



## The Preventive Role of Fluoride in Dental Caries Management: Mechanisms, Efficacy, and Clinical Applications

Salem Ibrahim Almishkhas<sup>1</sup>, Omar Mohammed Sulaimani<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed Rasheed Almutairi<sup>1</sup>, Rasha Salem Baazeem<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed Abdullah Alwadi<sup>1</sup>, Shadi Safwat Alkhadra<sup>1</sup>, May Mohammed Alanazi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> King Abdulaziz Medical city- Ministry of National Guard  
<sup>2</sup> Kingdom of Saudi Arabia , Riyadh Elm University

### Abstract

**Background:** Dental caries is a prevalent global health issue, particularly affecting children, with multifactorial causes including bacterial activity, dietary habits, and poor oral hygiene. Fluoride has been a cornerstone in caries prevention due to its ability to enhance remineralization, inhibit demineralization, and suppress cariogenic bacteria. Despite its benefits, excessive fluoride intake, especially in early childhood, raises concerns about dental fluorosis. **Aim:** This article reviews the mechanisms, efficacy, and clinical applications of fluoride in caries prevention while addressing challenges such as fluorosis risk, barriers to preventive care, and the impact of COVID-19 on dental services.

**Methods:** A comprehensive analysis of fluoride's anti-cariogenic mechanisms (topical vs. systemic effects), different fluoride delivery methods (water fluoridation, toothpaste, varnishes, gels, and slow-release devices), and ecological approaches (probiotics, arginine-enhanced products) was conducted. Evidence from clinical studies, public health data, and professional guidelines was synthesized.

**Results:** Topical fluoride applications (toothpaste, varnishes) are more effective than systemic fluoride in preventing caries. Water fluoridation remains a cost-effective public health measure, though bottled water consumption has reduced its impact. Fluorosis risk can be mitigated by regulating fluoride exposure in children under six. Emerging strategies like silver diamine fluoride (SDF) and slow-release beads show promise for high-risk populations.

**Conclusion:** Fluoride remains the most effective intervention for caries prevention, but its use must be tailored to individual risk factors. Interprofessional collaboration and public health policies are essential to optimize fluoride's benefits while minimizing adverse effects.

**Keywords:** Dental caries, fluoride, remineralization, fluorosis, preventive dentistry, public health.

### 1. Introduction

Dental caries remains a significant global public health concern, with an estimated 60 to 90% of individuals worldwide experiencing this chronic condition [1][2]. It is particularly prevalent among children in the United States, where it is recognized as the most common chronic disease in pediatric populations [3]. The pathogenesis of dental caries involves a multifactorial interaction over time

between acidogenic bacteria, fermentable carbohydrates, and host-related variables such as saliva composition and oral hygiene practices [4]. Despite its microbial component, dental caries is classified as a non-communicable disease. It shares several behavioral and lifestyle risk factors with other non-communicable diseases, including obesity and diabetes, reinforcing its link to broader public health issues rooted in dietary habits and systemic health determinants [5]. Fluoride has emerged as a cornerstone in the prevention and

management of dental caries. Its primary preventive action is localized, enhancing the remineralization of enamel and inhibiting the demineralization process. This effect is most effective when fluoride is applied topically and is supported by consistent oral hygiene behaviors [6]. In addition to preventing lesion development, fluoride also plays a therapeutic role in halting the progression of initial, non-cavitated carious lesions, thereby contributing to the overall reduction in caries incidence and severity. The beneficial impact of fluoride has led to its widespread use in multiple oral care strategies, with water fluoridation being one of the most prominent public health measures adopted globally.

The introduction of community water fluoridation programs has been associated with notable declines in dental caries prevalence across various populations. However, the systemic intake of fluoride, especially during the early developmental years of life—typically the first six years—has been associated with an increased risk of dental fluorosis, a condition resulting from enamel hypomineralization [6]. Fluorosis presents a particular concern in children who are exposed to high levels of fluoride during the period of tooth development. Moreover, early and unsupervised use of fluoride toothpaste in young children has been identified as an additional risk factor, primarily due to the tendency of children to swallow toothpaste rather than expectorate it [7]. When formulating caries prevention strategies for pediatric patients, the potential risk of fluorosis necessitates careful consideration of the appropriate concentration of fluoride in oral care products. Clinical guidelines recommend that fluoride dosage and mode of delivery—whether through toothpaste, rinses, gels, foams, or varnishes—be tailored to the individual's age and caries risk profile. This individualized approach ensures that fluoride use is both safe and effective, optimizing its protective benefits while minimizing the likelihood of adverse effects. As dental caries continues to pose a widespread health challenge, the strategic application of fluoride remains a key component in evidence-based dental public health interventions.

#### **Fluoride Role In Dental Caries:**

Fluoride plays a critical role in the prevention of dental caries through well-defined mechanisms that operate primarily through topical application. The primary anti-cariogenic actions of fluoride are the inhibition of demineralization, promotion of remineralization, and suppression of plaque bacterial activity [8]. These effects explain why fluoride-containing products such as toothpaste, mouthwashes, and varnishes are effective in reducing caries risk. Conversely, systemic fluoride delivery via supplements like drops, lozenges, or tablets shows minimal benefit in caries prevention, reinforcing the superiority of topical over systemic fluoride exposure in clinical outcomes [8].

The mechanism by which fluoride inhibits tooth demineralization is strongly dependent on its presence in the oral environment at the time of acid challenge. It is important to recognize that fluoride incorporated into enamel during tooth development does not significantly alter the enamel's

solubility in acid [9][10]. This suggests that systemic fluoride intake before tooth eruption has limited long-term protective value. The key protective function occurs when fluoride is present in the biofilm and plaque fluid surrounding enamel surfaces. This topically available fluoride plays a vital role in resisting enamel breakdown, particularly in areas where acidic by-products from bacterial metabolism initiate mineral loss. During acid attacks, fluoride present in the plaque fluid is carried along with the hydrogen ions into the enamel subsurface. There, it binds to the crystal lattice of enamel, effectively stabilizing it and preventing mineral dissolution. The formation of fluorapatite, a more acid-resistant compound than hydroxyapatite or carbonated apatite, is central to this process. Fluorapatite is formed by substituting the hydroxyl ion in hydroxyapatite with a fluoride ion, creating a structure that exhibits significantly lower solubility under acidic conditions [8]. This chemical change is a major factor in the resistance of enamel to demineralization in fluoride-rich environments.

Fluoride's influence on remineralization is another essential element in caries control. In the natural remineralization process, the pH of the oral cavity is restored by salivary buffering, halting further enamel dissolution. Saliva, being supersaturated with calcium and phosphate, contributes these minerals back to the enamel surface. The presence of partially demineralized enamel crystals provides nucleation points for mineral redeposition [8]. Fluoride enhances this process by integrating into these subsurface crystals and facilitating the precipitation of new mineral layers. Specifically, fluoride adsorbs onto the demineralized enamel surfaces, attracting calcium ions and leading to the development of fluorapatite-like crystals. This process not only reinforces the enamel structurally but also reduces its solubility in future acid attacks. The repeated interaction of fluoride with these enamel sites strengthens the tooth over time and reverses the early stages of carious lesions. These fluoride-assisted repair mechanisms offer a practical and effective approach for non-invasive treatment of incipient caries. The third primary action of fluoride involves its impact on the metabolic activity of plaque bacteria. Under neutral pH, fluoride ions cannot cross bacterial cell membranes. However, during acidogenic conditions—typical of cariogenic plaque—fluoride enters bacterial cells in the form of hydrogen fluoride (HF) [11][12]. The acid environment generated by bacterial metabolism facilitates this transformation. Once inside the cell, HF dissociates into hydrogen and fluoride ions, leading to acidification of the cytoplasm. This intracellular acidification disrupts bacterial metabolism and inhibits critical enzymes, such as enolase, that are essential for carbohydrate breakdown and acid production [12].

This antibacterial effect of fluoride is dose-dependent and cumulative [8]. It reduces acid generation by the bacteria responsible for caries, particularly *Streptococcus mutans*, which further protects the enamel. The net outcome is a reduction in both the frequency and

severity of acid challenges to tooth surfaces. Over time, with regular exposure to fluoride, the overall cariogenic potential of dental plaque is reduced, adding another layer of defense against caries progression. Together, these three mechanisms—reduction of demineralization, promotion of remineralization, and suppression of bacterial activity—highlight the importance of fluoride as a cornerstone in modern preventive dentistry. These effects explain the widespread inclusion of fluoride in professional dental treatments and over-the-counter products alike. The evidence favors consistent, low-level exposure to fluoride as a means of maximizing these protective benefits. Given its mechanism of action, the timing, frequency, and mode of fluoride application become crucial in designing effective caries prevention protocols, particularly in high-risk populations. The cumulative evidence from both laboratory and clinical studies continues to support the role of fluoride as the most efficient and accessible intervention against dental caries. Fluoride not only halts the progression of early lesions but also aids in rebuilding enamel and diminishing microbial virulence. For these reasons, public health strategies and clinical guidelines prioritize fluoride-based measures in individual and community-level dental care.

### **Clinical Significance**

#### **Community Water Fluoridation**

Community water fluoridation has been widely adopted for over fifty years as a reliable public health measure to combat dental caries. The most pronounced benefit of this intervention has been observed in children with deciduous and mixed dentition, where fluoride in water helps reduce enamel breakdown and supports healthy dental development [13][14][13]. Water fluoridation stands out due to its simplicity and cost-effectiveness. It provides consistent, low-level fluoride exposure to large populations without requiring individual compliance. Economically, its lifetime cost per person is significantly lower than the cost of a single dental restoration [15]. To balance effectiveness with safety, the United States Public Health Service advises a fluoride concentration of 0.7 mg/L in public water systems, which achieves the desired preventive outcomes while limiting the risk of dental fluorosis [16].

However, recent changes in consumer behavior, particularly the increased consumption of bottled water, have raised concerns. Bottled water often contains fluoride levels below the recommended concentration [17]. In such scenarios, healthcare professionals must consider supplemental fluoride sources to maintain caries protection. Over time, as additional fluoride products such as toothpaste, rinses, and varnishes became widely available, the sole reliance on water fluoridation diminished. Nonetheless, water fluoridation continues to offer baseline protection, especially in underserved communities. For children residing in areas where the public water supply lacks adequate fluoride, clinicians often prescribe fluoride supplements to ensure adequate systemic intake [13][18]. The United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends that primary care providers begin prescribing fluoride supplements to infants as early as six

months old, provided their main source of drinking water lacks optimal fluoride levels [18]. This guidance underscores the preventive potential of systemic fluoride in populations without access to fluoridated water. Fluoride supplements are typically prescribed in the form of drops, tablets, or lozenges, offering flexibility in administration for various age groups.

#### **Dietary Fluoride Supplements**

Dietary fluoride supplements are available in several forms, including tablets, lozenges, and liquids that sometimes combine fluoride with vitamins [19]. The commonly used doses in these preparations are 0.25 mg, 0.5 mg, and 1.0 mg, with sodium fluoride being the standard active component [19]. For infants, drops administered using a dropper are the preferred delivery method. In older children and adults, tablets and lozenges are typically prescribed with instructions to chew or suck on them for one to two minutes before swallowing. This method enhances the topical exposure of fluoride to tooth surfaces, thereby increasing the compound's local protective action [20]. Evidence suggests that fluoride tablets used in this manner result in a significantly greater reduction in caries incidence—up to 80%—compared to simply swallowing the supplement. The effectiveness of fluoride supplementation depends on a thorough assessment of all fluoride sources available to the individual. This includes evaluating the regional fluoride concentration in drinking water and the person's use of other fluoride-containing products like toothpaste or mouth rinses [19]. Supplementation aims to compensate for deficiencies without increasing the risk of overexposure, especially in children who are more vulnerable to fluorosis. For children at high risk of dental caries and living in areas with fluoride-deficient water—defined as less than 0.3 ppm fluoride—daily systemic supplementation is recommended in age-specific dosages. Infants aged six months to three years should receive 0.25 mg of fluoride daily. Children aged three to six years should receive 0.5 mg per day, while those over six years of age are advised to take 1.0 mg per day. These recommendations are based on maintaining therapeutic benefits while reducing the risk of adverse effects.

#### **Fluoride Toothpaste**

Fluoride toothpaste is one of the most effective and accessible tools for preventing dental caries in both primary and permanent teeth. It primarily delivers sodium fluoride (NaF), which increases the fluoride concentration in saliva substantially—by 100 to 1,000 times the baseline level immediately after brushing [21][22]. This elevated level gradually returns to normal within one to two hours [23], but the short-term fluoride boost plays a significant role in enamel remineralization and bacterial suppression. Toothbrushing with fluoride toothpaste should begin with the eruption of the first tooth, typically around six months of age [24]. Twice-daily brushing significantly lowers the risk of dental caries, with studies indicating a 14% reduction in incidence [25]. For effective plaque removal and prevention of excess fluoride ingestion, brushing in children under four years of age should always be supervised [26].

A fluoride concentration of 1,000 ppm in toothpaste is effective in preventing decay in both primary and permanent teeth [7]. In the United States, the standard fluoride content in over-the-counter toothpaste ranges from 1,000 to 1,100 ppm [19]. For children at high risk of caries, higher concentrations—ranging from 1,350 ppm to 1,500 ppm—are recommended, especially from the age of seven and beyond. However, due to the immature swallowing reflex in children under six, toothpaste with 1,500 ppm fluoride is not recommended for this age group to avoid the risk of dental fluorosis [19]. Age-specific guidelines have been established to regulate the quantity of toothpaste used during brushing. Children under three should use a smear or rice-sized amount of toothpaste. From age three to six, a pea-sized amount is appropriate [27]. These guidelines minimize fluoride ingestion while maintaining its protective benefits. Most commercially available fluoride toothpaste products do not exceed 1,500 ppm. Higher-strength formulations containing 2,800 ppm or 5,000 ppm fluoride are available by prescription and are generally reserved for patients at increased risk of caries. These concentrations are typically used as short-term interventions in individuals with active decay or in those undergoing dietary changes. In certain cases, such as patients with xerostomia or those with physical or cognitive impairments, high-fluoride toothpaste may be indicated for long-term use. Sodium fluoride toothpaste with 2,800 ppm concentration can be prescribed starting at age 10, while the 5,000 ppm version is appropriate from age 16. These prescriptions must be carefully managed to ensure patient safety while maximizing the therapeutic effects.

#### **Fluoride Mouthwash**

Fluoride mouthwash plays a supportive role in caries prevention by raising the fluoride concentration in both dental plaque and saliva, thereby enhancing topical fluoride availability in the oral environment [19]. Its mechanism involves depositing fluoride in the biofilm and saliva, making enamel more resistant to acid dissolution. To optimize its effect, fluoride mouthwash should be used at a separate time from toothbrushing. This strategy increases fluoride presence in the oral cavity across more hours of the day and maintains a steady exposure level to enamel surfaces [28]. The effectiveness of fluoride mouthwash depends on the concentration and frequency of use. Over-the-counter formulations commonly contain 230 ppm sodium fluoride and are designed for daily rinsing, while stronger solutions with 900 ppm fluoride are used weekly [29]. Despite their benefits, fluoride mouthwashes are not recommended for children under six years old due to a significant risk of ingestion and potential toxicity. In this age group, the risk of swallowing outweighs the potential protective benefits [6]. For older children, parental supervision during use is essential. Research shows that supervised use of mouthwash in children with permanent teeth leads to better caries prevention than unsupervised use.

#### **Fluoride Varnish, Gels, and Foams**

Professionally applied fluoride varnishes and gels remain essential tools for preventing caries in children, particularly those classified as high risk. Regular application—typically two or more times per year—has shown strong clinical outcomes, with fluoride varnish reducing caries incidence by 37% in primary teeth and 43% in permanent teeth [30]. Fluoride varnish is available in high concentrations, usually around 22,600 ppm, and is currently the only high-dose fluoride formulation approved for use in children under six. It can be safely applied two to four times annually depending on the individual's caries risk. The United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) endorses fluoride varnish application by primary care providers for all children beginning with the eruption of the first primary tooth [18]. In the UK, its use is recommended from age three for children at risk of dental caries. The varnish is typically applied as a thin coating directly on susceptible areas such as incipient lesions, developmental enamel defects, and anatomical pits and fissures prone to decay.

Fluoride varnish is generally well tolerated, though rare allergic reactions may occur, particularly in individuals with sensitivity to colophony (rosin), a common component in many varnish formulations [31]. Contraindications also include patients with contact dermatitis or stomatitis. Despite these considerations, varnish remains a preferred method of delivering high-concentration fluoride in young children due to its safety and ease of application. Fluoride gels and foams offer another approach to topical fluoride delivery. These agents, typically ranging in concentration from 5,000 to 12,300 ppm, are applied in dental clinics using trays that cover the teeth for one to four minutes. While effective in preventing caries and remineralizing early lesions, gels and foams are not recommended for children under six due to the potential for ingestion [6]. For children over six, gel applications can be scheduled two to four times per year, based on caries risk. In non-fluoridated areas, their use has led to a 26% reduction in caries in permanent teeth. The American Dental Association (ADA) provides specific guidance on fluoride formulations based on age and delivery method. For children under six, 2.26% sodium fluoride varnish (22,600 ppm) is the recommended option. For children older than six, a 1.23% acidulated phosphate fluoride (APF) gel with a concentration of 12,300 ppm is advised [28]. For home use, low-concentration fluoride gels are preferred. The ADA recommends 0.05% sodium fluoride gel (5,000 ppm) or 0.15% stannous fluoride gel (1,000 ppm) for children over six years [19]. These gels allow for ongoing caries protection in between professional treatments.

#### **Silver Diamine Fluoride**

Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) has emerged as a cost-effective solution for managing dental caries, especially in high-risk populations such as children with limited access to dental care and older adults with special healthcare needs [32][33]. This colorless, alkaline liquid serves a dual function. The silver component acts as a potent antimicrobial

agent, arresting the progression of active lesions, while the fluoride component promotes remineralization. A major limitation of SDF use is the dark staining it leaves on the treated carious surfaces. This esthetic drawback limits its application to posterior teeth or cases where appearance is not a concern [34]. Nevertheless, its clinical value is significant, particularly in settings where traditional dental care is difficult to access due to barriers such as fear, transportation issues, or financial constraints [33]. Studies comparing annual SDF application to fluoride varnishes and gels administered multiple times per year suggest that SDF provides superior caries prevention in many cases [35]. This efficiency, combined with its simplicity and affordability, makes SDF an appealing option in public health programs and special-needs care.

#### Slow-release Fluoride Beads

Slow-release fluoride devices are another emerging method for sustained fluoride delivery. These beads are bonded to the teeth and slowly release fluoride over extended periods—often several years, helping prevent caries and encouraging remineralization of early enamel lesions. The most common types include copolymer membrane devices and glass fluoride-releasing beads. Research shows that these devices can elevate intraoral fluoride levels sufficiently to protect enamel from acid dissolution [36]. However, most available data come from in vitro or in situ studies, and clinical trials are still limited. This gap underscores the need for more human-based research before these devices can be widely adopted in clinical settings. Despite their potential, retention remains a major concern. The beads can become dislodged over time, especially in patients with high masticatory activity or poor oral hygiene. This limits their long-term reliability, although they may still offer benefits for selected high-risk individuals where daily compliance with fluoride therapy is not feasible [37]. In summary, fluoride-based products—from rinses to varnishes to innovative delivery devices—offer a range of options to manage and prevent dental caries across various patient populations. Each method must be selected based on age, risk level, compliance capacity, and treatment goals, ensuring maximum benefit with minimal risk.

**Table 1:** Summary of Fluoride-Based Preventive Measures by Age Group and Risk Level

Preventive Method	Recommended Age Group	Fluoride Concentration	Frequency of Use	Indication	Notes
Fluoride Toothpaste	6 months to 3 years	~1000 ppm	Twice daily	All children	Use smear size; supervise brushing to prevent swallowing
Fluoride	3 to 6 years	1000–1100 ppm	Twice daily	All children	Use pea-sized amount;

Preventive Method	Recommended Age Group	Fluoride Concentration	Frequency of Use	Indication	Notes
Toothpaste					avoid high-fluoride toothpaste
Fluoride Toothpaste	7+ years, high-risk	1350–1500 ppm	Twice daily	High caries risk	Standard recommendation for older children and adults
Prescription Toothpaste	10+ years / 16+ years	2800–5000 ppm	Twice daily	Very high risk (e.g., xerostomia)	Short-term or chronic use based on need
Fluoride Mouthwash	6+ years	230–900 ppm	Daily or weekly	Moderate-to-high risk	Not for children under 6 years
Fluoride Varnish	All ages (esp. under 6)	22,600 ppm	2–4 times/year	High risk, or no access to dental care	Can be applied by non-dental professionals
Fluoride Gel/Foam	6+ years	5000–12,300 ppm	2–4 times/year	Non-fluoridated regions	In-office application only
Silver Diamine Fluoride	Children, elderly, special needs	44,800 ppm	Once/year or as needed	Active cavitated lesions, non-aesthetic zones	Leaves black stain on carious surfaces

#### Ecological Approaches to Caries Prevention

Ecological approaches to dental caries prevention aim to restore and maintain a balanced oral microbial environment rather than targeting individual pathogens. These strategies focus on supporting beneficial microorganisms, reducing the dominance of cariogenic species, and enhancing the host's natural defenses. One key element of this approach is the use of probiotics, which are live microorganisms that contribute to oral health by influencing the composition and function of the oral biofilm. Probiotics, often termed "good" bacteria, support the growth

and stability of a healthy oral microbiota. When introduced into the oral cavity, probiotics interact with the existing microbial community by disrupting the metabolic activity and structure of caries-associated bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans* [38][39]. This disturbance can shift the local microbial environment away from one that favors acidogenic and aciduric organisms toward a more balanced and less cariogenic biofilm. While the precise mechanisms are not yet fully understood, evidence suggests that probiotics may produce antimicrobial substances, compete for adhesion sites, and modulate the host immune response.

Despite the promising concept, further research is needed to establish clear evidence regarding the long-term effects of probiotic use on the oral microbiome, especially in diverse populations. Nonetheless, randomized clinical trials involving children under five years have consistently reported improvements in oral microbial diversity following probiotic supplementation [5]. These findings support the potential use of probiotics as part of a caries prevention strategy during early childhood, a period marked by rapid changes in oral microbiota composition and high vulnerability to caries. In practical applications, probiotic-enriched food and supplements have demonstrated positive outcomes. For instance, school-based nutrition programs that provided milk with added probiotics or distributed probiotic lozenges observed reductions in caries incidence among both preschoolers and school-aged children at high risk [39]. In addition to probiotics, some oral care products have been developed to actively modify the oral biofilm's ecology. One such innovation involves combining fluoride with arginine, a naturally occurring amino acid. Arginine is metabolized by specific oral bacteria to produce alkali, which helps neutralize plaque acids and maintain a neutral pH. When used in conjunction with fluoride, which strengthens enamel and enhances remineralization, this combination has shown greater anti-cariogenic effects than fluoride alone [40]. The synergistic action supports a less acidic and more protective biofilm environment, contributing to a reduced risk of demineralization and caries progression.

Another emerging category of biofilm-modifying products includes toothpaste formulations that incorporate enzymes and salivary proteins. These formulations are designed to enhance the natural defense mechanisms of saliva, which include antimicrobial activity, buffering capacity, and maintenance of a stable oral pH. Clinical studies indicate that such toothpaste can favorably influence the oral microbial community by supporting the dominance of non-cariogenic species and reducing the prevalence of harmful bacteria [41]. By strengthening innate salivary functions, these products contribute to maintaining a healthier microbial ecology and may offer an additional preventive measure for individuals with reduced salivary flow or compromised oral defense systems. Overall, ecological approaches provide a more holistic and sustainable model for caries prevention. Rather than eliminating all bacteria, these strategies promote a healthy

microbial balance, reduce disease-contributing factors, and enhance host resistance. Continued research into the mechanisms, applications, and long-term outcomes of these interventions will be essential for integrating them effectively into clinical and public health practice.

## Other Issues

### Fluorosis

Dental fluorosis is a condition that results from excessive fluoride intake during the period of enamel formation. It presents with varying degrees of enamel discoloration and, in severe cases, surface pitting. Evidence shows that fluoride exposure during early childhood, especially through supplements or water containing fluoride levels above 0.7 ppm, increases the risk of fluorosis ranging from mild to severe [16][42]. One common route of excessive fluoride intake in children is the ingestion of fluoride toothpaste. If children under six years old swallow more than a pea-sized amount of toothpaste regularly, the likelihood of developing mild fluorosis increases significantly [43]. The risk of fluorosis is most significant in children under the age of four, as this is the critical period for the development of the permanent maxillary incisors and first molars. These teeth begin calcifying and maturing during this early stage. Between the ages of four and six, other teeth such as premolars and second molars undergo calcification and are also susceptible to fluorosis if excessive fluoride is consumed [6]. After the age of six, most of the permanent dentition has completed calcification, and the risk of developing fluorosis from systemic fluoride sources becomes negligible. Preventing fluorosis while ensuring the caries-preventive benefits of fluoride requires a cautious and informed approach. Caregivers and healthcare providers must ensure appropriate fluoride use by adhering to dosage guidelines and monitoring fluoride exposure. This includes supervising children's brushing, using only the recommended amount of toothpaste, and considering the total fluoride intake from all sources, including water, dietary supplements, and topical applications. When used appropriately, fluoride remains safe and effective across all age groups.

### Impact of COVID-19 on Preventive Care

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted healthcare systems globally, and preventive dental care was not exempt from this impact. A study evaluating fluoride varnish applications during child wellness visits revealed a significant reduction in fluoride use, correlating with the general decline in routine dental visits during the pandemic [44]. This reduction was partly due to clinic closures, reduced operating hours, and infection control concerns. The decline highlights a broader public health concern: children missed essential preventive interventions that could influence their long-term oral health. These findings underscore the need for integrated care models, especially in pediatric settings. Physicians and other healthcare professionals play a pivotal role in ensuring children receive basic preventive dental services during routine medical appointments. Collaborative practice between medical and

dental professionals, including fluoride varnish application during wellness visits, may help bridge access gaps, particularly during health system disruptions like pandemics. With persistent risks of service interruptions, new models of care must be considered. Teledentistry has emerged as a promising solution for counseling, education, and triage, allowing dental professionals to remotely assess oral health risks and provide guidance. Additionally, expanding preventive dental services within medical offices, especially for pediatric patients, offers a sustainable way to maintain continuity of care during public health emergencies [45].

### Barriers to DCP Practice Measures

Despite the evidence supporting dental caries prevention (DCP) strategies, multiple barriers limit their widespread implementation in clinical practice. These include personal beliefs, attitudes, and external factors that influence dentist behavior and service delivery. One commonly reported barrier is the difficulty of managing young children during dental visits. In many settings, dentists perceive that children's poor cooperation limits their ability to effectively administer preventive care interventions such as fluoride applications or sealants [46]. Another significant factor involves the personal attitudes of dentists. Many report feeling pressed for time during appointments, which leads to deprioritizing preventive procedures in favor of urgent or restorative treatments. Some practitioners also find preventive care less rewarding or more stressful due to perceived patient noncompliance or institutional limitations [46]. Beyond individual attitudes, demographic and professional characteristics also shape practice patterns. Research indicates that low compensation for preventive services is a major deterrent, cited by 25% of dentists as a reason for limited preventive care provision. Similarly, dentists who graduated more than a decade ago (22%) and male practitioners (19%) were less likely to engage in preventive dental practices.

Conversely, there are enabling factors that support the integration of preventive care into routine dental practice. Team-based approaches in dental clinics were cited by 21% of dentists as a facilitator of DCP delivery. Teamwork allows for delegation, task sharing, and more efficient patient management, which improves the feasibility of preventive care implementation. Continued professional education also plays a critical role. Postgraduate training programs that emphasize caries prevention, along with updated clinical guidelines, were reported by 12% of dentists as influential in changing their practice. A similar percentage attributed their preventive approach to a deepened understanding of the clinical benefits of DCP [47]. These findings emphasize the importance of systemic and educational reforms to overcome barriers and support preventive care integration. Strengthening interprofessional collaboration, aligning incentives with evidence-based care, and investing in training programs for both new and experienced practitioners can foster a culture that prioritizes long-term oral health outcomes through prevention.

**Table 2:** Barriers and Facilitators to Preventive Dental Care in Healthcare Settings.

Category	Specific Barrier or Facilitator	Frequency or Reported Impact	Source/Notes
Barriers	Poor child cooperation	High	Limits intervention during visits [46]
	Lack of time in appointments	High	Reported across multiple studies [46]
	Low reimbursement for preventive care	25% of dentists report as barrier	[46]
	Lack of clear referral pathways	Common	Leads to fewer preventive referrals [44]
	Limited pediatric oral health training	Widespread among pediatricians	Affects ability to screen or refer [50]
Facilitators	Interprofessional teamwork	21% of dentists report as motivator	Enhances care delivery [47]
	Postgraduate continuing education	12% positive impact on practices	Reinforces evidence-based care [47]
	Clear understanding of preventive benefit	12% of practitioners influenced	Promotes consistent DCP use
	Fluoride varnish use by non-dental staff	Growing adoption	Supported by USPSTF and public health data
	Medical-dental care integration (e.g., in pediatric care)	Emerging model	Reduces ECC incidence in high-risk children

### Enhancing Healthcare Team Outcomes

Oral health is closely linked to general health, especially in the early years of life, yet it remains a low priority within pediatric primary care. Dental caries, a preventable condition, continues to affect a large proportion of children globally. Collaborative healthcare models that

incorporate oral health into routine pediatric care can significantly reduce the burden of early childhood caries (ECC). Interdisciplinary teamwork among pediatricians, family physicians, dentists, nurses, and other community health professionals is essential to ensure timely preventive interventions and referrals. Primary care providers (PCPs) such as pediatricians and family doctors play a unique role in shaping a child's health trajectory. They often see children several times before a dentist is involved, particularly during vaccination appointments and routine child development checks [44][48]. These regular interactions position PCPs to influence parental behavior and reinforce the importance of early oral care. Yet, many PCPs do not integrate dental caries prevention into their practice, often due to a limited understanding of dental public health strategies, time constraints, or a perception that oral health falls solely under the domain of dental professionals [50].

Evidence supports that primary care providers can positively impact oral health outcomes when they engage in caries prevention efforts. A review of interventions targeting pregnant women and young mothers showed that oral health counseling by medical professionals can reduce the risk of ECC in their children [49]. These interventions included dietary advice, oral hygiene education, and referral to dental services. Educating mothers during pregnancy about proper infant feeding practices, the impact of maternal oral bacteria on their child's oral flora, and the need for early dental visits can prevent the vertical transmission of cariogenic bacteria and improve children's long-term oral health. However, research indicates that many pediatricians only refer children to the dentist when high caries risk is identified [50]. Routine referrals for preventive care are less common, which delays the introduction of preventive dental measures. In several studies, it was observed that pediatricians lacked training in oral health assessment and were uncertain about the appropriate referral age and protocols [44]. This situation highlights the need for structured interprofessional education (IPE) and clear referral pathways. Dental teams should collaborate with primary care units to establish streamlined systems that make dental referrals efficient and standardized.

Interprofessional education has been shown to be effective in bridge this gap. Training programs that involve joint learning for medical and dental students or continuing education for practicing providers can enhance awareness, confidence, and competence in addressing children's oral health needs [48]. For example, incorporating oral health modules into pediatric residency programs improves the likelihood that physicians will conduct oral health screenings, apply fluoride varnish, and educate caregivers about ECC prevention. Healthcare professionals other than dentists can also be trained to identify the early signs of dental caries. White spot lesions at the gingival margin, brown pits or fissures on the occlusal surfaces, and enamel breakdown are visible signs that can be detected during routine examinations by pediatricians or nurses [4]. Early detection is critical, as non-cavitated lesions can be arrested

or reversed with timely fluoride application, dietary counseling, and improved oral hygiene.

Fluoride varnish, a proven intervention for caries prevention, can be safely and effectively applied by non-dental professionals. Studies show that medical practitioners who receive appropriate training can apply fluoride varnish during well-child visits without adding significant time or burden to appointments. This practice is particularly beneficial in underserved areas where access to dental care is limited. In the United States, several states permit non-dental providers to apply fluoride varnish through public health initiatives. Such policies have contributed to increased access to preventive oral healthcare for children at high risk of ECC. Expanding the scope of oral health interventions to include a broader group of trained personnel can improve outcomes and reduce the incidence of caries. Nurses, community health workers, and school staff can be trained to deliver preventive education, conduct screenings, and assist with fluoride varnish application. These individuals often interact with children and families in both clinical and non-clinical settings, offering opportunities to reinforce preventive messages. Integrating oral health into community outreach programs and school health services can amplify the reach of dental public health strategies.

Effective interdisciplinary collaboration requires organizational support and clear role delineation. Each member of the healthcare team should understand their responsibilities in oral health promotion. Pediatricians should perform initial screenings and provide anticipatory guidance; nurses can reinforce education and assist with fluoride application; dentists can offer definitive diagnosis and treatment. Communication between professionals should be systematic, using shared documentation and referral protocols to ensure continuity of care. Teledentistry can support interprofessional collaboration by allowing non-dental professionals to consult remotely with dental specialists. Through image sharing and virtual assessments, dentists can guide PCPs in managing minor dental issues or determining when an in-person referral is needed. This approach is especially useful in rural and underserved areas, where access to dental care is limited.

Despite these promising strategies, challenges remain. Time pressures during medical appointments, inadequate reimbursement, and lack of integration between medical and dental electronic records hinder the widespread adoption of oral health practices in primary care. Addressing these barriers will require systemic changes, including policy reforms, funding support, and better alignment between health disciplines. To improve healthcare outcomes, especially for vulnerable pediatric populations, oral health must be embraced as a shared responsibility among all healthcare providers. Interprofessional collaboration ensures that oral health promotion and disease prevention are integrated into routine healthcare rather than treated as an isolated specialty. This model not only reduces the prevalence of ECC but also enhances overall child health and development. Oral health education, preventive care,



and timely referrals should become standard practice within pediatric care, supported by well-designed training programs, policy initiatives, and coordinated care systems. When the healthcare team functions as a unified network with shared goals, the impact on children's oral and general health is substantial and enduring.

### Conclusion:

Fluoride continues to play a pivotal role in the prevention and management of dental caries, supported by decades of research demonstrating its efficacy in reducing caries incidence through multiple mechanisms. Its primary actions—enhancing remineralization, inhibiting demineralization, and suppressing acidogenic bacteria—make it indispensable in both clinical and public health settings. Topical fluoride applications, such as toothpaste, varnishes, and mouth rinses, have proven more effective than systemic methods, emphasizing the importance of localized fluoride exposure in caries prevention. Community water fluoridation remains one of the most equitable and cost-effective public health measures, significantly reducing caries prevalence, particularly in underserved populations. However, the rise in bottled water consumption and varying fluoride concentrations in drinking water necessitate supplemental strategies, such as professionally applied fluoride varnishes and prescription high-fluoride toothpaste for high-risk individuals. The recommended fluoride concentration of 0.7 mg/L in public water systems balances efficacy with safety, minimizing fluorosis risk while maintaining caries prevention benefits. Despite fluoride's advantages, challenges persist, including the risk of dental fluorosis in young children due to excessive ingestion of fluoride toothpaste or supplements. Caregiver education and adherence to age-specific fluoride guidelines are crucial in mitigating this risk. Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted gaps in preventive dental care, underscoring the need for integrated medical-dental collaboration, teledentistry, and expanded fluoride varnish applications in pediatric primary care. Emerging alternatives like silver diamine fluoride (SDF) offer promising solutions for high-risk and special-needs populations, though esthetic concerns limit their use. Ecological approaches, including probiotics and arginine-enhanced products, represent innovative strategies to modulate the oral microbiome and complement fluoride-based prevention. Barriers to widespread preventive care implementation—such as limited provider training, financial constraints, and patient compliance—must be addressed through interprofessional education, policy reforms, and incentivizing preventive practices. By optimizing fluoride delivery methods, promoting collaborative healthcare models, and advancing research on alternative therapies, the dental community can enhance caries prevention and reduce global oral health disparities. Fluoride remains the gold standard in caries management, but its success depends on evidence-based, patient-centered approaches tailored to individual and community needs.

### References:

2. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJ, Marcenes W. Global burden of

untreated caries: a systematic review and meta-regression. *J Dent Res*. 2015 May;94(5):650-8.

3. Pitts NB, Zero DT, Marsh PD, Ekstrand K, Weintraub JA, Ramos-Gomez F, Tagami J, Twetman S, Tsakos G, Ismail A. Dental caries. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2017 May 25;3:17030.
4. Clark MB, Keels MA, Slayton RL., SECTION ON ORAL HEALTH. Fluoride Use in Caries Prevention in the Primary Care Setting. *Pediatrics*. 2020 Dec;146(6)
5. Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *Lancet*. 2007 Jan 06;369(9555):51-9.
6. Twetman S. Prevention of dental caries as a non-communicable disease. *Eur J Oral Sci*. 2018 Oct;126 Suppl 1:19-25.
7. Toumba KJ, Twetman S, Splieth C, Parnell C, van Loveren C, Lygidakis NA. Guidelines on the use of fluoride for caries prevention in children: an updated EAPD policy document. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent*. 2019 Dec;20(6):507-516.
8. Walsh T, Worthington HV, Glenny AM, Marinho VC, Jeroncio A. Fluoride toothpastes of different concentrations for preventing dental caries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019 Mar 04;3(3):CD007868.
9. Featherstone JD. Prevention and reversal of dental caries: role of low level fluoride. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1999 Feb;27(1):31-40.
10. ten Cate JM, Featherstone JD. Mechanistic aspects of the interactions between fluoride and dental enamel. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*. 1991;2(3):283-96.
11. Fejerskov O, Thylstrup A, Larsen MJ. Rational use of fluorides in caries prevention. A concept based on possible cariostatic mechanisms. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 1981;39(4):241
12. Whitford GM, Schuster GS, Pashley DH, Venkateswarlu P. Fluoride uptake by *Streptococcus mutans* 6715. *Infect Immun*. 1977 Dec;18(3):680-7.
13. Van Loveren C. The antimicrobial action of fluoride and its role in caries inhibition. *J Dent Res*. 1990 Feb;69 Spec No:676-81; discussion 682-3.
14. Newbrun E. Effectiveness of water fluoridation. *J Public Health Dent*. 1989;49(5 Spec No):279-89.
15. Singh KA, Spencer AJ. Relative effects of pre- and post-eruption water fluoride on caries experience by surface type of permanent first molars. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2004 Dec;32(6):435-46.
16. Griffin SO, Jones K, Tomar SL. An economic evaluation of community water fluoridation. *J Public Health Dent*. 2001 Spring;61(2):78-86.
17. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Federal Panel on Community Water Fluoridation. U.S. Public Health Service Recommendation for

- Fluoride Concentration in Drinking Water for the Prevention of Dental Caries. Public Health Rep. 2015 Jul-Aug;130(4):318-31.
18. Section On Oral Health. Maintaining and improving the oral health of young children. Pediatrics. 2014 Dec;134(6):1224-9.
  19. Moyer VA., US Preventive Services Task Force. Prevention of dental caries in children from birth through age 5 years: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Pediatrics. 2014 Jun;133(6):1102-11.
  20. Recommendations for using fluoride to prevent and control dental caries in the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2001 Aug 17;50(RR-14):1-42.
  21. Stephen KW, Campbell D. Caries reduction and cost benefit after 3 years of sucking fluoride tablets daily at school. A double-blind trial. Br Dent J. 1978 Apr 04;144(7):202-6.
  22. dos Santos AP, Nadanovsky P, de Oliveira BH. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of fluoride toothpastes on the prevention of dental caries in the primary dentition of preschool children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2013 Feb;41(1):1-12.
  23. Marinho VC, Higgins JP, Sheiham A, Logan S. Fluoride toothpastes for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;2003(1):CD002278.
  24. Bruun C, Givskov H, Thylstrup A. Whole saliva fluoride after toothbrushing with NaF and MFP dentifrices with different F concentrations. Caries Res. 1984;18(3):282-8.
  25. American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. Fluoride toothpaste use for young children. J Am Dent Assoc. 2014 Feb;145(2):190-1.
  26. Chesters RK, Huntington E, Burchell CK, Stephen KW. Effect of oral care habits on caries in adolescents. Caries Res. 1992;26(4):299-304.
  27. Gerber RJ, Wilks T, Erdie-Lalena C. Developmental milestones: motor development. Pediatr Rev. 2010 Jul;31(7):267-76; quiz 277.
  28. Wong MC, Glenney AM, Tsang BW, Lo EC, Worthington HV, Marinho VC. Topical fluoride as a cause of dental fluorosis in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010 Jan 20;2010(1):CD007693.
  29. Weyant RJ, Tracy SL, Anselmo TT, Beltrán-Aguilar ED, Donley KJ, Frese WA, Hujoel PP, Iafolla T, Kohn W, Kumar J, Levy SM, Tinanoff N, Wright JT, Zero D, Aravamudhan K, Frantsve-Hawley J, Meyer DM., American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs Expert Panel on Topical Fluoride Caries Preventive Agents. Topical fluoride for caries prevention: executive summary of the updated clinical recommendations and supporting systematic review. J Am Dent Assoc. 2013 Nov;144(11):1279-91.
  30. Marinho VC, Chong LY, Worthington HV, Walsh T. Fluoride mouthrinses for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016 Jul 29;7(7):CD002284.
  31. Marinho VC, Worthington HV, Walsh T, Clarkson JE. Fluoride varnishes for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 Jul 11;2013(7):CD002279.
  32. Sharma PR. Allergic contact stomatitis from colophony. Dent Update. 2006 Sep;33(7):440-2.
  33. Bridge G, Martel AS, Lomazzi M. Silver Diamine Fluoride: Transforming Community Dental Caries Program. Int Dent J. 2021 Dec;71(6):458-461.
  34. Northridge ME, Kumar A, Kaur R. Disparities in Access to Oral Health Care. Annu Rev Public Health. 2020 Apr 02;41:513-535.
  35. Crystal YO, Niederman R. Evidence-Based Dentistry Update on Silver Diamine Fluoride. Dent Clin North Am. 2019 Jan;63(1):45-68.
  36. Horst JA, Heima M. Prevention of Dental Caries by Silver Diamine Fluoride. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2019 Mar;40(3):158-163; quiz 164.
  37. Pessan JP, Al-Ibrahim NS, Buzalaf MA, Tounba KJ. Slow-release fluoride devices: a literature review. J Appl Oral Sci. 2008 Jul-Aug;16(4):238-46.
  38. Chong LY, Clarkson JE, Dobbryn-Ross L, Bhakta S. Slow-release fluoride devices for the control of dental decay. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 Mar 01;3(3):CD005101.
  39. Lin TH, Lin CH, Pan TM. The implication of probiotics in the prevention of dental caries. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018 Jan;102(2):577-586.
  40. Zaura E, Twetman S. Critical Appraisal of Oral Pre- and Probiotics for Caries Prevention and Care. Caries Res. 2019;53(5):514-526.
  41. Zheng X, Cheng X, Wang L, Qiu W, Wang S, Zhou Y, Li M, Li Y, Cheng L, Li J, Zhou X, Xu X. Combinatorial effects of arginine and fluoride on oral bacteria. J Dent Res. 2015 Feb;94(2):344-53.
  42. Adams SE, Arnold D, Murphy B, Carroll P, Green AK, Smith AM, Marsh PD, Chen T, Marriott RE, Brading MG. A randomised clinical study to determine the effect of a toothpaste containing enzymes and proteins on plaque oral microbiome ecology. Sci Rep. 2017 Feb 27;7:43344.
  43. Jullien S. Prophylaxis of caries with fluoride for children under five years. BMC Pediatr. 2021 Sep 08;21(Suppl 1):351.
  44. Wright JT, Hanson N, Ristic H, Whall CW, Estrich CG, Zentz RR. Fluoride toothpaste efficacy and

- safety in children younger than 6 years: a systematic review. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2014 Feb;145(2):182-9.
45. Naidu RS, Nunn JH, Pahel B, Niederman R. Editorial: Promoting Oral Health in Early Childhood: The Role of the Family, Community and Health System in Developing Strategies for Prevention and Management of ECC. *Front Public Health.* 2021;9:716695.
46. Burgette JM, Weyant RJ, Ettinger AK, Miller E, Ray KN. What is the association between income loss during the COVID-19 pandemic and children's dental care? *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2021 May;152(5):369-376.
47. Pine CM, Adair PM, Burnside G, Nicoll AD, Gillett A, Borges-Yañez SA, Broukal Z, Brown J, Declerck D, Ping FX, Gugushe T, Hunsrisakhun J, Lo EC, Naidoo S, Nyandindi U, Poulsen VJ, Razanamihaja N, Splieth C, Sutton BK, Soo TC, Whelton H. Barriers to the treatment of childhood caries perceived by dentists working in different countries. *Community Dent Health.* 2004 Mar;21(1 Suppl):112-20.
48. Carson SJ, Freeman R. Training and fairer payments would increase caries prevention in practice. *Evid Based Dent.* 2015 Mar;16(1):6-7.
49. Cooper D, Kim J, Duderstadt K, Stewart R, Lin B, Alkon A. Interprofessional Oral Health Education Improves Knowledge, Confidence, and Practice for Pediatric Healthcare Providers. *Front Public Health.* 2017;5:209.
50. George A, Sousa MS, Kong AC, Blinkhorn A, Patterson Norrie T, Foster J, Dahlen HG, Ajwani S, Johnson M. Effectiveness of preventive dental programs offered to mothers by non-dental professionals to control early childhood dental caries: a review. *BMC Oral Health.* 2019 Aug 02;19(1):172.
51. Kalkani M, Ashley P. The role of paediatricians in oral health of preschool children in the United Kingdom: a national survey of paediatric postgraduate specialty trainees. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2013 Oct;14(5):319-24.

الهدف: تستعرض هذه المقالة آليات عمل الفلورايد، وفعاليتها، وتطبيقاته السريرية في الوقاية من التسوس، مع مناقشة التحديات المرتبطة بخطر التفلور، والعوائق التي تعترض تقديم الرعاية الوقائية، وتأثير جائحة كوفيد-19 على خدمات طب الأسنان.

المنهجية: أجري تحليل شامل لآليات الفلورايد المضادة للتسوس (الموضعي مقابل الجهاز)، وطرق إيصال الفلورايد المختلفة (تفلور المياه، معاجين الأسنان، الورنيشات، الجل، وأجهزة الإطلاق البطيء)، إلى جانب الأساليب البيئية الحديثة (مثل البروبيوتيك ومنتجات الفلورايد المدعمة بالأرجينين). تم دمج الأدلة المستخلصة من الدراسات السريرية، وبيانات الصحة العامة، والإرشادات المهنية.

النتائج: ثبت أن تطبيقات الفلورايد الموضعية (مثل معجون الأسنان والورنيش) أكثر فعالية من الفلورايد الجهاز في الوقاية من التسوس. ويظل تفلور المياه إجراء فعالاً من حيث التكلفة في الصحة العامة، رغم أن زيادة استهلاك المياه المعبأة قد قللت من تأثيره. يمكن تقليل خطر التفلور من خلال تنظيم تعرض الأطفال دون سن السادسة للفلورايد. كما تظهر الاستراتيجيات الجديدة، مثل الفلورايد الفضي الفثاني والأجهزة ذات الإطلاق البطيء، نتائج واعدة في الفئات عالية الخطورة.

الاستنتاج: لا يزال الفلورايد الوسيلة الأكثر فعالية في الوقاية من تسوس الأسنان، لكن يجب استخدامه بناءً على تقييم دقيق لمستوى الخطورة لدى الفرد. ويعد التعاون بين التخصصات المختلفة وتفعيل السياسات الصحية العامة أمراً ضرورياً لتعزيز فوائد الفلورايد والحد من آثاره الجانبية.

الكلمات المفتاحية: تسوس الأسنان، الفلورايد، إعادة التمعدين، تفلور الأسنان، طب الأسنان الوقائي، الصحة العامة.

- 1.
- 2.

الدور الوقائي للفلورايد في إدارة تسوس الأسنان: الآليات والفعالية والتطبيقات السريرية

الملخص:

الخلفية: يعد تسوس الأسنان من أكثر المشكلات الصحية شيوعاً على مستوى العالم، ويؤثر بشكل خاص على الأطفال، نتيجة لعوامل متعددة تشمل النشاط البكتيري، والعادات الغذائية، وسوء نظافة الفم. يعتبر الفلورايد أحد الأسس الرئيسية في الوقاية من التسوس نظراً لقدرته على تعزيز إعادة تمعدن الأسنان، وتثبيط إزالة المعادن، والحد من نشاط البكتيريا المسببة للتسوس. ورغم فوائده، فإن تناول كميات زائدة من الفلورايد، خصوصاً في مرحلة الطفولة المبكرة، يثير القلق بشأن خطر الإصابة بتفلور الأسنان.