved outcomes through HIT depends heavily on the reliability and accuracy of the data entered. Human error, inconsistent documentation practices, and incomplete or outdated records can limit the utility of digital systems, potentially compromising decision-making [5][6][7]. Moreover, HIT raises concerns related to privacy, data security, and ethical responsibility. Digitized health information, while highly valuable for clinical care and research, becomes vulnerable to breaches, cyberattacks, and unauthorized access. Ensuring compliance with confidentiality standards and maintaining robust cybersecurity measures requires ongoing investment and constant vigilance. These concerns are compounded by the expanding usage of cloud storage, mobile health applications, and telemedicine platforms, all of which introduce additional layers of risk. Another critical issue concerns disparities in HIT access and literacy. As digital systems become integral to patient engagement—such as through online portals, telehealth visits, and remote monitoring devices—patients with limited digital literacy, insufficient access to technology, or socioeconomic barriers may be excluded. This digital divide risks widening existing health disparities, particularly among rural populations, older adults, and underserved communities. Policies that aim to expand the benefits of HIT must account for these inequities to ensure that technological advancement does not inadvertently exacerbate healthcare disparities. Furthermore, while HIT is intended to reduce healthcare costs, the initial financial investment required for implementation, maintenance, training, and system upgrades can be substantial. Smaller hospitals and resource-limited clinics may struggle to adopt advanced HIT infrastructure, leading to fragmentation in data continuity across the healthcare system. The effectiveness of HIT is inherently dependent on integration; thus, uneven adoption across institutions restricts the full potential of shared data and coordinated care [5][6][7]. Despite these concerns, the overarching trajectory of HIT continues to affirm its essential role in contemporary healthcare. By improving accountability through real-time surveillance, enhancing the accuracy and accessibility of patient records, and supporting evidence-based decision-making, HIT offers a powerful mechanism for advancing quality of care. Yet achieving the full benefits of HIT requires addressing the challenges of interoperability, user burden, data security, and equity. As the field evolves, ongoing evaluation and refinement of HIT systems will be necessary to ensure that technological innovation aligns with the foundational goals of healthcare: safe, effective, equitable, and patient-centered care [5][6][7].

Improved Patient and Population Health Outcomes

Health information technology (HIT) has emerged as a powerful catalyst for improving both individual patient outcomes and broader population health indices by embedding safety-oriented tools and intelligence into routine clinical workflows. Through the thoughtful design of digital applications and decision-support mechanisms, HIT systems can systematically reduce preventable errors, standardize best practices, and enable proactive management of disease at scale. A central example of this transformation is computerized physician order entry (CPOE), which represents one of the earliest and most influential applications of HIT in clinical care. CPOE systems replace traditional handwritten or verbal orders with electronic prescribing and ordering processes integrated into the electronic health record (EHR). Historically, paper-based orders were associated with a wide array of risks: physicians might inadvertently prescribe incorrect drug dosages, choose medications contraindicated in the presence of specific comorbidities, or order drugs to which patients were known to be allergic. Illegible handwriting could lead to transcription errors by nursing or pharmacy staff, while incomplete documentation undermined the reliability and safety of treatment plans. By contrast, CPOE platforms are designed to incorporate real-time decision support. With appropriate configuration, they can automatically check for drug–drug interactions, allergies, dosage ranges based on age or renal function, and contraindications. When a potentially unsafe order is entered, the system can immediately flag the issue, display an alert, or require confirmation, thereby reducing the likelihood that the error will reach the patient [5][6][7].

Recognizing the central role of CPOE in enhancing patient safety, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) in 2001 formally advocated for its nationwide adoption, calling for universal implementation across all US healthcare institutions by 2010. The IOM's recommendation reflected a broader understanding that digital rather than handwritten orders are essential to modern, high-reliability healthcare systems. While implementation has been uneven and not without challenges—such as alert fatigue and workflow adjustments—the overarching impact of CPOE has been to substantially decrease medication-related errors, which are a major contributor to preventable morbidity and, in some cases, mortality. In this way, HIT does not merely digitize information; it actively shapes safer prescribing behavior through embedded intelligence. Beyond the confines of individual clinical encounters, HIT plays a crucial role in fostering improved outcomes at the population level. The same infrastructure that supports CPOE and EHRs can be leveraged for large-scale data aggregation, surveillance, and analytics. Tools such as clinical registries, automated reminders, population dashboards, and predictive models allow healthcare systems to track disease prevalence, identify care gaps, and intervene more systematically. For instance, EHR-based reminder systems can prompt clinicians to order routine cancer screenings, immunizations, or laboratory tests for chronic disease management, thereby improving adherence to evidence-based guidelines. These reminders can be triggered by patient age, diagnosis codes, or time elapsed since the last relevant test, ensuring that preventive and chronic care activities are not overlooked in busy clinical environments [6][7].

Biosurveillance is another domain in which HIT has demonstrated substantial value. By continuously monitoring patterns in clinical data—such as clusters of symptoms, laboratory results, or diagnostic codes—health systems and public health authorities can identify emerging infectious disease outbreaks or environmental health threats more rapidly than would be possible through manual reporting alone. Early warning systems can rely on real-time feeds from multiple facilities, enabling the detection of subtle changes in disease incidence that may herald an epidemic, bioterrorism event, or environmental exposure. Once detected, these data-driven insights inform timely public health interventions, resource allocation, and communication strategies aimed at containing spread and mitigating harm. HIT is also vital for chronic disease surveillance and management. Conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, heart failure, and chronic kidney disease require sustained, longitudinal monitoring. EHRs and associated tools can track key indicators—like hemoglobin A1c, blood pressure, lipid levels, or eGFR—across large patient cohorts and generate registries identifying those who are overdue for follow-up, poorly controlled, or at high risk for complications. Care teams can then target these individuals for outreach, medication adjustments, education, or multidisciplinary intervention. Such population health management strategies rely fundamentally on HIT's capacity to extract, organize, and analyze data at scale [7][8].

A powerful illustration of the impact of HIT on population-level health outcomes is the detection of lead poisoning during the Flint water crisis in Flint, Michigan, between 2014 and 2015. After a change in the city's water source, residents began experiencing a range of health issues. Despite growing community concern, public officials repeatedly asserted that the water was safe for consumption. It was within this context that Dr. Mona Hanna-Attisha conducted a pivotal study utilizing data from electronic medical records (EMRs) in combination with geographic information system (GIS) tools. By analyzing blood lead levels in children and mapping these data to neighborhoods and time periods corresponding to the water source change, she demonstrated a significant increase in lead poisoning linked to the municipal water supply. This work exemplified the power of HIT-based research: EMR data, which are routinely collected during clinical care, became the foundation for a rigorous epidemiologic analysis that could not easily be dismissed. The integration of clinical data with geospatial mapping allowed for precise characterization of the affected populations, the temporal relationship to the water source change, and the magnitude of the public health threat. Her findings were instrumental in forcing official acknowledgment of the crisis and catalyzed state and federal interventions to address the contaminated water, provide care for affected residents, and reconsider water safety policies. Thus, HIT functioned not only as a tool for individual patient management but also as a mechanism for uncovering and validating an environmental health disaster with profound societal implications. This example underscores that the contribution of HIT to improved health outcomes is not limited to incremental gains in safety or efficiency. When paired with clinical insight and public health expertise, HIT becomes a powerful instrument for advocacy, accountability, and structural change. It can reveal hidden patterns of harm, highlight inequities, and provide the empirical foundation for policy reform. In the context of population health, HIT enables the transition from isolated, anecdotal observations to robust, data-driven conclusions capable of influencing law, regulation, and resource distribution [6][7]. In summary, HIT enhances patient and population health outcomes through multiple, interrelated mechanisms. At the bedside, tools such as CPOE reduce medication errors and support safer, more consistent care. Across healthcare organizations, EHR-linked decision support, reminder systems, and chronic disease registries promote adherence to best practices and enable proactive care management. At the population level, HIT-based surveillance and analytic tools facilitate early detection of outbreaks, environmental hazards, and systemic failures, as illustrated by the Flint water crisis. Collectively, these capabilities demonstrate that when thoughtfully implemented, HIT is far more than a passive repository of information; it is an active, dynamic engine for improving safety, equity, and health outcomes on both individual and societal scales [6][7].

Better Healthcare Delivery Efficiencies

Beyond its direct impact on clinical outcomes, health information technology (HIT) is widely regarded as a key driver of greater efficiency in the delivery of healthcare services. The transition from paper-based processes to digital systems has introduced numerous incremental improvements—such as more streamlined scheduling, enhanced coordination of care, and a reduction in certain types of administrative burden—that collectively contribute to more responsive and organized healthcare. However, the most transformative potential of HIT in this domain lies in its capacity to facilitate timely access to relevant information and to improve communication within and across healthcare organizations. One of the fundamental challenges to efficient healthcare delivery is ensuring that clinicians have immediate access to complete and accurate patient data at the point of care. Historically, the United States healthcare landscape has been characterized by fragmentation, with care distributed among competing health systems, independent specialists, and a variety of hospitals and outpatient centers. In this fragmented environment, tests, imaging, and clinical evaluations are often performed in isolation, and the information generated may not follow the patient as they move between providers. Consequently, when a patient presents to a new clinician or institution, their prior test results, treatment history, and diagnostic workup may be inaccessible or significantly delayed. In such circumstances, providers may feel compelled to repeat laboratory tests, imaging, or consultations simply to obtain essential baseline information. This redundancy not only increases costs but also delays diagnosis and treatment, contributes to patient inconvenience, and, in some cases, exposes patients to unnecessary risks, such as excess radiation from repeated imaging. In the era of paper records, these inefficiencies were magnified. Even within a single system or hospital, charts had to be physically retrieved from archives, transported to clinical areas, and manually reviewed. Lost, misfiled, or incomplete records were not uncommon, further slowing care and complicating clinical decision-making. The advent and widespread adoption of electronic medical records (EMRs) have significantly improved this situation within individual healthcare organizations. Digital records stored in centralized databases or data warehouses can be retrieved almost instantaneously, allowing clinicians to view current and historical information—including test results, medication lists, operative notes, and progress reports—at the click of a button. This capability reduces duplication of effort, shortens turnaround times for clinical decisions, and enhances continuity of care by making the patient's longitudinal history more readily visible [7].

However, while intra-organizational data access has improved dramatically, the exchange of health information across different systems remains a persistent challenge. Most EMR products have historically been developed by competing vendors and deployed in institution-specific configurations, resulting in systems that do not "speak" to one another in a standardized way. Thus, even though information has been digitized, the inability of disparate EMR platforms to communicate electronically means that patients transferring between institutions may still encounter the same obstacles to information flow that existed in the paper era, albeit in a different form. Records may need to be faxed, printed, or manually uploaded, processes that are slow, error-prone, and not easily scalable. To address this limitation, efforts are actively underway to develop robust health information exchange (HIE) networks. These HIEs are designed to serve as interoperable infrastructures that allow healthcare providers, hospitals, laboratories, and other stakeholders to securely retrieve and share patient information regardless of the specific EMR vendor or local configuration. In an ideal model, a clinician seeing a patient for the first time would be able to query the HIE and obtain a comprehensive, longitudinal record of relevant encounters, test results, and treatments from other participating institutions. This would markedly reduce the need for repeated diagnostics, facilitate more accurate and timely clinical assessments, and support smoother transitions of care, particularly for patients with complex, chronic conditions who frequently cross institutional boundaries. A major step toward achieving such interoperability has been the development of standardized frameworks and technical specifications aimed at harmonizing how health data are structured and exchanged. In 2014, the standards organization Health Level Seven International (HL7) introduced Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR), a modern standard specifically designed to promote seamless data exchange across EMRs and related HIT systems. FHIR defines how healthcare information can be formatted and shared between different systems using modular "resources" and web-based technologies. Its adoption promises to lower technical barriers to interoperability, enabling developers to build applications that can interface with multiple EMRs in a consistent manner. Over time, widespread implementation of FHIR and related standards has the potential to transform HIT from a collection of isolated data silos into a cohesive, interoperable ecosystem in which information follows the patient and is available wherever care is delivered [7][8].

The efficiency gains associated with HIT are not confined to clinical care settings. Health insurance companies, payers, and other administrative entities also stand to benefit from the digitalization and standardization of health data. With the transition to electronically transmitted claims and clinical documentation, insurers can process claims more rapidly and with greater accuracy, reducing administrative lag times and the need for manual review. HIT systems can automatically check claims for completeness, internal consistency, and adherence to coverage policies, thereby decreasing the frequency of denials due to clerical errors and streamlining reimbursement workflows. Moreover, the large-scale aggregation of digital claims data enables sophisticated actuarial analyses, benchmarking of cost-effectiveness across providers and institutions, and identification of patterns in healthcare utilization. These analytic capabilities are also critical for detecting and combating healthcare fraud and abuse. Advanced HIT software can employ algorithms and machine learning techniques to scan vast volumes of claims data for unusual patterns—such as implausibly high procedure volumes, geographically improbable billing patterns, or billing that is inconsistent with known clinical practice guidelines. By flagging such anomalies for further investigation, HIT contributes to more efficient oversight and a more sustainable healthcare financing environment. In summary, health information technology enhances healthcare delivery efficiencies through a constellation of mechanisms: better intra-organizational data access, emerging inter-organizational interoperability via HIEs and standards like FHIR, streamlined administrative processes, and data-driven oversight of costs and fraud. While significant work remains to fully realize seamless interoperability across all points of care, the trajectory of HIT development clearly supports a future in which information flows more freely, duplication of effort is reduced, and healthcare systems can deliver more timely, coordinated, and cost-effective care [7][8].

Decreased Healthcare Costs

Escalating healthcare expenditures represent one of the most pressing challenges in contemporary health policy, with profound implications for national economic stability and resource allocation. In the United States, more than one-sixth of the entire economy is currently devoted to healthcare, a proportion that threatens to crowd out other critical societal investments, including education, defense, and social welfare programs. Within this context, health information technology (HIT) has been promoted as a key strategy to help moderate rising costs, not as a singular solution, but as a powerful enabler of more efficient, safer, and higher-quality care. By improving operational efficiency, enhancing patient safety, and supporting more effective chronic disease management, HIT has the potential to reduce wasteful spending, avoidable complications, and duplicative services. Operationally, the transition from paper-based records to electronic systems streamlines many routine processes, such as documentation, order entry, communication, and billing. Time savings and improved accuracy in these domains can translate into lower administrative overhead and better utilization of clinical staff. Similarly, tools such as computerized physician order entry and clinical decision support systems reduce medication errors and adverse events, thereby lowering downstream costs associated with extended hospital stays, readmissions, and malpractice claims. In the realm of chronic disease, HIT-supported registries, reminders, and population analytics facilitate more proactive and coordinated care, helping to prevent costly complications and hospitalizations by maintaining patients in more stable health. The potential magnitude of these economic benefits is substantial. A widely cited 2005 analysis by the RAND Corporation estimated that gains from improved operational efficiencies alone—excluding additional benefits from enhanced quality and safety—could result in annual savings on the order of $77 billion if HIT were fully implemented and optimally used across the healthcare system.[8][9] Although actual savings depend on local implementation, user engagement, and policy context, such projections underscore the economic rationale driving large-scale investments in HIT. Thus, while HIT cannot, by itself, solve the problem of rising healthcare costs, it is a central component of any comprehensive strategy to deliver care that is not only clinically effective but also economically sustainable.[8][9]

Cost of Implementation

Despite its promise, one of the principal barriers to widespread adoption of health information technology is the extraordinarily high cost of implementation. Electronic medical record (EMR) platforms developed by major vendors, such as EPIC and Cerner, require substantial upfront capital investments. For small-to-medium-sized hospitals, the purchase and deployment of such systems can amount to tens of millions of dollars, posing a significant financial challenge that may be prohibitive in the absence of external incentives or subsidies. These direct software licensing costs represent only one dimension of the overall financial burden. Implementation of an EMR or broader HIT ecosystem necessitates extensive parallel investments in organizational infrastructure. Hospitals and clinics must upgrade their hardware, including workstations, mobile devices, servers, and network equipment, and expand wireless coverage to ensure reliable connectivity in all clinical areas. In addition, the information technology (IT) department typically requires expansion, both in staffing and in expertise, to support system configuration, cybersecurity, maintenance, and user support. Beyond infrastructure, a wide array of ancillary software applications—such as interfaces to laboratory and radiology systems, billing platforms, patient portals, and decision-support modules—must be purchased or developed and integrated, adding further complexity and cost. The human factors associated with implementation also contribute significantly to the overall expense. Staff at every level, from physicians and nurses to administrative personnel, must be trained to use the new systems effectively and safely. This training requires time away from clinical duties, temporarily reducing available clinical capacity. Moreover, during the initial phases of implementation, productivity typically declines as users adjust to new workflows, navigation paradigms, and documentation requirements. This learning curve can lead to slower patient throughput, increased frustration, and, at least in the short term, reduced revenue. When combined with the already substantial capital outlays, this temporary loss of productivity can create a significant financial strain on organizations. Consequently, while HIT holds long-term promise for improving efficiency and reducing costs, the high initial and transitional costs remain a major obstacle to full and equitable adoption across healthcare settings [8][9].

Technologic Iatrogenesis

While health information technology is designed to mitigate many of the shortcomings of traditional healthcare processes, it also introduces a new spectrum of risks and unintended consequences often referred to as “technologic iatrogenesis.” One prominent area of concern is data security. In the paper-chart era, the scale of a potential data breach was inherently limited by physical constraints; an individual could only remove a finite number of files without detection. In contrast, in the digital environment, vast volumes of sensitive data can be copied, encrypted, or exfiltrated rapidly and remotely. As corporate and institutional data breaches have become increasingly common across sectors, healthcare organizations now face a heightened risk landscape, with the added dimension that compromised information often contains highly personal and clinically sensitive details. A notable example illustrating this vulnerability occurred in February 2016, when Hollywood Presbyterian Hospital in California was subjected to a ransomware attack. Hackers successfully infiltrated the hospital's systems and encrypted critical components of its electronic infrastructure, including the EMR. With laboratories, billing, medical records, and internal communication systems all dependent on digital platforms, the attack effectively crippled hospital operations. The inability to access patient charts, place orders, or retrieve results posed immediate and potentially life-threatening risks to patient safety, demonstrating how deeply clinical care has come to rely on uninterrupted access to HIT systems. In addition to cybersecurity threats, HIT can adversely affect productivity and workflow. Several studies have reported that documenting and performing tasks through EMRs can be slower than traditional paper-based methods, particularly when systems are poorly designed or inadequately tailored to clinical workflows. Clinicians may face complex interfaces, multiple clicks, and redundant data entry, all of which contribute to increased cognitive and administrative burden. Another manifestation of technologic iatrogenesis is the phenomenon of "alert fatigue." Many EMR systems generate large numbers of alerts and warnings—about drug interactions, allergies, or best-practice recommendations—many of which are nonspecific or of low clinical relevance. Over time, clinicians become desensitized to these frequent interruptions, leading them to override or ignore alerts, including those that may be genuinely important. These dynamic parallels the problem of excessive false alarms in cardiac monitoring and other physiologic monitoring systems, where a lack of specificity undermines the intended safety benefits [8][9]. Thus, while HIT offers powerful tools for enhancing care, it also requires careful design, governance, and continuous evaluation to prevent new forms of harm. Addressing issues such as cybersecurity, usability, workflow integration, and alert specificity is essential to ensuring that the net impact of HIT remains beneficial rather than inadvertently detrimental [8][9].

Clinical Significance

Health Information Technology holds substantial clinical significance because it directly influences health outcomes, the quality and safety of care, and the overall healthcare experience of patients. One of its key strengths lies in its ability to provide clinicians with rapid access to up-to-date, evidence-based guidelines and clinical resources at the point of care. Integrated decision-support tools embedded in electronic health records can offer context-specific recommendations, such as guideline-concordant therapies, appropriate diagnostic workups, or dosing adjustments based on renal function or age. This ready availability of current medical knowledge supports more consistent adherence to best practices and reduces unwarranted variation in care. The quality and safety of care are further enhanced through mechanisms that promote accurate documentation, timely communication, and error prevention. Electronic prescribing and order entry systems, for example, reduce the risks associated with illegible handwriting, incomplete orders, or overlooked allergies. Automated checks for drug–drug interactions, contraindications, and abnormal laboratory values help to identify potential problems before they reach the patient. Additionally, standardized templates and structured data fields can improve the completeness and clarity of clinical records, supporting more reliable clinical reasoning and continuity of care [8][9].

From the patient's perspective, HIT can assist with health maintenance and chronic disease management by enabling tools such as patient portals, secure messaging, automated reminders, and remote monitoring. These tools facilitate engagement, allowing patients to review their test results, track progress, receive preventive care reminders, and communicate with their care teams more easily. HIT also improves coordination among multiple providers by enabling the sharing of clinical information across specialties and care settings, helping to ensure that each clinician has access to relevant history, diagnostic findings, and treatment plans. This is particularly important for patients with complex, multi-morbid conditions who receive care from numerous providers. Finally, electronic referral systems and digital exchange of clinical information reduce the administrative burden associated with paper-based processes. Providers and organizations are relieved of the inefficiencies inherent in manual faxing, mailing, and filing, allowing more time and attention to be devoted to direct patient care. Taken together, these capabilities illustrate how HIT, when effectively implemented and thoughtfully used, can play a pivotal role in promoting safer, higher-quality, and more patient-centered healthcare [8][9].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, Health Information Technology is a transformative force in modern healthcare, fundamentally altering how care is delivered, documented, and improved. Its significant contributions to enhancing patient safety, enabling population health management, and streamlining healthcare delivery efficiencies are well-documented. Tools like computerized physician order entry and health information exchange networks exemplify its potential to reduce errors and foster coordinated care. However, the integration of HIT is not without substantial challenges. The exorbitant costs of implementation create barriers to adoption, particularly for smaller institutions. Furthermore, HIT introduces new risks, including cybersecurity threats like ransomware and the phenomenon of "technologic iatrogenesis," where poorly designed systems can lead to alert fatigue, workflow inefficiencies, and even patient harm. The digital divide also risks widening existing health inequities. Therefore, the future of HIT must focus on mitigating these downsides through concerted efforts in achieving true interoperability, investing in robust cybersecurity frameworks, improving system usability through human-centered design, and implementing policies that promote equitable access. Ultimately, HIT's success depends on balancing technological innovation with prudent management of its financial, operational, and ethical complexities.

References:

1. Liu J, Sakarovitch C, Sigurdson K, Lee HC, Profit J. Disparities in Health Care-Associated Infections in the NICU. American journal of perinatology. 2020 Jan:37(2):166-173. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1688481.
2. Herout J, Baggetta D, Cournoyer A, Dietz AS, Robbins J, Maddox K, Dobre J. Potential impact of data source and interoperability messaging on health information technology (HIT) users: a study series from the United States Department of Veterans Affairs. BMJ health & care informatics. 2019 Apr:26(1):0. doi: 10.1136/bmjhci-2019-000014.
3. Norell Pejner M, Ourique de Morais W, Lundström J, Laurell H, Skärsäter I. A Smart Home System for Information Sharing, Health Assessments, and Medication Self-Management for Older People: Protocol for a Mixed-Methods Study. JMIR research protocols. 2019 Apr 30:8(4):e12447. doi: 10.2196/12447.
4. Silverman HD, Steen EB, Carpenito JN, Ondrula CJ, Williamson JJ, Fridsma DB. Domains, tasks, and knowledge for clinical informatics subspecialty practice: results of a practice analysis. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA. 2019 Jul 1:26(7):586-593. doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocz051.
5. Atkinson KM, Wilson K, Murphy MSQ, El-Halabi S, Kahale LA, Laflamme LL, El-Khatib Z. Effectiveness of digital technologies at improving vaccine uptake and series completion - A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Vaccine. 2019 May 21:37(23):3050-3060. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.03.063.
6. Leviton A, Oppenheimer J, Chiujdea M, Antonetty A, Ojo OW, Garcia S, Weas S, Fleegler E, Chan E, Loddenkemper T. Characteristics of Future Models of Integrated Outpatient Care. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland). 2019 Apr 27:7(2):. doi: 10.3390/healthcare7020065.
7. Yousaf K, Mehmood Z, Saba T, Rehman A, Munshi AM, Alharbey R, Rashid M. Mobile-Health Applications for the Efficient Delivery of Health Care Facility to People with Dementia (PwD) and Support to Their Carers: A Survey. BioMed research international. 2019:2019():7151475. doi: 10.1155/2019/7151475.
8. Yoshida Y, Boren SA, Soares J, Popescu M, Nielson SD, Koopman RJ, Kennedy DR, Simoes EJ. Effect of Health Information Technologies on Cardiovascular Risk Factors among Patients with Diabetes. Current diabetes reports. 2019 Apr 27:19(6):28. doi: 10.1007/s11892-019-1152-3.
9. Russo P, Rosano GMC, Favato G, Staniscia T, Romano F. Cost-effectiveness of direct acting oral anticoagulants in the prevention of thromboembolic complications: limits and concerns of economic evaluations. Journal of cardiovascular medicine (Hagerstown, Md.). 2019 Aug:20(8):500-503. doi: 10.2459/JCM.0000000000000805.